State Board of Accountancy June 21, 2024

3 4 5

1

2

BOARD MEMBERS:

6 7

9 10

11

12 13 Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair Matthew Eaton, Director of Operations, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, on behalf of

Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner Keri A. Ellis, CPA - Absent

Monique M. Ericson, CPA, Office of Attorney General John J. Grater, CPA

14 Benjamin Holland, CPA

15 Charles A. O'Brien, CPA 16

Michael D. Ocker, CPA, Vice Chair

17 John Petchel, Public Member

18 19

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

20 21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel Ashley P. Murphy, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Timothy A. Fritsch, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Miranda Murphy, Board Administrator Marc Farrell, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, Department of State Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State

30 31 32

ALSO PRESENT:

34 35 36

37

33

Jennifer Cryder, CPA, MBA, Chief Executive Officer, Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants

38 Mark Koscinski, Assistant Professor of Accounting 39 Practice, Moravian University 40 41

Joseph Wincovitch, Revenue Tax Audit Program Administrator, Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Emily Cowfer, Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

42 43 44

45 46

47 48

49 50 **

1

3

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 State Board of Accountancy

June 21, 2024

* * *

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 6 at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 7 with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have 8 attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of 9 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board 10 returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

11 ***

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed everyone the meeting of the State Board of Accountancy was being held in a hybrid format by inperson and livestream teleconference pursuant to Act 100 of 2021, which requires boards to use a virtual platform to conduct business when a public meeting is held. He noted the meeting was being recorded and voluntary participation constituted consent to be recorded.

Mr. Rouse also noted the Board entered into Executive Session with Board Counsel to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations.]

* * *

The State Board of Accountancy Meeting was held

- 2 on Friday, June 21, 2024. Sheri L. Risler, CPA,
- 3 Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.
- 4 ***
- 5 Roll Call
- 6 [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, requested a roll call
- 7 of Board members. A quorum was present.]
- 8 ***
- 9 Introduction of Attendees
- 10 [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, also requested an
- 11 | introduction of attendees.]
- 12 | ***
- 13 Approval of Minutes
- 14 [The April 19, 2024 State Board of Accountancy Board
- 15 | Meeting Minutes were tabled until the next meeting.]
- 16
- 17 | [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, exited the meeting at
- 18 10:39 a.m. for recusal purposes.]
- 19 ***
- 20 VICE CHAIR OCKER ASSUMED THE CHAIR
- 21 ***
- 22 Report of Prosecutorial Division
- 23 [Timothy A. Fritsch, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
- 24 presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 22-55-
- 25 015866.1

5 MR. ROUSE: 1 2 Did the Board members have any other 3 questions? 4 Regarding the Consent Agreement at 5 item 2 on the agenda, Case No. 22-55-015866, after discussion in Executive 6 7 Session, I believe the Vice Chair would entertain a motion to adopt the Consent 8 9 Agreement. 10 VICE CHAIR OCKER: 11 Is there a motion to adopt the Consent 12 Agreement at item 2 on the agenda, Case No. 13 22-55-015866? 14 MR. HOLLAND: 15 So moved. 16 VICE CHAIR OCKER: 17 Is there a second? 18 MR. GRATER: 19 Second. VICE CHAIR OCKER: 20 21 Any discussion? Roll call. 22 23 Petchel, aye; Ocker, aye; O'Brien, aye; 24 Holland, aye; Grater, aye; Ericson, aye; 25 Eaton, aye.

6 [The motion carried. Sheri Risler recused herself 1 2 from deliberations and voting on the motion. That is 3 the Matter of BPOA v. Michael Read Wolf, Case No. 22-4 55-015866.] * * * 5 6 [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, reentered the meeting 7 at 10:42 a.m.] * * * 8 9 CHAIR RISLER RESUMED THE CHAIR * * * 10 11 Report of Prosecutorial Division 12 [Timothy A. Fritsch, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, 13 presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 22-55-14 017875.1 15 MR. ROUSE: 16 Regarding the Consent Agreement at item 3 on the agenda, Case No. 23-55-017875, after 17 18 discussion in Executive Session, I believe 19 the Chair would entertain a motion to adopt 20 the Consent Agreement. 21 CHAIR RISLER: 22 Is there a motion to adopt the Consent 2.3 Agreement at item 3 on the agenda, Case No. 24 23-55-017875?

25

MR. HOLLAND:

7 So moved. 1 2 CHAIR RISLER: 3 Second? 4 MR. GRATER: 5 Second. CHAIR RISLER: 6 7 Is there any discussion? Roll call, 8 please. 9 10 Petchel, aye; Ocker, aye; O'Brien, aye; 11 Holland, aye; Grater, aye; Ericson, aye; 12 Eaton, aye; Risler, aye. 13 [The motion carried unanimously. That is the Matter 14 of Agravante & Gentile CPAs LLP, Case No. 23-55-15 017875.] 16 17 Report of Board Counsel - Motion to Deem Facts 18 Admitted and Enter Default MR. ROUSE: 19 20 Item 4 on the agenda is a Motion to Deem 21 Facts Admitted and Enter Default in the 22 Matter of BPOA v. John M. Cipriani and 2.3 Cipriani & Associates LLC, Case Nos. 21-55-2.4 013602 & 23-55-011531. 25 After discussion in Executive Session,

I believe the Chair would entertain a 1 2 motion to grant the Motion to Deem Facts 3 Admitted and to direct Board Counsel to prepare an Adjudication and Order in 4 5 accordance with the discussion in Executive 6 Session. 7 CHAIR RISLER: In the Matter of BPOA v. John M. Cipriani 9 and Cipriani & Associates LLC, Case Nos. 21-55-013602 & 23-55-011531, at item 4 on 10 the agenda, is there a motion to grant the 11 Motion to Deem Facts Admitted and to direct 12 13 Board Counsel to prepare the Adjudication 14 and Order in accordance with the discussion in Executive Session? 15 MR. HOLLAND: 16 So moved. 17 18 CHAIR RISLER: Second? 19 20 MR. GRATER: 21 Second. 22 CHAIR RISLER: 2.3 Is there any discussion? Roll call,

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

please.

24

25

9 1 Petchel, aye; Ocker, aye; O'Brien, aye; 2 Holland, aye; Grater, aye; Ericson, aye; 3 Eaton, aye; Risler, aye. 4 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 5 6 Report of Board Counsel - Final Adjudication and 7 Order 8 MR. ROUSE: 9 Item 5 on the agenda is the Final 10 Adjudication and Order in the Matter of BPOA v. James V. Bouarouy, CPA, Case No. 11 22-55-016351. 12 13 I believe the Chair would entertain a 14 motion to adopt the Adjudication and Order 15 as presented by Board Counsel and to direct Board Counsel to prepare the Board's Final 16 17 Order. 18 CHAIR RISLER: 19 In the Matter of BPOA v. James V. Bouarouy, 20 CPA, Case No. 22-55-016351 at item 5 on the 21 agenda, is there a motion to adopt the 22 Adjudication and Order as presented by 23 Board Counsel and to direct Board Counsel 24 to prepare the Board's Final Order? 25 MR. HOLLAND:

10 So moved. 1 2 CHAIR RISLER: 3 Second? MR. GRATER: 4 5 Second. CHAIR RISLER: 6 7 Any discussion? Roll call. 8 9 Petchel, aye; Ocker, aye; O'Brien, aye; 10 Holland, aye; Grater, aye; Ericson, aye; 11 Eaton, aye; Risler, aye. 12 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 13 14 Review of Program Sponsor Applications 15 MR. ROUSE: Item 12 on the agenda is Bechtel Plant 16 17 Machinery, Inc. This was a matter that was 18 reviewed in Executive Session. I believe the Chair would entertain a 19 20 motion to grant the Program Sponsor 21 Application of Bechtel Plant Machinery, 22 Inc., at item 12 on the agenda. 2.3 CHAIR RISLER: 2.4 Is there a motion to grant the Program 25 Sponsor Application of Bechtel Plant

11 1 Machinery, Inc., at item 12 on the agenda? 2 MR. HOLLAND: 3 So moved. 4 MR. GRATER: 5 Second. 6 CHAIR RISLER:

7 Any discussion? Roll call, please.

8

9 Petchel, aye; Ocker, aye; O'Brien, aye; 10 Holland, aye; Grater, aye; Ericson, aye; 11 Eaton, aye; Risler, aye.

12 [The motion carried unanimously.]

13 * * *

Review of Requests - Waiver of CPE Total of 80 14

15 Credits - Denied, for Ratification

MR. ROUSE: 16

17 Item 13 on the agenda is the Waiver of CPE

18 Total of 80 Credits - Denied, for

Ratification. 19

20 I believe the Chair would entertain a 2.1 Motion to Ratify the Denial of the Request 22 to Waive the 80-CPE Credit Requirement for Eric Killian at item 13 on the agenda.

23

2.4 CHAIR RISLER:

Is there a motion to Ratify the Denial of 25

```
12
1
              the Request to Waive the Requirement of a
2
              Total of 80 CPE Credits for Eric Killian at
3
              item 13 on the agenda?
4
   MR. HOLLAND:
5
              So moved.
6
   MR. GRATER:
7
              Second.
8
   CHAIR RISLER:
              Discussion? Roll call.
9
10
11
              Petchel, aye; Ocker, aye; O'Brien, aye;
12
              Holland, aye; Grater, aye; Ericson, aye;
13
              Eaton, aye; Risler, aye.
14
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
15
16
   Review of Requests - Extension of Time to Complete
17
     CPE - Approved, for Ratification
18
   MR. ROUSE:
19
              Item 14 on the agenda is the Extension of
20
              Time to Complete CPE - Approved, for
21
              Ratification for Meghan McDougall.
22
                    I believe the Chair would entertain a
23
              Motion to Ratify the Extension of Time to
24
              Complete CPE for Meghan McDougall at item
25
              14 on the agenda.
```

```
CHAIR RISLER:
1
2
              Is there a motion to Ratify the Extension
3
              of Time to Complete CPE for Meghan
 4
              McDougall at item 14 on the agenda?
5
   MR. HOLLAND:
6
              So moved.
7
   MR. GRATER:
8
              Second.
9
   CHAIR RISLER:
10
              Any discussion? Roll call.
11
12
              Petchel, aye; Ocker, aye; O'Brien, abstain;
13
              Holland, aye; Grater, aye; Ericson, aye;
14
              Eaton, aye; Risler, aye.
15
   [The motion carried. Charles O'Brien abstained from
16
   voting on the motion.]
17
18
   Report of Board Counsel - Regulations
19
   [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided a
20
   Regulatory Report for the Board's review. He noted
21
   the Board has Regulation 16A-5517 regarding licensure
22
   by endorsement and Regulation 16A-5519 regarding
23
   general revisions.
```

24 Mr. Rouse referred to Regulation 16A-5517 and 25 noted prior Board discussion and concern at the last

- 1 | Board meeting regarding Certified Public Accountants'
- 2 (CPA) mobility with all of the different options
- 3 available to people trying to practice in
- 4 Pennsylvania.
- 5 Mr. Rouse addressed licensure by examination
- 6 that requires, under the CPA Law and regulations, a
- 7 person to be at least 18 years old, be of good moral
- 8 character, have a bachelor's degree or higher, and to
- 9 have completed at least 150 semester credits of
- 10 postsecondary education in certain subject areas as
- 11 | well as complete one year of experience.
- Mr. Rouse also noted under the Board's statute
- 13 under the CPA Law that there is also licensure by
- 14 domestic reciprocity, where a person has to be at
- 15 least 18 years of age, must be of good moral
- 16 character, must have passed the examination
- 17 requirements to practice as a CPA under the laws of
- 18 their state, and must meet continuing education
- 19 requirements and the experience requirements.
- 20 Mr. Rouse noted the issue of CPA mobility was
- 21 discussed at the last Board meeting. He further
- 22 noted it is called "practice under substantial
- 23 equivalency" under the CPA Law, where a person who is
- 24 licensed in another jurisdiction practicing public
- 25 | accounting can work in the Commonwealth without

- obtaining a certificate issued by the Board. He explained that the individual must have a valid certificate or right to practice public accounting from another state whose requirements are substantially equivalent to the Commonwealth to practice under substantial equivalency.
 - Mr. Rouse addressed determining whether another state's requirements are substantially equivalent to Pennsylvania, noting the 150 credits required for postsecondary education must be adhered to because their CPA law states that the Board looks toward the National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA) to determine substantial equivalency.

2.3

2.4

- Mr. Rouse explained that licensure by endorsement is a way for someone to obtain a license to practice in the state if the individual meets 5 criteria under 63 Pa.C.S. § 3111(a)(1) through (5). He stated the person must hold a current license; certificate; registration; or permit from another state, territory, or country, and the Board determines whether their state, territory or country's requirements are substantially equivalent to or exceed the requirements established by the Commonwealth.
- 25 Mr. Rouse noted the Board would make the

determination of substantial equivalency. He noted
licensure by endorsement is not a function of the CPA
Law, which says the board looks to NASBA to determine
substantial equivalency. He mentioned that licensure
by endorsement is under a separate statute that
applies to all of the 29 boards and commissions under
the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs.

2.4

Mr. Rouse referred to how the Board originally drafted the annex and mentioned that the Board may want to look at whether or not any changes are required. He noted the next requirement is that the licensee must demonstrate competency in the profession or occupation through methods determined by the licensing board or licensing commission, including completing continuing education or having experience in a profession or occupation for at least two of the five years preceding the date of the application.

Mr. Rouse noted the third requirement is that the licensee has not committed any act that constitutes grounds for refusal; suspension; or revocation of a license, certificate, registration or permit to practice that profession or occupation in the Commonwealth unless the licensing board or licensing commission determines in its discretion

that the action is not an impediment to granting a license to practice in the Commonwealth. He noted the licensee must be in good standing and has not been disciplined by the jurisdiction that issued their license, and the person has paid the fees established by the licensing Board by regulation.

Mr. Rouse addressed initial discussions regarding licensure by endorsement, where recommendations were made to add § 11.5a to the regulations concerning licensure by endorsement.

Mr. Rouse referred to requirements for issuance of a license by endorsement under § 11.5a(a)(1), which reads, "has a current license, certificate, registration, or permit in good standing to practice public accounting in another jurisdiction whose standards are substantially equivalent to or exceed those established by the Board under § 4.2 of the Act."

Mr. Rouse noted prior Board discussion, where in order to be substantially equivalent, the jurisdiction in which the applicant holds a current and active certified public accountant certification and license must require (A) a baccalaureate or higher degree from a college or university accredited by an accrediting agency nationally recognized by the

- 1 United States Department of Education or a college or
- 2 university approved by the Board, (B) completion of
- 3 | 150 semester credits of postsecondary education, and
- 4 (C) achievement of a passing score on the Uniform
- 5 | Certified Public Accountant Examination or other
- 6 Board-approved skills examination.
- 7 Mr. Rouse again noted the Board makes the
- 8 determination of whether another state's requirements
- 9 are substantially equivalent to Pennsylvania
- 10 regarding licensure by endorsement.
- 11 Mr. Rouse mentioned there are a lot of changes
- 12 | with CPA mobility and many states are adding
- 13 additional avenues to obtaining a CPA license and
- 14 asked whether the Board would like to consider making
- 15 a change to (B), the completion of 150 semester
- 16 credits of postsecondary education, to strike it
- 17 completely, say at least 120 semester credits, or
- 18 | whether the current language is fine.
- 19 Chair Risler requested information as far as
- 20 what other states are doing that could impact
- 21 Pennsylvania before deciding.
- Mr. Rouse mentioned that there was a time when
- 23 all states required 150 semester credits of
- 24 postsecondary education in order to be granted a CPA
- 25 | license. He noted movement in other states now

1 requiring 120 semester credits plus two years of 2 experience and other proposals.

2.3

Chair Risler commented that the risk is that there will be issues with mobility in Pennsylvania, but the issue is changing language to make it more flexible to the Board for purposes of evaluating the requirements to become a CPA.

Chair Risler was not inclined to make changes and strike completion of 150 credits, unless there is some generic language to accommodate any type of mobility situations.

Mr. Rouse again mentioned that the purpose of licensure by endorsement was to reduce the unnecessary barriers to licensure for new residents, veterans, military spouses, and other people who move to and work in Pennsylvania. He noted that making it more flexible would be helpful in making sure people would be able to obtain a CPA license.

Chair Risler commented that Pennsylvania CPAs are still required to comply with the 150 credits and would be asking why they cannot do that if it is only for mobility purposes.

Mr. Ocker noted discussion on this topic at NASBA's Eastern Regional Meeting in Louisville and informed Board members that Ms. Cryder prepared a

whole presentation and summarization of where everything stands.

Jennifer Cryder, CPA, MBA, Chief Executive
Officer, Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public
Accountants, encouraged Board members to defer
changes concerning licensure by endorsement because
many changes will occur within the next couple of
weeks. She believed model language will be coming
out from NASBA, noting they are working on changes to
the Uniform Accountancy Act, statute and regulations.

Ms. Cryder commented that the sentiment of what a couple Board members were saying is to wait and see what the model language is so everyone is in alignment. She explained that the model language will not talk about 150 or 120 credits. She noted a number of states have adopted legislation for a 90-credit bachelor's degree and believed model language will talk about a bachelor's degree, not a number of postsecondary credit hours.

Ms. Cryder provided information about what is being discussed to be included in the model language and the Uniform Accountancy Act changes that NASBA and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) are working on together. She stated AICPA and NASBA will come to every state board

1 of accountancy, noting there will be a public comment

- 2 period for input.]
- 3 | ***
- 4 | Miscellaneous CPA Mobility
- 5 | [Jennifer Cryder, CPA, MBA, Chief Executive Officer,
- 6 Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public
- 7 Accountants, addressed statute changes through the
- 8 Uniform Accountancy Act. She stated NASBA will have
- 9 model language changes for everyone to consider this
- 10 summer due to targeting the legislative change for
- 11 January 2025.
- 12 Ms. Cryder noted the Pennsylvania Institute of
- 13 | Certified Public Accountants (PICPA) is often
- 14 | involved in legislative changes in Pennsylvania and
- 15 | wanted to support CPAs in Pennsylvania. She
- 16 presented to the Board to share information with
- 17 regard to protecting the public in Pennsylvania as
- 18 | well as supporting practitioners.
- 19 Ms. Cryder addressed matters being discussed for
- 20 the 2025 legislative session, noting NASBA and AICPA
- 21 are driving this change through the Uniform
- 22 Accountancy Act. She noted moving away from 150 and
- 23 one and 120 and two entirely. She reported a focus
- 24 on safe harboring or grandfathering current
- 25 practitioners, so anybody who has been practicing

already regardless of what state they are in retains the ability to practice across state lines.

Ms. Cryder mentioned that there are many ways to get licensed in Pennsylvania, but practice under substantial equivalency is the only one where the practitioner does not have to maintain multiple licenses.

Ms. Cryder also mentioned that making licensure by endorsement more flexible does not solve the problem entirely because it is a pretty significant burden on the practitioner when they have to obtain and maintain multiple licenses with all the CE and ethics requirements.

Ms. Cryder stated PICPA, along with NASBA and AICPA, is in support of finding a way to preserve mobility and practice under substantial equivalency, where only one license is needed and is preferred.

Ms. Cryder discussed the grandfathering of current practitioners, so they do not lose their ability to practice across state lines, meaning everyone who is already in practice would not need to go through the process to apply for licensure by endorsement, reciprocity, or any of those other options.

Ms. Cryder provided sample language that has

been looked at in the profession, noting that it mirrors the grandfathering that happened when the profession went to 150. She believed NASBA will approach the Board on this concept but mentioned it is only a carve out for current CPAs to keep practicing while other pathways are developed. noted it is a temporary fix and does not solve the problem or help new licensees coming into the profession deal with the complexity.

Mr. Rouse wanted to confirm that AICPA and NASBA are trying to create model language for all states and have that language by 2025.

2.4

Ms. Cryder explained that AICPA and NASBA want it ready to go for 2025 legislative sessions. She noted the CEO of NASBA, Dan Dustin, told her they will be drafting the language over the summer and hoped to share it with state boards and the public for comment by the end of the summer or very beginning of the fall.

Ms. Cryder addressed automatic mobility, which is another item being considered in model language. She reported four states have in their statute the idea of automatic mobility, which means someone with a valid license in another state could automatically practice. She noted automatic mobility is something

states are considering adding to their CPA Law, so everyone does not have to open their statutes as licensure models continue to evolve over time.

2.4

Ms. Cryder noted the system currently works because everybody has a general agreement around education, examination, and experience (EEE) being substantially equivalent. She mentioned that automatic mobility sounds great on the surface, but the Board would have to decide a minimum threshold in terms of public protection because there could be a variety of outcomes as those EEEs change.

Mr. Holland commented, absent of any legislative change in Pennsylvania, if there is a state that makes the change, what is to keep candidates from getting licensed and practicing in Pennsylvania. He asked how to not encourage candidates to go to another state to get licensed.

Ms. Cryder noted that Pennsylvania could be at a competitive disadvantage if other states add automatic mobility provisions and Pennsylvania does not. She stated mobility will break, and a student going through the process could look at this situation and decide to go to a state like North Carolina that has automatic mobility.

25 Mr. Rouse wanted to confirm that North Carolina

1 allows someone from another state to work there 2 without a North Carolina license.

2.4

Ms. Cryder explained that someone who has a Pennsylvania license and has an audit client in North Carolina could practice public accounting in North Carolina regardless of the status of mobility simply based on their PA license, because the North Carolina State Board of Certified Public Accountant Examiners has put faith and trust that all other state boards of accountancy are doing their diligence and upholding the public trust.

Mr. Holland requested clarification as to whether automatic mobility is conducting an audit and then going back to their home state or setting up an office.

Ms. Cryder explained that principal place of business is a whole different question.

Mr. Rouse further explained that in Pennsylvania to practice under substantial equivalency their principal place of business has to be outside of Pennsylvania.

Ms. Ericson commented an individual could have a physical place of business outside of Pennsylvania, but all of their clients are in Pennsylvania. She noted an individual could be on one side of the

2.6

border and do all of the work on the other side. She mentioned that someone working in Pennsylvania should have a license for the majority of their clients.

2.4

Ms. Cryder stated mobility offers practitioners the ability to do that now, where under mobility, an individual would not need to get a license in Pennsylvania, and all of the state boards together have been comfortable with that for the last couple of decades.

Ms. Cryder explained that these are a couple of things coming through model language to consider, along with the removal of 150 and 120 language, specific numbers of credit hours and years of experience, because with their Structured Professional Program (SPP) concepts and with AICPA's concepts, both of them are clearly moving to competency-based licensure.

Ms. Cryder believed those will be removed quickly and to keep that in mind as it relates to any regulatory or statute change.

Chair Risler asked whether anyone is aware of how practice is responding to this, including nationally, regionally, and all of the different sized firms.

25 Ms. Cryder reported hearing a real concern about

going back to a situation with practitioners

maintaining multiple licenses, especially for small

and mid-sized firms, which is an incredible burden.

She mentioned that a regional firm serving clients in

every state would need to read every CPA Law and

understand how they define the practice of public

7 accounting and principal place of business. She 8 stated practitioners are terrified of this because of

the burden it will present to them.

2.4

Ms. Cryder mentioned that after practitioners have gone through the lengthy process of getting all these licenses in some states that they have to also maintain all of the different CPE requirements. She noted there to be a hard pivot when firm leaders start to understand the compliance requirements that will come from this, because it will significantly change the economics of the firm.

Chair Risler asked whether anything has been said about the elimination of the credit hour rule and focus on the competency aspect.

Ms. Cryder provided her personal opinion and believed most firms will consider the compliance requirements and say they cannot be bothered. She also believed firms will have significant portions of their professionals drop their license, which will

significantly impact the number of professionals that this group is regulating and the same across the country.

2.4

Ms. Cryder mentioned that larger firms who are incredibly focused on earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) will see this as incredibly unnecessary compliance that they are not interested in, especially for their tax and advisory staff. She also believed the profession will lose its franchise on tax as a result of this.

Mr. Rouse referred to 49 Pa. Code § 11.5, noting there is a temporary practice permit, which was used pre-mobility for someone who holds a current license or registration to practice public accounting in the other state and concurrently practices public accounting in the other state.

Mr. Rouse explained that someone would obtain a temporary practice permit from the Board prior to performing the temporary work, which is good for a 12-month period and is renewable. He further explained that it allows the permit holder to work for not more than 500 hours in the Commonwealth during that 12-month period of time. He again mentioned it is renewable and, under the regulations, costs \$25.

Mr. Ocker did not understand why other state boards are making these changes and did not believe it was in the best interest of the profession moving forward, other than trying to get those CPAs into their state and making it more attractive. He stated the CPA is a nationally recognized certification that should remain that across all states, and equivalency and mobility should maintain. He noted not being sure why other states are quickly moving to change without the help from NASBA besides trying to get more CPAs in their state.

2.3

Ms. Cryder informed Board members that the items highlighted are the items that will come from NASBA. She was not focusing on the unilateral state moves and agreed with Mr. Ocker. She again noted NASBA and AICPA are driving change in the profession around licensure, which is her focus because it will happen.

Ms. Cryder commented that mobility will break in 2025 and certainly could break from states taking unilateral action, noting NASBA has been very clear in stating that they are trying to move forward with Uniform Accountancy Act changes before states can take unilateral action to try to preempt that.

Ms. Cryder referred to issues the Board has to think through, including how the Pennsylvania State

Board of Accountancy will handle it when mobility breaks and how to serve practitioners in Pennsylvania and those who want to practice in their state. mentioned discussion about the determination of substantial equivalency, noting there are both state and individual levels of substantial equivalency, and in their statute the determination of both of those are deferred to the National Qualifications Appraisal Service (NQAS), which is the piece of NASBA that makes that determination.

Ms. Cryder told Board members to consider how that process has worked for the state board and whether they are getting the needed level of service from NASBA, especially when NQAS gets hit with a huge influx, because there are 21 or 22 states that defer to NQAS. She believed one individual makes up NQAS and asked whether that is enough capacity to handle the influx when determining substantial equivalency at the individual level. She suggested considering the turnaround time from NASBA now and what that could look like if there is an influx.

Chair Risler commented that the Board does not currently have NQAS in their regulations in Pennsylvania.

Ms. Cryder explained that it is a function that

1 the Pennsylvania State Board of Accountancy has 2 delegated to NASBA.

2.4

Mr. Holland commented that it would be a good approach if an individual has a license with an initial date on or before December 31, 2024, to allow them to practice, because it seemed to solve some of the problems and concerns but also protect the public moving forward with new licensees.

Ms. Cryder noted that to be the exact idea of the safe harbor, and the Board could expect to see that in model language.

Ms. Cryder mentioned the Board should also think about, assuming a licensee is not able to practice based on mobility because they have been deemed to be substantially equivalent, other ways that practitioners can get a Pennsylvania license, including the temporary permit to practice, endorsement, reciprocity.

Ms. Cryder wanted to emphasize that all of those require obtaining and maintaining extra licenses and is where the profession gets into some really risky territory and believed a lot of people would be dropping their CPA license. She asked the Board to consider, because NASBA is sort of the back office for so much of this for us, the turnaround times when

1 people are making these applications and whether they 2 are getting what they need from NASBA. She mentioned

3 that any opportunities where the regulations allow

4 the Board to set guidelines and sort of defer

5 responsibility to staff could be some great

6 opportunities to identify and think about to expedite

7 | the process.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Mr. Rouse asked what the reason is behind someone dropping their license if their principal place of business is in another state.

Ms. Cryder referred to the leader of a national accounting firm or a large regional accounting firm of a couple hundred people, where changes happening across the country could apply to thousands of their professionals.

Ms. Cryder noted that leaders of firms have told her of having to make the assessment for every professional and all states in which they need to be licensed and maintaining those licenses. The cost of compliance becomes so significant for their firm that they will direct their tax and advisory professionals to drop their CPA license, because they do not need it to do their work. She noted being able to do the work in an unregulated way and preferring that way, because of not only the costs of compliance but the

1 risk that it opens up for the firm when a 2 professional is licensed.

2.3

Ms. Ericson did not see it as difficult to maintain multiple licenses.

Mr. Ocker commented more smaller firms are dropping their certification because they are doing advisory tax and no attest. He stated needing multiple licenses across every state for tax work or anything of that nature would make it more complex.

Mr. Grater mentioned that there are some that have attest function that are separating the firms into one part of attest, where a firm will have CPAs and then the other part as tax and advisory, so they do not need licenses. He commented that the firm separated out advisory services from accounting and tax and what is to stop them from separating out tax also. He also mentioned ethics requirements specific to a state could make it very difficult.

Ms. Cryder stated many of the national firms have formed two entities, where the tax and advisory staff have moved into a separate entity and are not licensing those individuals.

Mr. O'Brien asked for preliminary thoughts on the development of a competency-based model.

25 Ms. Cryder believed it to be near term, noting

they are trying to figure that out concurrent with the model language for the Accountancy Act so that they can each reflect the other. She stated NASBA and AICPA each have their own concepts and are converging. She believed an agreement may already be in place.

Ms. Cryder noted the idea is that the CPA Exam is uniform across the country, and everyone has either a bachelor's in accounting or a bachelor's degree with some number of accounting and auditing and tax credit hours.

Ms. Cryder also noted that beyond that, what may be thought of as the year experience of the 30 credit hours now becomes a combination of competency-based learning, where an individual is working against a framework or a rubric of competencies, demonstrating that on the job, and their supervisor is signing off. She again stated it intentionally removes 150 and 120, one-year and two-year experience. She mentioned that the model may be completed by the end of the summer 2024.

Mr. Ocker mentioned that there is already the earn and learn out there that three universities now have, where someone can get the extra 30 hours. He also noted someone who has 140 hours and needs 10

1 more hours can go through these universities and work 2 for firms to get those extra additional credits.

2.3

2.4

Ms. Cryder explained that the idea with competency-based learning is everything after a bachelor's degree potentially could be off transcript. She also noted it will require statute change in all the jurisdictions.

Ms. Cryder noted that some states could move this through in a week, and Pennsylvania is starting to lay groundwork and thinking about what law changes would look like in Pennsylvania and who the stakeholders are that need to understand that because a lot of the work they do as the advocate is moving a piece of legislation through the process.

Mr. Rouse commented that with all of the issues that AICPA and NASBA are proposing, where there will be model language and an exposure draft, that the Board will be able to put those on the Board's agenda for discussion.

Chair Risler asked whether it makes sense to defer any further discussion about licensure by endorsement.

Mr. Rouse asked whether the Board wished to continue moving forward with what we have.

Chair Risler agreed to continue with what they

have in light of all the conversations, noting she was not aware of many requests using those rules where it had been an issue.

Mr. Rouse noted that the Board will continue with what they have, and when the proposed regulation is ready, there will be another 30-day comment anyway if there are any other changes in between.

Chair Risler asked whether the model rules might be out by the September meeting.

Ms. Cryder informed Board members that the Uniform Accountancy Act Committee is in active meetings reviewing language but could not provide a date.

Chair Risler thanked Ms. Cryder for sharing all of the information and requested she keep the Board up to date. She asked whether there would be a long process of approval from the legislative perspective if UAA gets updated.

Mr. Rouse explained that it would depend on whether the issue is a statutory or a regulatory issue because statutory is the legislature and regulatory is a Board process with Board Counsel, regulatory counsel, and public meetings.

Ms. Cryder stated they are gearing up for advocating on statutory change in Pennsylvania and is

why PICPA is also waiting on UAA language to be able to start the process of the statutory change.]

**

4 Report of Board Counsel - Regulations

5 | [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted that

6 | the Board will continue on the regulatory process for

7 | 16A-5517 regarding licensure by endorsement based on

8 the discussion.

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Marc Farrell, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,
Office of Chief Counsel, Department of State, added
that licensure by endorsement does not have to solve
any of the mobility issues because it has always been
something to be looked at as one more option if
somebody cannot fit into any of the slots for
licensure.

Mr. Farrell also stated the Board does not have to set forth what they consider to be substantially equivalent, because there is nothing that requires that. He noted that taking out the hours in (B) and just leaving it as the degree and the passing score does not mean a state that has 120 or 150 is substantially equivalent.

Mr. Farrell explained that the Board can do that in the background, where getting rid of the specific 150 in that regulation would still leave all options

open and may add flexibility. He stated some boards have not even set forth any substantially equivalent criteria and just said the licensee must be from a substantially equivalent jurisdiction period.

Mr. Rouse noted 16A-5519 regarding general revisions is under review.

2.3

Mr. Farrell stated all of the documents from Board Counsel look to be in order, where there may be a few minor non-substantive edits. He reported it to be basically ready to leave the department and sent to the governor's office for review.

Mr. Rouse explained that 16A-5519 has a number of changes, including the timing for which candidates will be able to complete the CPA Examination. He noted it also corresponds with the Act 110 of 2022 amendments to the CPA Law. He noted there were changes regarding education because it includes economics and technology as subjects a person can take to meet the education requirements as well as changes to peer review.

Mr. Farrell stated whatever happens with 16A-5519 from here on out is out of the department's control. He noted the intersection of three different statutes, the Regulatory Review Act, the Commonwealth Attorney's Law, and the Commonwealth

1 Documents Law, along with an executive order and the

- 2 | Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC)
- 3 regulations that all kind of control the timing and
- 4 the steps from here.
- 5 Mr. Farrell mentioned that the regulatory review
- 6 process is complex, and it will probably not be
- 7 finished until 2025.1
- 8 ***
- 9 Report of Board Chair
- 10 | [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, encouraged Board
- 11 members to review the requirements of the Sunshine
- 12 Act and Gift Ban Policy.]
- 13
- 14 Report of Acting Commissioner No Report
- 15
- 16 Report of Board Administrator
- 17 | [Miranda Murphy, Board Administrator, informed Board
- 18 members that she received information from Patricia
- 19 Hartman concerning the Credit Relief Initiative and
- 20 reported 238 total requests with 223 approvals. She
- 21 noted 15 of them did not fall under the time frame
- 22 and would need to submit those requests directly to
- 23 | the Board.
- 24 Chair Risler commented that about 230 candidates
- 25 were able to extend taking the CPA Exam and asked Ms.

4.0

- 1 | Murphy to comment on the Credit Relief Initiative at
- 2 each meeting.]
- 3
- 4 Report of Committees
- 5 | [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, informed Board members
- 6 | that the Newsletter Committee is meeting next week to
- 7 discuss the next newsletter and will have more to
- 8 report at the next meeting.]
- 9 ***
- 10 | Correspondence
- 11 | [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the
- 12 | Board would need to do more research on Foreign
- 13 | Credentials Service of America (FCSA).
- 14 Chair Risler explained that the Board received
- 15 some requests from agencies asking them to put their
- 16 names on the website regarding evaluation of foreign
- 17 educational credentials. She stated the Board would
- 18 would have to do more research to determine whether
- 19 or not to add them to their website. She noted the
- 20 Board would also speak with NASBA to make the
- 21 determination as to which agencies are acceptable.
- 22 | She mentioned also receiving a letter from the City
- 23 of Philadelphia regarding an accounting matter that
- 24 | is outside the Board's jurisdiction.]
- 25 MR. ROUSE:

```
41
              Would the Chair entertain a motion to
1
              direct Board Counsel to draft a letter
2
 3
               consistent with the discussion in Executive
               Session regarding item 17, City of
 4
 5
               Philadelphia, Health and Human Services
               Cabinet.
 6
7
   CHAIR RISLER:
8
              Regarding item 17, City of Philadelphia
9
              Health and Human Services Cabinet, will the
10
              Board entertain a motion to direct counsel
11
              to draft a letter responding to that
12
              matter?
13
   MR. HOLLAND:
14
              So moved.
15
   MR. GRATER:
16
               Second.
17
   CHAIR RISLER:
18
              Any discussion? Roll call, please.
19
20
              Petchel, aye; Ocker, aye; O'Brien, aye;
21
              Holland, aye; Grater, aye; Ericson, aye;
22
              Eaton, aye; Risler, aye.
23
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
24
25
   Miscellaneous - 2023 State Board of Accountancy
```

- 1 | Annual Report
- 2 [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, addressed NASBA's
- 3 Annual Report announcing a new president and CEO, Dan
- 4 Dustin, and a new vice chair nominee. She encouraged
- 5 | Board members to read the letter from former
- 6 president, Ken Bishop, who is retiring, along with a
- 7 lot of other good information.]
- 8 ***
- 9 Miscellaneous NASBA Q1 2024 Enforcement Report
- 10 | [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, asked
- 11 whether the prosecutorial division would like to
- 12 receive NASBA's Enforcement Report.]
- 13
- 14 Miscellaneous NASBA Q1 2024 Candidate Care Report
- 15 | [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, referred to information
- 16 concerning issues regarding candidates taking the CPA
- 17 | Exam, noting they were consistent with prior
- 18 | periods.]
- 19 ***
- 20 | Miscellaneous NASBA Board of Directors Interest
- 21 | [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, noted receiving a
- 22 | letter regarding anyone interested in becoming a
- 23 member of the Board of Directors of NASBA.
- 24 **
- 25 Miscellaneous NASBA State Board Report

1 [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, also noted receiving

- 2 information from NASBA's Board.]
- 3 | ***
- 4 Miscellaneous Exam Fee Changes
- 5 | [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, reported exam fees
- 6 increased.]
- 7 | ***
- 8 | Miscellaneous Exam Pass Rates
- 9 [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, noted AICPA is
- 10 consistently monitoring exam pass rates regarding the
- 11 | new CPA Exam.
- 12 Mr. Ocker stated Mike Decker from AICPA made a
- 13 presentation during NASBA's Eastern Regional Meeting
- 14 and hoped to have everything leveled out within the
- 15 next year.
- 16 Mr. Ocker referred to item 11 and asked whether
- 17 | they are giving candidates in Pennsylvania relief in
- 18 the interim until the extension passes.
- 19 Mr. Rouse explained that the extension for the
- 20 CPA Exam is until June 30, 2025, and they are able to
- 21 get that relief.
- 22 Ms. Cryder stated the credit relief until June
- 23 2025 was only for credits in hand on December 31,
- 24 2023, so anybody currently going through the exam
- 25 process is still at the 18 months.

Ms. Cryder explained that until they go through the regulatory process that they do not get the benefit of the 30 months the Board intended when they voted last year. She further explained that PA candidates are stuck at 18 months until that regulation change happens.

Chair Risler asked whether the Board could provisionally do something between now and then.

2.3

2.4

Mr. Rouse stated the Board has the authority to grant an extension but must be based on something that is already in the regulations, noting the 30 months is still in the process.

Chair Risler mentioned that anyone in 2024 would have to apply for the extension through what is on the website, and the Board does not have any ability to change the conditions on that piece of paper.

Mr. Rouse noted the Board has an existing regulation, where an individual must complete all four sections of the CPA Exam within the 18-month rolling period.

Mr. Farrell suggested adding the extension information in the newsletter to make people aware that they can ask for an extension.]

* * *

25 Miscellaneous - Next Meeting Dates

```
45
1
   [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, reminded everyone that
2
   the remaining 2024 Board meeting dates are July 18,
3
   September 19, and November 19. She also noted 2025
4
   meeting dates.]
                               * * *
5
6
   [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, thanked everyone for
7
   attending the meeting.
        Chair Risler also thanked Mr. Rouse and Ms.
   Murphy for all of their support.]
10
   Adjournment
11
   CHAIR RISLER:
12
13
                  Motion to adjourn.
   MR. HOLLAND:
14
15
                  So moved.
   MR. GRATER:
16
17
                  Second.
18
   CHAIR RISLER:
19
                  Meeting adjourned.
                               * * *
20
21
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
22
   Accountancy Meeting adjourned at 12:16 p.m.]
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Accountancy meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Accountancy meeting.

Emily cowher

Emily Cowfer,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

	47
	STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY REFERENCE INDEX
	June 21, 2024
TIME	AGENDA
9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session
10:30	Official Call to Order
10:31	Roll Call/Introduction of Attendees
10:33	Approval of Minutes - Tabled
10:39	Report of Prosecutorial Division
10:45	Report of Board Counsel
10:49	Review of Program Sponsor Applications
10:50	Review of Requests
10:53	Report of Board Counsel (cont.)
11:14	Miscellaneous
11:57	Report of Board Counsel (cont.)
12:04	Report of Board Chair
12:04	Report of Board Administrator
12:05	Report of Committees
12:06	Correspondence
12:08	Miscellaneous (cont.)
12:16	Adjournment