

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

STATE ARCHITECTS LICENSURE BOARD

TIME: 10:34 A.M.

Held at

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

2525 North 7th Street

CoPA HUB, Eaton Conference Room

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

as well as

VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS

March 20, 2024

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

State Architects Licensure Board
March 20, 2024

BOARD MEMBERS:

Jerry K. Roller, RA, President
Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs
William J. Bates, RA, Secretary
Jonathan Burns, Esquire, Deputy Attorney General,
Office of Attorney General - Absent
Michael Johns, RA
Mary E. McClenaghan, RA, Vice President
Aram Piligian Jr., RA

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Executive Deputy
Chief Counsel, Department of State
Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution
Division
Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel
Ashley Goshert, Esquire, Board Counsel
Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution
Division
Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor and
Prosecution Liaison
Angela L. Solomon, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison
Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Prosecution
Jessica Harris, Board Administrator
Marc Farrell, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,
Office of Chief Counsel, Department of State
Deena Parmelee, Legal Office Administrator 1,
Department of State
Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director,
Department of State
Brian Poeschl, Legal Extern, Department of State
Benjamin McFadden, Legal Extern, Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

Jennifer Smeltz, Republican Executive Director,
Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure
Committee
Amal Mahrouki, Director of Legislative Affairs,
American Institute of Architects of Pennsylvania

State Architects Licensure Board
March 20, 2024

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Susan Frear, Staff Liaison, American Institute of
Architects Central Pennsylvania
Rachel Wilbur, Sargent's Court Reporting Service,
Inc.

1 ***

2 State Architects Licensure Board

3 March 20, 2024

4 ***

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act,
6 at 9:30 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
7 with Ashley B. Goshert, Esquire, Board Counsel, for
8 the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial
9 deliberations and to receive the advice of counsel.
10 The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

11 ***

12 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
13 Architects Licensure Board was held on Wednesday,
14 March 20, 2024. Jerry K. Roller, RA, President,
15 called the meeting to order at 10:34 a.m.

16 ***

17 Introduction of Board Members

18 [President Roller requested a roll call of Board
19 members.]

20 ***

21 Introduction of Attendees

22 [President Roller requested an introduction of
23 attendees.]

24 ***

25 [Ashley B. Goshert, Esquire, Board Counsel, reminded

1 everyone that the meeting was being recorded and
2 voluntary participation constituted consent to be
3 recorded.]

4

5 Approval of minutes of the November 9, 2023 meeting
6 [Jessica Harris, Board Administrator, noted the
7 minutes were not approved because one individual was
8 not present during introductions and then only
9 provided their first name. She explained that
10 nothing else could be added for approval.]

11 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

12 Do I have a motion to approve the
13 minutes from November 2023?

14 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

15 I'll make a motion to approve.

16 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

17 Do I have a second?

18 MR. PILIGIAN:

19 I'll second.

20 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

21 Ms. McClenaghan, would you call the
22 roll, please?

23

24 Mr. Roller, yes; Mr. Claggett, aye; Mr.
25 Bates, yes; Mr. Johns, aye; Ms.

1 McClenaghan, yes; Mr. Piligian, yes.

2 [The motion carried unanimously.]

3 ***

4 Approval of minutes of the January 11, 2024 meeting

5 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

6 We now have approval for the January

7 11, 2024 meeting minutes.

8 MS. MCCLLENAGHAN:

9 I'll make a motion to approve.

10 MR. PILIGIAN:

11 I'll second.

12 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

13 Motion is made and seconded. Any

14 discussion? Seeing none. Ms.

15 McClenaghan, would you call the roll,
16 please?

17

18 Mr. Roller, yes; Mr. Claggett, aye; Mr.

19 Bates, yes; Mr. Johns, aye; Ms.

20 McClenaghan, yes; Mr. Piligian, yes.

21 [The motion carried unanimously.]

22 ***

23 Report of Prosecutorial Division

24 [Angela L. Solomon, Esquire, Board Prosecution

25 Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No.

1 23-41-010344.]

2 MS. GOSHERT:

3 Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the
4 Sunshine Act, at 9:30 this morning, the
5 Board entered into Executive Session
6 with counsel for the purpose of
7 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations
8 and to receive advice of counsel.

9 Based on those Executive Session
10 deliberations, I believe the Board
11 would entertain a motion to approve the
12 Consent Agreement at Case No. 23-41-
13 010344.

14 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

15 Do I have a motion?

16 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

17 I'll make a motion to approve.

18 MR. PILIGIAN:

19 I'll second.

20 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

21 Ms. McClenaghan, would you call the
22 roll, please?

23

24 Mr. Roller, yes; Mr. Claggett, aye; Mr.
25 Bates, yes; Mr. Johns, aye; Ms.

1 early August. He further explained that once it is
2 received by IRRC and published in the *Pennsylvania*
3 *Bulletin* as a proposed rulemaking, the timing can get
4 scattered, because there are many steps from
5 publication to IRRC considering and approving it.

6 Mr. Farrell stated the average time between the
7 delivery of the proposed package and approval by IRRC
8 is 14.5 months, noting the goal of approval by IRRC
9 is August 2025. He referred to the annex and
10 informed Board members that he put the entire
11 chapter's worth of regulations in the document,
12 because there were so many revisions. He pulled in
13 the changes discussed and made on the general
14 revisions package as well as the rolling clock
15 changes.

16 Mr. Piligian asked how long it will take to be
17 implemented after IRRC approval.

18 Mr. Farrell explained that the Attorney General's
19 Office has 30 days of their own after IRRC's approval
20 and then it is placed in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*
21 for publication. He noted it will be 30 to 60 days
22 after IRRC's approval before it is published as final
23 in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.]

24 MS. GOSHERT:

25 I believe the Board will entertain a

1 motion, after reviewing the proposed
2 annex, to approve what was proposed and
3 move forward.

4 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

5 May I add, and to direct Board
6 Counsel's office to move ahead with all
7 due speed.

8 Can I have a motion?

9 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

10 I'll make a motion.

11 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

12 We have a motion. Do we have a second?

13 MR. BATES:

14 I'll second.

15 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

16 Ms. McClenaghan, would you call the
17 roll, please?

18
19 Mr. Roller, yes; Mr. Claggett, aye; Mr.
20 Bates, yes; Mr. Johns, aye; Ms.
21 McClenaghan, yes; Mr. Piligian, yes.

22 [The motion carried unanimously.]

23 ***

24 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report

25 ***

1 Miscellaneous - Appointment - AIA Pennsylvania Update
2 [Amal Mahrouki, Director of Legislative Affairs,
3 American Institute of Architects Pennsylvania,
4 reported many good things have been happening at the
5 American Institute of Architects (AIA) Pennsylvania.

6 Ms. Mahrouki noted recently being in Washington,
7 D.C., for an AIA Leadership Conference. She stated
8 AIA advocated for the Democracy and Design Act that
9 would relinquish any design mandate for federal
10 buildings ensuring there is a local choice and one of
11 AIA National's primary issues.

12 Ms. Mahrouki also noted advocating for Research
13 and Development (R&D) tax credits to be utilized in
14 the same year of doing the research and development,
15 instead of over the course of five years or to have
16 it amortized.

17 Ms. Mahrouki discussed federal fee structures for
18 the General Services Administration (GSA). She
19 mentioned that the Department of Defense recently
20 raised their fees to 10%, and AIA is advocating for
21 the rest of GSA and all of their federal contracts to
22 evaluate that and review the regulatory process.

23 Ms. Mahrouki informed Board members that they had
24 a very nice showing from Pennsylvania, including the
25 president and president-elect of AIA Pennsylvania,

1 AIA Pittsburgh, and AIA Philadelphia in addition to
2 some new professional members. She mentioned being
3 encouraged by their meetings with congressional staff
4 and hoped some of those issues would come to
5 fruition.

6 Ms. Mahrouki informed everyone of a meeting of
7 emerging professionals and their firm principals to
8 talk about being an emerging professional within a
9 firm in Pennsylvania and how to fast track their
10 career. She noted AIA is focusing on emerging
11 professionals to support them in their path through
12 licensure but also to talk about the synergies
13 between their firm leadership and themselves as they
14 continue on their path to licensure.

15 Ms. Mahrouki addressed state legislative affairs,
16 noting AIA continues to work with the Interior Design
17 Legislative Coalition of Pennsylvania (IDLCPA) on a
18 compromise bill that would provide mandatory
19 continuing education for architects in the
20 Commonwealth.

21 Ms. Mahrouki mentioned AIA is looking at 24
22 credit hours in health, safety, and welfare per
23 biennial renewal period and certifying interior
24 designers with only a title. She mentioned
25 previously reporting that to the Board, and the

1 Senate staff and the Senate team with Consumer
2 Protection and Professional Licensure have been very
3 helpful on that issue, noting AIA is looking forward
4 to talking about a draft moving forward.

5 Ms. Mahrouki stated AIA is looking at building
6 codes, and the Uniform Construction Code (UCC) is
7 being amended to be the 2021 codes, which should be
8 effective in July 2025. She noted that the Review
9 and Advisory Council has their last public meeting at
10 the end of March, and AIA Pennsylvania did weigh in
11 on the adoption of the 2021 codes moving forward.

12 Ms. Mahrouki provided an update regarding legal
13 reform. She mentioned that their Statute of Repose
14 Bill would define the term "lawfully" and also reduce
15 the Statute of Repose in Pennsylvania from 12 years
16 to 6 years. She noted having meetings scheduled in
17 April to discuss that issue and hoped to see more
18 movement.

19 Ms. Mahrouki stated an emerging issue for AIA has
20 been housing holistically with also a focus on
21 affordable housing. She mentioned well-intended
22 legislation being introduced around the housing and
23 zoning spaces, and AIA is considering how they could
24 weigh in.

25 Ms. Mahrouki stated AIA would like to see

1 architecture-led planning when it comes to affordable
2 housing and community development and also find ways
3 to engage other stakeholders. She reported that
4 AIA's Housing and Community Development Task Force
5 has been working on guiding documents and examining
6 other programs throughout the country, where they
7 might be able to provide a particular solution to the
8 housing crisis in Pennsylvania.

9 Ms. Mahrouki informed Board members that AIA will
10 have their leadership in town, including all of their
11 board, task force chairs, and government affairs
12 chairs April 8-9, 2024, for Architects Action Day.
13 She mentioned they will also continue to do their
14 District Days event, where they schedule meetings in
15 the district for all other members as their big
16 advocacy event.

17 Chair Roller asked whether the potential bill in
18 terms of interior design and continuing education had
19 been drafted.

20 Ms. Mahrouki stated AIA and IDLCPA provided a
21 draft and believed they were working on providing
22 feedback on a draft from the Legislative Reference
23 Bureau. She further explained that it had been
24 drafted but not formally introduced. Pages are being
25 studied to ensure that the intent of title versus

1 practice for interior design is maintained.

2 Mr. Piligian stated the people in his firm who
3 are interior designers have been studying for the
4 National Council for Interior Design Qualification
5 (NCIDQ), noting it to be a serious test after his own
6 review. He asked whether there was any thought of
7 incorporating a similar test into their registration
8 requirements.

9 Ms. Mahrouki noted incorporating a requirement
10 where an applicant would need to have a degree from
11 an accredited university, two years of experience
12 under a certified interior designer or architect, and
13 pass the NCIDQ in order to obtain the title of
14 interior designer.

15 Chair Roller asked whether it was appropriate for
16 the Board to look at that law and expressed a concern
17 with how continuing education is handled, where one
18 of the main issues with continuing education is
19 timing. He noted having a June renewal. There are a
20 number of states that do this on an annual basis, and
21 some states do it on the renewal, where it becomes
22 fairly cumbersome to people who hold multiple state
23 licenses to make sure they have the right number of
24 credits in the right window.

25 Chair Roller mentioned that the National Council

1 of Architectural Registration Boards (NCARB) Model
2 Law does weigh in and believed they recommend an
3 annual tally so they would need to have that for
4 whatever years before their license renewal. He
5 stated it makes it easier for their practitioners to
6 keep track of it and keep it in sync with other
7 states.

8 Ms. Goshert explained that the Board can weigh in
9 on that through legislative affairs. She noted they
10 do review drafts and would reach out to Board members
11 for feedback if anything crossed her desk.

12 Chair Roller asked whether the negotiating staff
13 would share that with the Board.

14 Ms. Mahrouki stated she would be happy to share
15 the draft with the Board but needed to get the draft
16 in a better place before it is official.

17 Chair Roller asked Ms. Harris to request NCARB's
18 Model Law language for continuing education and to
19 share that with AIA Pennsylvania.

20 Ms. Mahrouki stated she would be happy to look at
21 NCARB's language to ensure they do not have the
22 renewal timing issue. She mentioned as it is
23 currently drafted, there is a requirement to have 24
24 credits within their renewal period but does not
25 account for calendar year versus the renewal in June.

1 Chair Roller thanked Ms. Mahrouki for her
2 presentation and input.]

3

4 Report of Board President - Discussion - Inquirer
5 Article Tabled from January

6 [Jerry K. Roller, RA, President, addressed the
7 various publications by the *Philadelphia Inquirer*
8 casting aspersions on the Board in terms of actions
9 taken regarding an individual who has shown up on
10 more than their fair share of construction mishaps
11 and disasters.

12 Chair Roller noted being a bit taken aback in
13 terms of what the Board can and cannot do regarding
14 what he sees as protecting the health, safety, and
15 welfare of the public and not dealing with an
16 individual who seems to continue to create bad
17 situations. He asked why the Board cannot do
18 something.

19 Ray J. Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board
20 Prosecutor and Prosecution Liaison, stated the
21 article was about issues they were finding with
22 Philadelphia's Department of Licenses and Inspections
23 (L&I) between their own divisions, and they responded
24 to the press that they had individuals that they were
25 reporting to various agencies, including the

1 Philadelphia District Attorney's Office.

2 Mr. Michalowski noted that unlike the
3 Philadelphia District Attorney's Office, the Board
4 cannot comment on whether or not they received a
5 referral from the said agency. He stated the
6 District Attorney's Office said outright, no, they
7 had not.

8 Mr. Michalowski noted they have certainly
9 received a referral or two in the past that the Board
10 has seen and acted on from the Philadelphia L&I, but
11 the article seemed to imply that there were many
12 cases that were sent to the Board and not acted on.
13 He explained that they cannot comment on what was
14 sent and was not sent, because they cannot identify
15 complainants in a case and cannot go through a case
16 if it is open.

17 Mr. Michalowski noted receiving at least one in
18 the past but could not prove what the Philadelphia
19 L&I said. He mention having Ms. Solomon look through
20 the Philadelphia L&I, because they do have their own
21 disciplinary system. He reported Ms. Solomon has
22 done a great job of going through the L&I for the
23 past five to six years to look for any engineers,
24 architects, or other licensees.

25 Mr. Michalowski did not think there were any

1 landscape architects in there who have any kind of
2 action taken against them that they can look at. He
3 noted Ms. Solomon monitors that on a monthly basis.
4 He mentioned that regardless of whether they reported
5 it to them or not, their records would be reviewed to
6 confirm what the Board has acted on.

7 Mr. Michalowski found that most of the actions
8 can be contractor-related, but when they do find
9 something related to an engineer or architect, they
10 will institute their own outreach to the Department
11 of L&I to see if they have any files to share. He
12 noted their investigator will also reach out to any
13 other departments that might have investigated.

14 Mr. Michalowski believed they were talking about
15 designated supervisors in that article for engineers
16 or architects of record, not necessarily people doing
17 the design in all cases. He noted it did not
18 identify who was doing what, because then they named
19 names and they named this but did not connect one or
20 the other. This is what prosecution is working on.

21 Mr. Michalowski stated they send out
22 investigators and gather information, noting practice
23 standards for their Board or for the Engineer Board
24 would require an expert review by an independent
25 expert contracted with the Commonwealth or find an

1 engineer or architect from the expert services
2 available without any conflicts of interest.

3 Mr. Michalowski stated they send the files to be
4 reviewed to determine if there is a violation under
5 either act, under any of the codes of conduct,
6 standards of practice, or either of those acts and
7 then move forward.

8 Mr. Michalowski commented that to presume that
9 what was in the newspaper article defined what they
10 have knowledge of or had received in the past would
11 be a presumption too far. He mentioned that he
12 cannot say what they have not received but are
13 acting on what they have been made aware of. They
14 have taken the practice step of now monitoring their
15 system, so prosecution can see what action they are
16 taking.

17 Mr. Michalowski stated even minor things are
18 looked at if it names a registered architect, a
19 licensed engineer, or anybody who appears to be
20 licensed. He noted they are looking for both
21 licensed or registered individuals or individuals who
22 appear to be acting beyond the scope of what an
23 unlicensed or uncertain person can do.

24 Chair Roller mentioned that the issue in question
25 was adjudicated and expressed a concern with being

1 told that is the most they can do, noting he is still
2 frustrated in their responsibility to the
3 Commonwealth as far as protecting the health, safety,
4 and welfare of the public.

5 Chair Roller expressed his professional judgment,
6 noting the individual licensed by this Board was
7 acting beyond his capability and beyond the
8 capability of the profession in representing the
9 practice of an architect. He noted the individual is
10 doing something that architects should not be doing
11 as a professional, because what they were doing under
12 their professional capabilities is not part of it.

13 Mr. Michalowski noted that the case had been
14 resolved, where the Board had charges filed related
15 to a portion of that construction project but not the
16 portion where the collapse occurred. Other charges
17 were filed on the problems found by the expert.

18 Mr. Michalowski addressed the other issue
19 concerning the profession, noting he did research at
20 the time the case came up, where it seems to be
21 common in a number of larger cities, especially port
22 cities or coastal cities, concerning the term of
23 expediter, which is the phrase that is used in that
24 case and appears to be sort of a term of art.

25 Mr. Michalowski noted one of the questions would

1 be whether that role should be in some way by any
2 entity regulated, because it appears to be a common
3 practice done in cities that have various
4 requirements for clearances, permits, and all sorts
5 of items that would be necessary to make a
6 construction, demolition, or improvement project move
7 faster.

8 Mr. Michalowski explained that it seems to be
9 what that term encompasses. He mentioned that he was
10 not able to find any place other than possibly a city
11 itself that might regulate those individuals. He
12 noted it appears to be a variety of people with a
13 variety of different backgrounds who do it, including
14 architects, engineers, former city officials, people
15 who simply know what they are doing in the
16 governmental approval process.

17 Mr. Michalowski reported having no knowledge yet
18 of whether that occurred in any of the cases here and
19 the article did not relate to that. He noted the
20 article related to their requirement as Philly L&I to
21 have additional requirements that each project have a
22 designated architect or engineer in charge as a
23 supervising architect or engineer whether or not they
24 did the design, where there could be a design
25 professional designing and also supervising.

1 Mr. Michalowski commented that information is
2 found in newspaper articles, and he would like to
3 develop a great referral network with AIA PA and all
4 of the different agencies. He mentioned reaching out
5 to L&I from Pennsylvania to educate those individuals
6 like they did right before COVID. He noted they do
7 not handle Philadelphia and Pittsburgh.

8 Mr. Michalowski believed they should do it for
9 their Board and sister design boards to present to
10 those individuals who do the training for the
11 building officials in the rest of the Commonwealth.
12 They would spot issues to report like seal lapping
13 and inappropriate use of titles of
14 architect/engineering.

15 Chair Roller expressed a concern with what he
16 read in the newspaper, where there is an individual
17 licensed by this Board who is undertaking work in the
18 field that, at least under his professional opinion,
19 is not qualified as a professional to do. He
20 commented that somebody is holding themselves out as
21 capable of doing that because of their professional
22 license.

23 Mr. Piligian stated the role of an expediter is
24 to help architects with the bureaucracy and do not
25 absolve the architect or take any of that

1 responsibility out. He noted it to be a matter of
2 smoothing the permit process and not a matter of the
3 expediter overstepping his bounds in his experience
4 with expediters.

5 Mr. Johns commented that there may be times where
6 the expediter is meeting with the L&I representative
7 and having conversations with the reviewer about the
8 documents without the architect, and that could be
9 overstepping their bounds.

10 Mr. Piligian noted that it should fall on the
11 reviewer in that situation to inform the expediter
12 that they are there to help with the process but
13 cannot answer questions without the architect
14 present.

15 Mr. Johns asked Mr. Michalowski how they would be
16 able to monitor L&I disciplinary issues.

17 Mr. Michalowski explained that L&I publishes
18 action against individuals with disciplinary issues,
19 and Ms. Solomon looks through five to six years of
20 history for any architectural engineers that were
21 identified and then monitors it on a monthly basis.
22 He further explained that they go through the backlog
23 and look for any files meriting the opening an
24 investigation by their office.

25 Mr. Michalowski mentioned that there is a similar

1 system in Allegheny County and prior discussion about
2 talking to Pennsylvania L&I and doing some education
3 of their staff, who can then educate the individuals
4 in smaller counties of the state that do not have a
5 system available to help bring cases to them. He
6 noted it was something that was initiated in the past
7 by Chair Roller before he was the Board Chair.

8 Mr. Michalowski stated it would be good to
9 initiate and create relationships, because he would
10 like to see what is in their final actions earlier,
11 although what they share may be restricted when under
12 investigation due to confidentiality rules.

13 Mr. Bates asked whether there was any visibility
14 for the Board when there are repeat offenders, where
15 one of their professionals has become involved in
16 multiple situations that are problematic and force
17 L&I to look at their engagement of an issue in a
18 project that might have failed or threatened health,
19 safety, and welfare of the public.

20 Mr. Michalowski explained that it would apply to
21 any of the boards or commissions, where somebody is a
22 repeat offender with a past disciplinary record. He
23 noted they would consider a more progressive
24 discipline in the future, because the past discipline
25 did not work.

1 Mr. Michalowski mentioned they may or may not
2 have knowledge about one or more individuals, and
3 sometimes they have multiple offenses and complaints
4 on the same individual at one time.

5 Mr. Michalowski commented that their Board does
6 not see many of those, because their Board has very
7 few people who commit those kinds of violations, and
8 it would stand out much more in their profession. He
9 noted prosecution looks into that and will bring
10 action when appropriate.

11 Chair Roller commented that it is the sense of
12 the Board to want something more than a slap on the
13 wrist if a certain individual shows up again in front
14 of the Board.

15 Mr. Michalowski cautioned against making comments
16 that may require the Board to be recused from the
17 matter and give defense counsel the opportunity to
18 move the case away from the Board to a hearing
19 examiner who has to rely completely on the experts.

20 Mr. Michalowski introduced Tyesha Miley, who will
21 be working with Ms. Solomon, as the newest prosecutor
22 on his team.

23 Chair Roller welcomed Ms. Miley to the State
24 Architects Licensure Board.]

25

1 Report of Board President - Attendance at Annual
2 Business Meeting

3 [Jerry K. Roller, RA, President, noted Board members
4 need to designate a member of the Board to serve as a
5 voting member for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at
6 the annual business meeting.]

7 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

8 I would make a motion to nominate Jerry
9 Roller.

10 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

11 Is there a second?

12 MR. PILIGIAN:

13 I'll second that.

14 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

15 Would you call the roll, please?

16
17 Mr. Roller, yes; Mr. Claggett, aye; Mr.
18 Bates, yes; Mr. Johns, aye; Ms.
19 McClenaghan, yes; Mr. Piligian, yes.

20 [The motion carried unanimously.]

21 ***

22 Report of Board President - Discussion of
23 Resolutions/Regional Realignment

24 [Jerry K. Roller, RA, President, mentioned having
25 prior discussions concerning resolutions and awaiting

1 their final versions of. He hoped to have those for
2 further discussion at the May meeting.]

3

4 Report of Board President - NCARB Attendance at May
5 2024 Board Meeting

6 [Jerry K. Roller, RA, President, informed everyone
7 that the leadership of NCARB is anticipating being at
8 the May Board meeting in Harrisburg and encouraged
9 anyone who would like to meet with them to attend
10 that meeting.]

11

12 Report of Board President - NCARB Correspondence
13 [Jerry K. Roller, RA, President, noted NCARB
14 correspondence was submitted for general knowledge.]

15

16 Report of Board Administrator - No Report

17

18 Applications - Reciprocity without NCARB
19 Applications/Reciprocity with NCARB Applications

20 MS. GOSHERT:

21

At items 10 through 14, we have

22

Reciprocity Applications. I believe in

23

accordance with the discussions in

24

Executive Session, the Board would

25

entertain a motion to provisionally

1 deny the Application of Adolfo
2 Alatraste, Derron Sluser, Hannah
3 Weikel, Christian Crookless, and
4 Kirstin Hale Balzano.

5 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

6 Do we have a motion?

7 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

8 I'll make a motion.

9 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

10 Do we have a second?

11 MR. PILIGIAN:

12 I'll second.

13 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

14 We have a motion and a second to deny
15 the Reciprocal Applications. Ms.
16 McClenaghan, would you call the roll?

17

18 Mr. Roller, yes; Mr. Claggett, aye; Mr.
19 Bates, yes; Mr. Johns, aye; Ms.

20 McClenaghan, yes; Mr. Piligian, yes.

21 [The motion carried unanimously.]

22

23 Requests - ARE Accommodations

24 MS. GOSHERT:

25 At item 15 on the agenda, I believe, in

1 accordance with discussions in
2 Executive Session, the Board would
3 entertain a motion to approve in part
4 and deny in part the Accommodation
5 Request of Michael Todd Sebright.

6 Specifically the Board approved Mr.
7 Sebright's request for additional test
8 taking time and additional break time,
9 and the Board would deny Mr. Sebright's
10 request for use of a dictionary and/or
11 thesaurus and/or fractional calculator
12 during testing.

13 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

14 Do I have such a motion?

15 MS. MCCLLENAGHAN:

16 I'll make a motion.

17 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

18 Do I have a second?

19 MR. PILIGIAN:

20 I'll second.

21 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

22 Motion and a second. Please call the
23 roll.

24

25 Mr. Roller, yes; Mr. Claggett, aye; Mr.

1 ***

2 Requests - Firm Name Approval

3 MS. GOSHERT:

4 Item 17 has been tabled to obtain more
5 information.

6 ***

7 Adjournment

8 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

9 May I have a motion to adjourn?

10 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

11 Motion to adjourn.

12 PRESIDENT ROLLER:

13 We are adjourned until May 14.

14 ***

15 [There being no further business, the State
16 Architects Licensure Board Meeting adjourned at
17 11:33 a.m.]

18 ***

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Architects Licensure Board meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Architects Licensure Board meeting.



Rachel Wilbur-Adams,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

STATE ARCHITECTS LICENSURE BOARD
REFERENCE INDEX

March 20, 2024

	TIME	AGENDA
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	9:30	Executive Session
10	10:30	Return to Open Session
11		
12	10:34	Official Call to Order
13		
14	10:34	Introduction of Board Members
15		
16	10:35	Introduction of Attendees
17		
18	10:36	Approval of Minutes
19		
20	10:39	Report of Prosecutorial Division
21		
22	10:42	Report of Board Counsel
23		
24	10:52	Miscellaneous - Appointment - AIA
25		Pennsylvania Update
26		
27	11:04	Report of Board President
28		
29	11:30	Applications
30		
31	11:31	Requests
32		
33	11:33	Adjournment
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		