

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

**STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED
REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS**

TIME: 10:30 A.M.

Held at

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

2525 North 7th Street

CoPA HUB, Eaton Conference Room

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

as well as

VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS

Thursday, August 1, 2024

1 ***

2 State Board of Certified
3 Real Estate Appraisers

4 August 1, 2024

5 ***

6 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at
7 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with
8 Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have
9 attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of
10 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board
11 returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

12 ***

13 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed
14 everyone that the meeting of the State Board of
15 Certified Real Estate Appraisers was being held in a
16 hybrid format, in person and by livestreaming
17 teleconference, pursuant to Act 100 of 2021, which
18 requires boards to use a virtual platform to conduct
19 business when a public meeting is held.

20 Mr. Rouse noted the meeting was being recorded and
21 voluntary participation constituted consent to be
22 recorded.

23 Mr. Rouse also noted the Board entered into
24 Executive Session with Board Counsel to have attorney-
25 client consultations and for the purpose of conducting

1 quasi-judicial deliberations.]

2 ***

3 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board
4 of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held on
5 Thursday, August 1, 2024. Mark V. Smeltzer Sr.,
6 Chairman, Professional Member, officially called the
7 meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

8 ***

9 Roll Call

10 [Mark V. Smeltzer Sr., Chairman, Professional Member,
11 requested a roll call of Board members. A quorum was
12 present.]

13 ***

14 Introduction of Attendees

15 [Mark V. Smeltzer Sr., Chairman, Professional Member,
16 also requested an introduction of attendees.]

17 ***

18 Approval of minutes of the June 27, 2024 meeting

19 CHAIRMAN SMELTZER:

20 Did everyone get a chance to look them
21 over? Any questions about the minutes?
22 Can I get a motion for approval of the
23 minutes from June 27?

24 MR. STOERRLE:

25 I make that motion.

1 CHAIRMAN SMELTZER:

2 Does someone want to second the motion?

3 MR. AUSERMAN:

4 I second.

5 MS. HENNESSY HEMLER:

6 Mark Smeltzer, aye; William Stoerrle, aye;
7 Michael McFarlane, aye; John Ausherman,
8 aye; Chandra Mast, aye; Jonathan Schuck,
9 aye; Scott Hartman, aye; Martha Brown,
10 aye; Mark Wolfe, abstain; Paul Wentzel,
11 aye.

12 [The motion carried. Mark Wolfe abstained from voting
13 on the motion.]

14 ***

15 Report of Prosecutorial Division

16 [Ray J. Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor,
17 and Prosecution Liaison, had nothing to report.]

18 ***

19 Report of Board Counsel - Adjudication and Order

20 MR. ROUSE:

21 Item 2 on the agenda is the Final
22 Adjudication and Order in the Matter of
23 BPOA v. Gregory P. Rosato, Case No. 22-70-
24 009330.

25 After discussion in Executive

1 Foundation (TAF) to eliminate barriers to the appraisal
2 profession. He stated the U.S. Department of Housing
3 and Urban Development issued a press release on July 11,
4 2024, announcing HUD and The Appraisal Foundation
5 entered into a Conciliation Agreement to eliminate
6 barriers that prevent people of color from entering the
7 appraisal profession.

8 Mr. Rouse explained, under the terms of the
9 Conciliation Agreement, The Appraisal Foundation will
10 establish a \$1.22 million scholarship fund over the
11 course of three years to cover the cost of aspiring
12 appraisers to enroll and complete the Practical
13 Applications of Real Estate Appraisal (PAREA) course.

14 Mr. Rouse noted the Conciliation Agreement was
15 effective as of July 10, 2024 and expires July 9, 2027.
16 He stated the Conciliation Agreement was developed and
17 created under the Fair Housing Act.

18 Mr. Rouse stated the Office of Systemic
19 Investigations opened an investigation on December 3,
20 2021, to determine whether The Appraisal Foundation's
21 policies and practices violated Section 806 of the Fair
22 Housing Act, in particular whether the the Appraiser
23 Qualifications Board (AQB) qualification criteria
24 resulted in a disparate racial impact on entry into the
25 appraisal profession, including the requirements for a

1 supervisor, the amount of experience required, and
2 education.

3 Mr. Rouse reported HUD issued no findings or
4 conclusions as a result of the investigation. He noted
5 The Appraisal Foundation identified numerous efforts it
6 has made over the years to promote diversity in the
7 profession and entered into this Conciliation Agreement
8 voluntarily and as an additional step to further
9 demonstrate its commitment to diversity, inclusion, and
10 fair housing.

11 Mr. Rouse addressed the Conciliation Agreement
12 itself, where The Appraisal Foundation, within 90 days
13 of the effective date of the agreement, is to request
14 AQB consider adopting changes to include PAREA mentors
15 completing valuation bias and fair housing laws and
16 regulations training prior to working with trainees,
17 PAREA program providers tracking PAREA program
18 applications, and PAREA providers including diverse
19 communities in their outreach and marketing.

20 Mr. Rouse stated, within 180 days of the effective
21 date of the agreement, The Appraisal Foundation is to
22 establish a Pathways to Success Scholarship
23 Fund administered by a third party approved by HUD to
24 provide scholarship assistance to aspiring appraisers.

25 Mr. Rouse noted The Appraisal Foundation has to

1 contribute at least \$560,000 per year to the scholarship
2 for two years of the Conciliation Agreement and at least
3 100,000 in the third year of the Conciliation Agreement,
4 totaling \$1.22 million over the three-year period and is
5 to be used to fund the program cost for candidates to
6 attend an AQB-approved PAREA program.

7 Mr. Rouse mentioned that The Appraisal Foundation
8 intends to contribute to the scholarship fund even after
9 the Conciliation Agreement has expired. He noted The
10 Appraisal Foundation is to update its code of conduct
11 for organizations and individuals affiliated with
12 The Appraisal Foundation to clarify the current policies
13 and professional and ethical conduct requirement of
14 compliance with federal, state, and local laws to
15 specifically include fair housing laws within 90 days of
16 the effective date.

17 Mr. Rouse noted an additional requirement regarding
18 The Appraisal Foundation and its coordination with state
19 appraiser regulatory agencies, where The Appraisal
20 Foundation, within 90 days of the effective date, is to
21 communicate with each U.S. state and territory appraiser
22 regulatory agency that has not fully approved PAREA to
23 recommend that they adopt the licensing requirements to
24 provide 100% credit towards the experience requirement
25 for a licensed appraiser and certified residential

1 appraiser.

2 Mr. Rouse also noted The Appraisal Foundation is to
3 meet with state and territory appraiser regulatory
4 agencies virtually or by phone to advise of the
5 importance of PAREA in opening the doors to The
6 Appraisal Foundation.

7 Mr. Rouse noted AQB sent a member to speak to the
8 Board about PAREA, which was effective in the Board
9 determining that it would draft regulations to adopt
10 PAREA for the 100% of experience requirement for
11 certified residential and 50% for certified general
12 appraisers.

13 Mr. Rouse reported that any breaches of the
14 Conciliation Agreement would be referred to the U.S.
15 Attorney General's Office.

16 Chair Smeltzer commented that The Appraisal
17 Foundation still avers that they did not violate any
18 provisions of the Fair Housing Act as part of the
19 conciliation.

20 Chair Smeltzer referred to the Conciliation
21 Agreement and asked where they stand with PAREA.

22 Mr. Rouse referred to Regulation 16A-7029 Distance
23 Education and PAREA and informed Board members that the
24 proposed rulemaking package was delivered to the
25 Pennsylvania General Assembly and Independent Regulatory

1 Review Commission on June 3, 2024.

2 Mr. Rouse reported receiving one written comment
3 from the Appraisal Institute before the 30-day comment
4 period ended on July 29, 2024, which was sent to the
5 Independent Regulatory Review Commission and the
6 Pennsylvania General Assembly. He noted IRRC has until
7 August 28, 2024, to provide comments and provided a
8 summary of the regulatory process. He explained that
9 the Board will know if IRRC had any comments at the
10 September meeting on the proposed regulation so the
11 final regulation may be formulated.

12 Ms. Mast commented that it is an excellent plan
13 involving quantitative data and asked who is going to be
14 behind a white paper. She noted that gathering a lot of
15 the data is what they need to move forward, but the
16 confidentiality and the redacted nature of a lot of the
17 data is what stands in its way.

18 Chair Smeltzer believed information regarding how
19 many people applied and were approved for PAREA would
20 not be hard to find but that it is going to be difficult
21 to track with The Appraisal Foundation keeping certain
22 information about the makeup of the appraiser
23 population.

24 Scott DiBiasio, Manager, State and Industry Affairs,
25 Appraisal Institute, informed Board members that he

1 cannot comment on the Conciliation Agreement because
2 they are not part of it. He stated there is much
3 information to be provided regarding the AQB-approved
4 PAREA provider.

5 Mr. DiBiasio reported about 150 or so people are
6 enrolled in PAREA right now, along with a waiting list
7 of a similar amount. He noted approximately 1600 people
8 have commenced a readiness checklist. He reported six
9 individuals graduated from the licensed residential (LR)
10 program with two of those passing the National Uniform
11 Licensing and Certification Examination and obtaining
12 their credential.

13 Mr. DiBiasio also reported that the one young lady,
14 who received a licensed in South Carolina, was also able
15 to be licensed by reciprocity in Georgia, which is a
16 state that has not yet indicated acceptance of PAREA.
17 He noted one of the individuals is continuing to work
18 under shadowing another certified appraiser as an
19 employee within an appraisal firm and another one is
20 continuing into the certified residential PAREA program.

21 Mr. DiBiasio reported that the Appraisal Institute
22 is experiencing their share of challenges, noting one of
23 the biggest challenges is that individuals are required
24 to come to them with all of their qualifying education
25 completed and finding that the people are unprepared to

1 enter into the experience portion of their training.

2 Mr. DiBiasio stated their mentors are having to
3 spend extra time reeducating them, so the individuals
4 are able to proceed through the PAREA program. He
5 reported that students are also finding the PAREA
6 program to be extremely rigorous and difficult. He
7 believed it to be a good thing from a regulatory
8 perspective, because it is showing that individuals are
9 being appropriately challenged and are learning the
10 methods and techniques in an appropriate manner.

11 Mr. DiBiasio reported that it is taking
12 significantly longer than the six months or so to get
13 through each of the 13 assignments in the LR program.
14 He mentioned that the Appraisal Institute is considering
15 putting an entrance exam in place and putting time
16 limits on the amount of time each person can spend in
17 each assignment. He noted that people are not
18 dedicating the amount of time necessary to go through
19 PAREA.

20 Mr. DiBiasio stated the Appraisal Institute is not
21 turning people out of the program quickly enough to be
22 able to bring new people into the program to start their
23 training. He reported having five mentors who are at
24 capacity and not being able to enroll new people in the
25 program, which is why there is a waiting list of 150

1 people.

2 Mr. DiBiasio mentioned being hopeful that IRRC and
3 the legislature will look favorably on the work they did
4 in collaboration with the Board regarding the package of
5 rules.

6 Mr. DiBiasio referred to the Conciliation Agreement,
7 noting that The Appraisal Foundation has already done
8 some of the things they agreed to do as far as complying
9 with the Conciliation Agreement, and the Appraisal
10 Institute would provide any necessary information upon
11 request.

12 Chair Smeltzer commented that \$1.22 million over the
13 next three years is being provided through The Appraisal
14 Foundation with most of the funding going toward the
15 PAREA program. He requested information as far as
16 individual cost and how many people are being funded.

17 Mr. DiBiasio explained that each of the modules is
18 roughly \$4,400 and would be about \$8,800 to go all the
19 way through to certified residential (CR). He mentioned
20 that scholarships, payment plans, and other kinds of
21 different arrangements are available for individuals who
22 want to be part of PAREA. He commented that the cost is
23 reasonable compared to training for other professions
24 and occupations, noting more money is now going to be
25 made available to help people through that program.

1 Chair Smeltzer noted appreciation for all of the
2 efforts from the Appraisal Institute on this issue. He
3 also thanked the Appraisal Institute for their
4 involvement in the Appraiser Diversity Initiative
5 program presented at Lincoln University in Philadelphia,
6 which is the first Historically Black College and
7 University (HBCU), noting there was much interest in the
8 PAREA program.

9 Chair Smeltzer stated the Appraisal Institute will
10 have the responsibility of making sure their PAREA
11 mentors have taken the approved 7-hour education on fair
12 housing and diversity.

13 Mr. DiBiasio noted that all of their mentors are
14 state-certified appraisers and will comply with that
15 requirement in the Conciliation Agreement by virtue of
16 having to take the class to satisfy their certification
17 requirements and their continuing education requirements
18 in their state and will take it sooner than January 1,
19 2026, if necessary.

20 Michelle Czekalski Bradley, Chair, Appraisal
21 Standards Board; CGA, AQB-certified USPAP Instructor,
22 informed Board members that it is not in her purview to
23 comment as Chair of the Appraisal Standards Board.

24 Chair Smeltzer mentioned that he liked everything in
25 the Conciliation Agreement but believed there would be

1 questions concerning the attempt to remove the degree
2 requirement for the general appraiser and expected to
3 hear more on that from the AQB at the Association of
4 Appraiser Regulatory Officials (AARO) Conference.

5 Chair Smeltzer stated one of the requirements is a
6 code of conduct for people who are affiliated, noting
7 they no longer have sponsors. He commented that the
8 code of conduct is 52 pages, which is almost as long as
9 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraiser Practice
10 (USPAP) at 58 pages.

11 Chair Smeltzer thanked Ms. Czekalski Bradley and Mr.
12 DiBiasio.

13 Mr. Stoerrle expressed concern with having 150
14 people waiting to get into the program and not having a
15 time frame of completion for the 150 people already in
16 the program. He asked whether the Appraisal Institute
17 considered hiring more mentors due to being at capacity.

18 Mr. DiBiasio explained that hiring mentors is always
19 being discussed but are currently focusing on moving
20 people through the program in a quicker fashion to be
21 able to bring new people into the program. He mentioned
22 that some people are on the first practice appraisal
23 assignments after having been in the program for six or
24 eight months, because they are not dedicating the time
25 necessary to go through the program.

1 Mr. DiBiasio stated the Appraisal Institute looked
2 at allowing two weeks per practice assignment, which
3 would equate to 26 weeks and is the required time for
4 someone going through the traditional supervisor
5 training model, to move people through the program a
6 little bit quicker.

7 Mr. Rouse requested confirmation that they are on
8 the first assignment for the licensed residential model
9 and have not gotten to the certified residential model.

10 Mr. DiBiasio noted Mr. Rouse to be correct but
11 mentioned that Pennsylvania does not have the LR
12 credential, where individuals would have to go all the
13 way through to CR. He referred to Pennsylvania, where
14 someone looking to go to CR could take the 150 hours of
15 required education, go through LR PAREA, then take the
16 additional 50 hours of education before entering into CR
17 PAREA.

18 Mr. Hartman referred to Conciliation Agreement item
19 21(b), where there is an obligation for them to meet
20 virtually or by phone with regulatory agencies and asked
21 whether that has been scheduled.

22 Chair Smeltzer noted it is not an obligation for the
23 Board to meet with them, but rather an obligation for
24 them to meet with the Board. He did not know of
25 anything scheduled yet but suggested letting them know

1 they would be available and get the meeting scheduled.

2 Mr. Rouse explained that AQB did send a member to
3 the Board to discuss PAREA when all of the state
4 agencies were supposed to discuss it.

5 Chair Smeltzer commented that even though the Board
6 met with AQB he was not sure whether that will meet the
7 Conciliation Agreement, because it occurred before the
8 conciliation.

9 Mr. Rouse also mentioned that it is incumbent upon
10 The Appraisal Foundation, because they entered into the
11 Conciliation Agreement to review all of the state
12 agencies that had not fully adopted.

13 Mr. Hartman expressed concern with getting into a
14 finger-pointing exercise if they run out of time. He
15 suggested they communicate with them because they only
16 meet every six weeks and the next one or two meetings
17 are within the 90-day window, so they are aware to
18 schedule the meeting.

19 Chair Smeltzer stated it is a good idea for the
20 Board to get their schedule out to The Appraisal
21 Foundation to let them know when they are having
22 meetings.

23 Mr. Rouse also suggested contacting the program
24 manager with ASC.

25 Chair Smeltzer commented that The Appraisal

1 Foundation is not part of the ASC but a separate
2 organization and contacting them is a good idea. He
3 noted they could also discuss this with their program
4 manager to show the Board is taking that step.]

5 ***

6 Miscellaneous - Appraisal Standards Board's Questions
7 and Answers (Q&As) Concerning Yellow Book and
8 Competency

9 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, announced that
10 the Appraisal Standards Board issued a new Q&A on the
11 Yellow Book and competency on July 15, 2024, and is
12 available on The Appraisal Foundation's website, the
13 *Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land*
14 *Acquisitions (UASFLA)* or Yellow Book.

15 Mr. Rouse addressed a question concerning a client
16 who requested an appraisal assignment that would be used
17 in connection with the acquisition of the property for a
18 federal government agency. He noted the client did not
19 identify any requirements in addition to USPAP to the
20 appraiser for that appraiser to follow. He mentioned
21 that the appraiser believed that they should also follow
22 the Yellow Book and asked whether the appraiser would be
23 required to comply with the federal laws, regulations,
24 and the Yellow Book standards if they accepted the
25 assignment, even though the client did not specifically

1 ended on July 27, 2024.]

2 ***

3 Regulations/Statute - Regulatory Report

4 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided a
5 Regulatory Status Report for the Board's review. He
6 noted the exposure draft for Regulation 16A-725
7 regarding fees was released to stakeholders, and any
8 written comments will be discussed at the next meeting
9 in September.

10 Ms. Mast commented that Yellow Book courses are
11 extremely difficult to come by as an appraiser but will
12 have the opportunity this fall in Lancaster County after
13 waiting over a year. She noted the importance of
14 knowing whether laws and regulations apply and whether
15 the scope of work is relevant. She asked whether the
16 course is going to become part of their required
17 education going forward and mentioned that not many
18 appraisers are aware of the course.

19 Chair Smeltzer commented that not many appraisers
20 take on Yellow Book assignments because it is specific
21 to federal land acquisitions. He noted the UASFLA is a
22 small group but believed there are organizations that
23 specialize in this type of work, such as the
24 International Right of Way Association (IRWA).

25 Chair Smeltzer mentioned that the Appraisal

1 Institute also has an excellent program on this, noting
2 The Appraisal Foundation was heavily involved in the
3 development. He mentioned that the Yellow Book is
4 available on The Appraisal Foundation's website and
5 agreed that there is difficulty finding that course.

6 Ms. Mast commented that the genesis of the question
7 was to convey that it is up to the appraiser to know
8 what laws and regulations are applicable in an
9 assignment.

10 Chair Smeltzer referred to the updates to the
11 ethics rule that requires appraisers to know when the
12 Civil Rights Act of 1866 applies, Fair Housing Act
13 applies, Equal Opportunity Act applies, and the Yellow
14 Book applies.]

15 ***

16 Report of Board Chairman - No Report

17 ***

18 Report of Board Administrator

19 [Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator, provided
20 an on-screen view and summary of the new Department of
21 State website at www.dos.pa.gov/real. She also provided
22 an overview of Board resources and documents for
23 information pertaining to application submission and
24 forms.]

25 ***

1 Report of Committees - Revisions to Experience Logs
2 [Mark V. Smeltzer Sr., Chairman, Professional Member,
3 announced that the Experience Log Committee has not yet
4 met and tabled revisions to experience logs until the
5 September meeting.]

6 ***

7 Public Comment/Discussion

8 [Michelle Czekalski Bradley, Chair, Appraisal Standards
9 Board; CGA, AQB-certified USPAP Instructor, informed
10 Board members that people have been contacting her with
11 some confusion regarding two issues. She noted they are
12 asking when the requirement is to take the 7-hour bias
13 course in Pennsylvania. She mentioned that she has
14 already spoken with Ms. Hennessy Hemler. She noted the
15 course must be taken between the dates of July 1, 2025,
16 to June 30, 2027. She also noted the mandatory bias
17 course must be taken during the next renewal cycle, and
18 there is no requirement to take a mandatory CE course
19 before June 2025.

20 Mr. Rouse and Board members noted Ms. Czekalski
21 Bradley to be correct.

22 Mr. Rouse further explained that the reporting
23 period is the time appraisers are to take the mandatory
24 7-hour course on valuation bias and fair housing laws
25 and regulations but is also okay if someone takes it

1 before that period of time. He stated everyone must
2 have taken the course between July 1, 2025 and June 30,
3 2027.

4 Mr. Rouse mentioned that the renewal period for
5 2027 would open 45 days before June 30, 2027, and
6 explained that licensees would be uploading their
7 continuing education certificates for things like USPAP
8 and would also upload their valuation bias and fair
9 housing continuing education documentation for renewal
10 as well.

11 Ms. Czekalski Bradley noted the second question is
12 regarding a rumor that the Pennsylvania State Board of
13 Certified Real Estate Appraisers mandates a digital
14 computer rendered sketch of the subject property in
15 every appraisal report, regardless of the client's
16 intended use or assignment condition. She believed that
17 to be false but wanted it read into the official minutes
18 that the Board does not have that as a regulation.

19 Chair Smeltzer confirmed that nothing states that
20 in their regulations.

21 Mr. Rouse reminded everyone that the practice act
22 and regulations are all available on their website for
23 anyone who has a question regarding appraisers,
24 certified Pennsylvania evaluators, and appraisal
25 management companies, as well as the Board's

1 regulations.

2 Chair Smeltzer commented that the Board requires
3 people to comply with the Uniform Standards of
4 Professional Appraisal Practice, so until their board
5 puts that into USPAP, it is not in the Board's
6 requirements. He noted the Board requires their
7 signature and license status on an appraisal report but
8 does not require anything different other than the
9 requirements of identifying their certification status
10 with the expiration date, type of certification, and
11 signature.

12 Emme Reiser, Political Programs Manager,
13 Pennsylvania Association of Realtors, followed up on a
14 question asked at the last board meeting about whether
15 or not commission rates could be put in the Multiple
16 Listing Service (MLS) after a transaction was completed.
17 She explained that the simple answer is no but may be
18 partly up to the individual MLS as to whether or not
19 they allow that.

20 Ms. Reiser mentioned that PAR's head of legal
21 provided a link from Bright MLS showing a little more
22 information on how compensation is going to work in the
23 MLS in the future.

24 Mr. Stoerrle referred to a webinar he recently
25 completed yesterday and noted that he uses the Greater

1 Lehigh Valley Realtors MLS. He mentioned being told
2 that when the property is sold that there will be a
3 drop-down box for seller concessions and fees, but they
4 cannot do that when the property is on the market. He
5 mentioned it is not etched in stone and can go away in
6 seven years.

7 Mr. Stoerrle also mentioned they are trying to get
8 the actual listing agents to provide their cell phone
9 numbers because both the appraisers and other agents who
10 want to show the property need to be able to get a hold
11 of the person handling the transaction as far as fees.
12 He noted it would be helpful for the appraiser because a
13 lot of agents only provide the number of the real estate
14 office.]

15 ***

16 Adjournment

17 CHAIRMAN SMELTZER:

18 I entertain a motion to adjourn.

19 MR. STOERRLE:

20 So moved.

21 CHAIRMAN SMELTZER:

22 Someone want to second that?

23 MR. MCFARLANE:

24 I second.


25 CHAIRMAN SMELTZER:

We're adjourned.

[There being no further business, the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers Meeting adjourned at 11:41 a.m.]

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers meeting.



Allison Walker,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting

Service, Inc.

STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED
REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
REFERENCE INDEX

August 1, 2024

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

TIME	AGENDA
9:00	Executive Session
10:30	Return to Open Session
10:30	Official Call to Order
10:30	Roll Call
10:30	Introduction of Attendees
10:32	Approval of Minutes
10:34	Report of Board Counsel
11:22	Regulations/Statute
11:27	Report of Board Administrator
11:29	Report of Committees
11:30	Public Comment/Discussion
11:41	Adjournment