State Board of Nursing May 2, 2024

2 3

BOARD MEMBERS:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Linda L. Kmetz, PhD, RN, Chair Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Sue E. Hertzler, LPN Linda A. Kerns, Esquire, Public Member

Colby P. Hunsberger, DNP, RN, CNEcl, Vice Chair Tina D. Siegel, LPN

Charlene W. Compher, PhD, RD, LDN, FASPEN
Donald H. Bucher, DNP, CRNP, ACNP-BC, FAANP,
Secretary

15 16 17

COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEYS:

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Executive Deputy Chief Counsel, Department of State Carole Clarke Smith, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel Ariel E. O'Malley, Esquire, Board Counsel Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel Megan E. Castor, Esquire, Board Counsel Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel

Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel Codi M. Tucker, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor and Prosecution Co-Liaison

T'rese Evancho, Esquire, Board Prosecutor and Prosecution Co-Liaison

David J. Schertz, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Kathryn E. Bellfy, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Matthew Sniscak, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Adrianne Doll, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Matthew Fogal, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Trista M. Boyd, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Alex Capitello, Legal Analyst, Office of Prosecution

39 40 41

DEPARTMENT OF STATE AND BOARD STAFF:

43 44 45

46 47

48

49

50

42

Wendy Miller, MSN, RN, Executive Secretary Cynthia K. Miller, Board Administrator Leslie House, MSN, RN, Nursing Practice Advisor Susan Bolig, MSN, RN, Nursing Practice Advisor Kelly Hoffman, MSN, RN, Nursing Education Advisor Sue Petula, PhD, MSN, RN, NEA-BC, FRE, Nursing Education Advisor

State Board of Nursing May 2, 2024

1 2

<u>DEPARTMENT OF STATE AND BOARD STAFF:</u> (Cont.)

Tracy Scheirer, PhD, MSN, RN, CMSRN, CNE, Nursing Education Advisor

Dulcey Frantz, DNP, RN, RAC-C, Nursing Practice Advisor

Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State

Michael McDonald, Policy Director, Department of State

Piri Pantoja, Jr., Deputy Director of Legislative Affairs, Department of State

Kevin Knipe, MSW, LSW, CCDP Diplomate, Co-Program
Manager, Professional Health Monitoring Program
Julie Droddy, MS, BSW, Co-Program Manager,
 Professional Health Monitoring Program

ALSO PRESENT:

Edwin Kim, MD, DABPN, MRO, Medical Director,
Physicians Health Monitoring Program/Nurses' Health
Program

Tiffany Booher, MA, LPC, CAADC, CIP, CCSM, Director, Peer Assistance Monitoring Programs, Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical Society

Raymond C. Truex, Jr., MD, FACS, FAANS, MRO, Medical Director, Nurses' Health Program, Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical Society

Tracey Ziegler, RN, BSN, Nurses' Health Program Nurse Consultant and Advisory Committee Member, Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical Society

Wayne E. Reich, Jr., MSN, MBA, RN, Chief Executive Officer, Pennsylvania State Nurses Association Jordan Fuhrman, Government Relations Specialist,

Pennsylvania State Nurses Association Heather Wilson, MSW, CFRE, Executive Director, Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical Society

Susan Apold, PhD, RN, ANP-BC, A-GNP-B, FAAN, FAANP, Chief Nursing Administrator, Chestnut Hill College

Brian McCloskey, DM, MBA, Vice President for Financial Affairs, Chestnut Hill College

David Burnett, Esquire, Executive Director and Legal Counsel, Pennsylvania Senate

P. Daniel Altland, Esquire, Pennsylvania Association of Nurse Anesthetists

123456

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

49 50

State Board of Nursing May 2, 2024

ALSO PRESENT: (Cont.)

Laurie A. Badzek, LLM, JD, MS, RN, Dean and Professor, Ross and Carol Nese College of Nursing, Pennsylvania State University

Dr. Jaime Sinutko Assistant Professor University

Dr. Jaime Sinutko, Assistant Professor, University of Detroit Mercy

Nicole Campbell, Division Chief, Division of Law Enforcement Education and Trade Schools, Pennsylvania Department of Education

Jenny Piper, Board Administrator, Board of Private Licensed Schools, Pennsylvania Department of Education

Heather Haines, BSN, RN, Practical Nursing Coordinator, Mifflin County Academy of Science and Technology

Larissa Hutchins, MSN, RN, CCRN, CCNS, NEA-BC, Director of Nursing Professional Development, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

Janyce Collier, MSN, RN, CNE, JLM Consulting

Kathleen Rundquist, MSN, RN, CNE, Nurse Administrator and Financial Aid Administrator, Franklin County Practical Nursing Program

Mary Kay Jurovcik, Western Governors University Pam Hughes, MSN, CRNP, FNP-C, United Career Institute Misha Patel, Esquire, Pennsylvania Medical Society Nicole Sidle, Republican Executive Director, House

Professional Licensure Committee

Sean Laney, IT Administrator, Financial Aid Assistant & Compliance Officer, The Rapha School Shane Moes, Advisory Committee Member, Nurses' Health Program, Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical Society

Shauna Boscaccy, Esquire, Vice President, GSL Public Strategies Group

Wesley J. Rish, Esquire, Rish Law Office, LLC Ashleigh Strange, Executive Director, Governor's Advisory Commission on LGBTQ Affairs

Susan C. Lewis, MSN, RN, Practical Nursing Program, Director, Fayette County Career & Technical Institute

Lisa Storck, DNP, RN, Program Director, St. Luke's School of Nursing

Denise Vanacore, PhD, CRNP, Holy Family University Khadijah Williams, MSN-ED, RN, Director of Nursing, Prism Career Institute

State Board of Nursing May 2, 2024

ALSO PRESENT: (Cont.)

Andrea Wandling, PHR, SHRM-CP, Human Resources Manager, Pennsylvania Association of Community Health Centers

Health Centers

Jean Marie Truman, DNP, Director of

Assessment/Program Review, Associate Professor of
Nursing, University of Pittsburgh at Bradford

Bailey Shafer, Adjunct Lecturer, Gannon University Lorie Filitsky, Fayette County Technical Institute Brice Nixon, PhD, Chestnut Hill College

Franchesca Torres, Press Office Coordinator, Governor's Press Office

Paula Spack, Vice President Patient Care Services, Punxsutawney Area Hospital

Punxsutawney Area Hospital Rosemary Cribbs, The Rapha School

Louise S. Frantz, RN, BSN, MHA, Ed, Coordinator, Practical Nursing Program, Penn State Berks Campus

Aaron Telesz, BMET, Banner Health Tanner Mobley, The Trevor Project

25 Jill Snyder, RN

26 Troy Stevenson

27 | 908-720-5015

28 | 201-608-0740

29 | 502-836-3272

30 724-422-2675

Derek Richmond, Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

6 * * * 1 2 State Board of Nursing 3 May 2, 2024 * * * 4 5 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 6 Board of Nursing was held on Thursday, May 2, 2024. 7 Linda L. Kmetz, PhD, RN, Chair, called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. 9 10 Introduction of Board Members 11 [Linda L. Kmetz, PhD, RN, Chair, requested an 12 introduction of Board members. A quorum was 13 present.] 14 * * * 15 Introduction of Board Staff 16 [Wendy Miller, MSN, RN, Executive Secretary, provided 17 an introduction of Board staff. * * * 18 Introduction of Board Counsel 19 20 [Carole Clarke Smith, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel, 21 introduced Board Counsel.] 22 23 Introduction of Board Prosecution 24 [Codi M. Tucker, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor and 25 Prosecution Co-Liaison, introduced Board

```
1
   prosecutors.]
                               * * *
2
3
   Introduction of In-Person Attendees
4
   [Linda L. Kmetz, PhD, RN, Chair, requested an
5
   introduction of those attending in person.]
                               * * *
6
7
   Introduction of Virtual Attendees
   [Cynthia K. Miller, Board Administrator, provided an
   introduction of virtual attendees.]
10
11
   Adoption of the Agenda
   CHAIR KMETZ:
12
13
                  The agenda is before you. Do we have
14
                  any additions or corrections?
15
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
                  The addition would be to have a
16
17
                  discussion about changing the June 4
18
                  meeting date.
19
   CHAIR KMETZ:
20
                  May I have a motion to adopt the agenda
21
                  with that one addition?
22
   DR. BUCHER:
23
                  So moved.
24
   MS. HERTZLER:
25
                  Second.
```

```
8
   CHAIR KMETZ:
1
2
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
3
                  Abstentions?
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
4
                               * * *
5
6
   Adoption of the Minutes
7
   CHAIR KMETZ:
8
                  We have two sets of minutes before us.
9
                  We have January 29. Do we have any
10
                  additions or corrections?
11
                       If there are none, may I have a
12
                  motion for approval of the January 29
13
                  minutes?
14
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
15
                  So moved.
   DR. BUCHER:
16
17
                  Second.
18
   CHAIR KMETZ:
19
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
20
                  Abstentions?
21
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
22
23
   Adoption of the Minutes
24
   CHAIR KMETZ:
25
                  We also have March 6 minutes in front
```

```
9
1
                          Any additions or corrections?
                  of us.
2
                  Hearing none; seeing none, may I have a
3
                  motion for approval?
4
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
5
                  So moved.
6
   MS. HERTZLER:
7
                  Second.
8
   CHAIR KMETZ:
9
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
10
                  Abstentions?
11
   [The motion carried. Arion Claggett and Dr. Charlene
12
   Compher abstained from voting on the motion.]
13
                              * * *
14
   [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,
15
   reminded everyone that the meeting was being
16
   recorded, and voluntary participation constituted
   consent to be recorded.]
17
18
19
   Report of Prosecutorial Division
20
   [Chair Kmetz noted VRP Consent Agreement items 2
21
   through 8 and 94.]
22
23
   [Chair Kmetz noted Ms. Bellfy's items 9 through 11
24
   and 98.
25
                              * * *
```

10 1 [Chair Kmetz noted Ms. Boyd's items 12 and 13.] 2 3 [Chair Kmetz noted Ms. Doll's items 14 through 17.] * * * 4 5 [Chair Kmetz noted Ms. Evancho's items 18 through 22.1 6 * * * 7 8 [Chair Kmetz noted Mr. Fogal's items 23, 96 and 97.] 9 10 [Chair Kmetz noted Mr. Schertz's item 24.] * * * 11 12 [Chair Kmetz noted Mr. Sniscak's items 25 through 29 13 and 95. She also noted item 28 has been tabled. * * * 14 15 Appointment - NHP-PHMP Initial Presentation 16 Kevin Knipe, MSW, LSW, CCDP Diplomate, Co-Program Manager, Professional Health 17 Monitoring Program 18 Julie Droddy, MS, BSW, PHMP Co-Program (PHMP); 19 Manager; Edwin Kim, MD, DABPN, MRO, Medical Director; 20 Tiffany Booher, MA, LPC, CAADC, CIP, CCSM, Director 21 of Peer Assistance Monitoring Programs; Raymond C. 22 Truex, Jr., MD, FACS, FAANS, MRO, Medical Director; 23 Heather Wilson, MSW, CFRE, Executive Director of the 24 Foundation of Pennsylvania Medical Society; and 25 Tracey Ziegler, RN, BSN, NHP Nurse Consultant and NHP

Advisory Committee Member, presented information to the Board about PHMP and the Nurse Peer Assistance Monitoring Program and the Nurses' Health Program under the Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical Society.

Mr. Knipe provided a brief history of how the Nurse Peer Assistance Monitoring Program started 15 to 20 years ago. He noted that the Commissioner at that time in an effort to satisfy some of the requests from stakeholders issued a request for a proposal to confirm capable vendors that could administer a program to nurses similar to the programs for physicians and pharmacists.

Mr. Knipe stated in 2007, Secundum Artem Reaching Pharmacists with Help (SARPH), a program for impaired pharmacists, was awarded the contract based on their bid to create the Pennsylvania Nurse Peer Assistance Program (PNAP), and at the end of each contract, a new Request for Proposal (RFP) was issued to procure the services.

Mr. Knipe noted a new RFP was issued in May or June 2023 for the new contract, and in October of 2023, the contract was officially awarded to the Nurses' Health Program. In mid-December, PHMP received official clearance to begin transitioning to

referring new nurse board referrals to NHP and also working toward transferring and having existing enrollees that were in either PHMP only or in PNAP enroll in the Nurses' Health Program. He noted NHP has been working on transferring existing ones from PNAP to NHP.

Dr. Kim provided an overview of the process at the Nurses' Health Program and conveyed enthusiasm for working with nurses in the Commonwealth. He provided a broad overview of the Foundation, which operates under the auspices of the Pennsylvania Medical Society, a 501(c)(3) charitable affiliate of the Pennsylvania Medical Society which operates through the core values as noted, including quality, integrity, respect, transparency, diversity, access, stewardship, innovation, and confidentiality, and how these core values drive the mission for values and how it operates to protect healthcare professionals in the Commonwealth.

Dr. Kim noted the Foundation has a rich history of helping healthcare professionals in the Commonwealth, noting the Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical Society has been monitoring healthcare professionals since the 1970s. There has been an expanded recognition by state boards to

- provide peer assistance monitoring, starting off with the Board of Medicine and Board of Osteopathic Medicine in 1989 and progressing to establish relationships with the Board of Dentistry in 1997,
- the Board of Podiatry in 2004, Board of Pharmacy in 2023, and the Board of Nursing in 2024.

Dr. Kim emphasized monitoring or helping nurses, specifically advanced practice nurses, before any formal relationship was formed and before actually any formal peer assistance monitoring program was created. Additionally, it helped veterinarians throughout their recovery journey, but as of yet, there is no contract with the Commonwealth.

Dr. Kim shared the mission and vision of the Program and what guides them in their mission at the NHP to promote early identification and facilitate rehabilitation of nurses, dietitian-nutritionists and student nurses related to substance use, mental health and/or behavioral concerns. He stated the goal is to increase awareness among nursing professionals and promote the early identification, coordination of assessment or evaluation, and treatment, as well as monitoring and providing an advocacy to ensure the safe practice of the nursing profession and the safety of the public.

Dr. Kim explained the vision of the NHP as follows: Substance use and mental health disorders are illnesses prevalent in the community and equally common among nurses, dietitian-nutritionists, and student nurses. The NHP strives to educate the public and health professionals on the medical model of substance use disorders and reduce stigma associated with substance use disorder, and mental health and behavioral concerns. Early recognition of these disorders and behavioral concerns that may impact a nursing professional's ability to practice are encouraged.

Dr. Kim indicated the NHP is here to advocate for and facilitate effective, confidential treatment that preserves nursing careers and carries the message of hope. He noted a very robust team, including Ms. Tiffany Booher, Program Director; Dr. Ray Truex, Jr., Dr. Charles Burns, Jr., and himself, the three medical directors who are always on call and always manning the system.

Also included on the team is Wendie Dunkin,
Office Manager; and Case Managers Melissa Devonshire,
Tyson Davis, Brenda Iliff, Amanda Irons-Bennett,
Michele Davis; and Administrator Assistant, Kelly
Mylnek. All work collaboratively to carry out the

mission of the NHP.

Dr. Kim noted the Advisory Committee consists of Jacqueline Foster, Shane Moes, Kathie Simpson, and Tracey Ziegler. He stated the Foundation is currently looking for additional members to help guide into the future.

Dr. Kim indicated Program Consultants include
Marcia Linato and Tracey Ziegler who provide their
expertise in the nursing field.

Dr. Kim noted the services provided by NHP are confidential, which is key to helping nurses engage in professional monitoring and in activities related to their recovery. Case management is provided and includes education to the professionals or potential participants. Referrals are made for the evaluation, treatment, and monitoring of professionals on medications for an opiate use disorder and medical cannabis usage. Advocacy is also provided for those participants who successfully participate in the program.

Dr. Kim discussed the standard in terms of addiction treatment which is often rooted in a fail-first model. An individual with the concern for a substance use disorder or mental health disorder will seek treatment at the lowest level of care, and in

this case, outpatient. If there is no progress in treatment or failure of treatment, the individual will be advised to progress to the next level of care, which could be intensive outpatient, otherwise known as IOP, or inpatient, otherwise known as residential or also known as rehab.

Dr. Kim explained that for healthcare professionals this model does not necessarily apply, and there is a need for a successful first model. He believed that nurses deserve the best possible care immediately, as soon as possible, to provide that early recognition and treatment as an opportunity to enter into recovery.

Dr. Kim stated often the program will try to connect nurses and other potential participants in the highest level of care that is appropriate to their circumstance, which includes a residential setting and a program with specific healthcare professional tracks. He explained these are clinical teams and administrators, who are well adept at working with healthcare professionals, safety sensitive workers, and other individuals that are involved in public safety.

Dr. Kim mentioned that as treatment progresses at the inpatient, residential, or rehab levels, one can

progress downward. The natural progression is to partial hospitalization programs and the ultimate goal of intensive outpatient programs. He noted that participants do not necessarily have to go through each step and start at the inpatient residential rehab setting.

Dr. Kim noted the goals of the process are to identify early detection and early identification. By identifying those professionals that require treatment or help, a thorough assessment and evaluation can be provided through approved evaluators. He stated the key is to work with individuals, practitioners, and facilities that have experience in working with healthcare professionals and providing appropriate treatment recommendations.

Dr. Kim pointed out that there are participants who enter into abstinence-based treatment and can enter into long-term monitoring. As the participant progress through monitoring, their success, abstinence, and compliance with terms to the agreement are documented, and advocacy is provided based on their ability to adhere to their agreement.

Dr. Kim highlighted that there is this fallacy that professional health programs provide a service by adhering to a set of rules. He opined that this

is not the case. The primary focus is on the nurse or nursing professional's health and works backwards by connecting the participant with the treatment model that has been shown to work for healthcare professionals, and then determines how the different rules, the different parameters, and the different components of monitoring advocacy fit into their recovery journey.

Dr. Kim reiterated that the driving premise here is nursing health.

Dr. Kim discussed the formal referral process.

The initial contact is made by the referral source--a large health system, a medical director, chief wellness officer, chair of a wellness committee, or can also be the individual themself seeking help. He noted that calls are welcomed from anyone seeking care.

Dr. Kim stated the case manager will then conduct a telephone call with the individual being referred, which is labeled as screening intake. The team will then discuss the screening intake in case conference and determine an evaluation recommendation. Most individuals seeking help will need an evaluation to further assess what components of treatment or recovery are required.

Dr. Kim mentioned that the case manager will contact the individual and offer choices for evaluation within the initial contact with the referral source, collecting a standardized set of information that includes the precipitating event or events, and documentation, which can include a complaint, drug test results, criminal dockets, and previous monitoring information. Any screens for substance use, mental health, and behavioral information will also be collected to help with the assessment.

Dr. Kim noted that during the intake call with the participant, the case manager collects and screens precipitating events, current and historical substance use, mental health, behavioral and medical concerns focusing on last use, frequency, amount of each classification of substances over the lifespan, current and historical treatment for substance use and mental health concerns, any legal history, any family history of substance use or mental health concerns, current medical conditions, and treatment, as well as their level of insight into the problem.

Dr. Kim referred to this as a very comprehensive assessment based on the expertise and experience of all case managers.

Dr. Kim reviewed the meaning of the case conference, which involves full team discussion of each individual case to determine a connection to care. He noted discussion is attended by all members of the team, including the program director, the three medical directors, case managers, and administrative assistants. He noted that during the conference, all data collected during intake and the referral call is reviewed by all members of the NHP team. Based on the data collected and the specific needs of each case, the team will discuss and recommend an evaluation level of care, which in some cases means directing an individual to treatment.

Dr. Kim stated the NHP team does consider a participant's physical address to recommend geographically the closest options and attempt to match evaluators and treatment facilities as close as possible to a participant or future participant's residence.

Dr. Kim discussed the level of assessment needed, which might include repeated legal issues related to substance use or mental health, confounding medical conditions with substance use or mental health concerns, or an obvious or apparent need for neuropsychological testing as part of the substance

use in mental health concerns. He mentioned the staff includes a board-certified addiction medicine physician, and he is a double board-certified addiction psychiatrist and psychiatrist. He noted, with their expertise, screening information can be evaluated to help in the assessment as well.

Dr. Kim stated, additionally, that expertise helps the staff determine the medications for opiate use disorder and medical marijuana compared with substance use and mental health concerns. He noted another trigger that might necessitate a comprehensive assessment is diversion and substance use in the workplace.

Dr. Kim noted the team really values their strong collaboration with the PHMP and being very proactive in meeting with the PHMP. He indicated all staff members participate in a monthly meeting with all eleven members of the NHP. All leaders of the PHMP and all case managers sit together to discuss the cases to determine how to proceed. He emphasized that each case is handled with care and fair and equitable practices. He noted there being a personal investment in each participant. Nurses as frontline workers are the most overworked, disenfranchised specialties within the healthcare system and deserve

the advocacy, respect, and connection to care that we provide for all our healthcare professionals. commented that best practices are utilized on evidence-based models and shared with the Board that NHP is their partner. It is hoped that with their participation, NHP can continue to work with the Board, the PHMP, and the Commissioner to continue to provide a safe setting for nurses and other healthcare professionals, and thereby improve public

safety and patient safety in the Commonwealth.

Kim will provide contact information.

Board members questioned whether the Foundation does anything else other than providing this service to nurses and physicians. Executive Director Heather Wilson responded that the Foundation has the peer assistance monitoring program, PHP, NHP, and LifeGuard, a clinical competency assessment program directed by Tracey Ziegler. A scholarship and loan program are also part of the Foundation. She explained that the program is clinical competency, making sure that the staff is doing the right thing at the right time with the right medical decision—making, and then confirming there is access to school for students.

Dr. Bucher questioned why there were no nurses or

pharmacists on the Foundation Board of Trustees. It was noted there are definitely conversations working towards that.

Ms. Wilson noted that the Foundation would probably add pharmacists onto the Board, which is ultimately approved by the Pennsylvania Medical Society Board as they are the parent board. It was further noted that Ms. Booher and the team have done an excellent job in seeking nursing professionals. Tracey and Marcia are on staff already as licensed nursing professionals, who were able to offer guidance in the initial discussion.

Dr. Bucher also questioned whether there was an opportunity for financial assistance. It was noted that the monthly monitoring fee for all nurses is set at \$50 per month; and for those not working, the fee would be reduced to \$30. Ms. Wilson indicated currently there is fundraising for an assistance fund so that scholarship money can be provided towards monitoring fees, evaluations, and drug testing. She noted there is no interruption in testing and monitoring through RecoveryTrek as the participants that are transitioning from the previous vendor have continued in the same drug testing that they were in prior to coming to the NHP. She stated the

monitoring fee is a bit different as it is a monthly charge.

Ms. Wilson indicated that several grants have been written asking for assistance, noting much of the fundraising is very organic. The nurses' health fund is money to be used by participants and not used to pay for salaries or any other part of the peer monitoring assistance program. It is solely to help the nursing professionals who come into the program. The goal in the next 12 months is to potentially start offering the application once there is enough money.

Ms. Evancho requested additional information regarding the comprehensive assessment. It was noted that the advisory committee is in place to help drive policy, procedure, mission, value, vision, and the staff that Dr. Kim spoke of sits in a meeting three days a week, Monday, Wednesday, and Friday, to review these cases. A referral will go through the intake process with a case manager, and the case manager brings all the data to the team for discussion to determine the level of care.

Ms. Evancho indicated another concern is what the program does to address an individual who cannot afford being a patient since nurses, obviously, have

a little bit of a different financial demographic.

Ms. Wilson commented that nurses are not the first set of professionals the program has worked with that have limited means. Others include dental assistants and hygienists which presentation-wise have many overlaps with the nurses and do not have many resources.

She referred to a nonadvocacy agreement by which an individual can enter into this agreement for six to twelve months to demonstrate their ability to comply with abstinence, and the participant will be in affordable treatment at a lower level of care. The participant would be considered for advocacy after a period of time. She noted that sometimes an evaluation may be necessary as part of that process.

Ms. Pachter Schulder inquired as to how that would fit in with the PHMP and would PHMP give participants with a lower level of care credit for that care.

Mr. Knipe explained that policies have not changed around that issue, and it has been a longstanding problem that some participants have had a financial inability to afford the cost of the participation and/or treatment. He noted having a long-term policy that will allow nurses who do have

either financial or some type of household issue as a single parent type of situation at times to receive a recommendation from the evaluator for a much higher level of care. Per policy, the participant would still be offered monitoring at a lower level of care but brought along at a little bit slower pace than a typical case. If the individual is able to undergo the recommended treatment at a much higher level, the individual is able to advance through the system faster.

Mr. Knipe noted ultimately the primary goal is public safety. If a nurse who is not receiving the optimal level of care based on the recommendation provided by their treatment provider, that is deemed as a concern about their ability to safely practice until the individual can demonstrate a longer period of abstinence under the lower levels of care.

Ms. Pachter Schulder questioned whether the individual could actually be out of practice longer and their agreement would need to be extended. Mr. Knipe stated the minimum period of probation is set by when the Board adopts the agreement and is no less than three years from Board adoption.

Dr. Kim stated the NHP model is unprecedented in the success rate and unmatched by any other

1 intervention in the medical setting. There is a
2 period of time where the participant has to
3 deprioritize their work temporarily.

Ms. Wilson pointed out that individuals do better in their success first model program versus one that is not a healthcare professional program, and those individuals are sometimes not as successful and relapse.

Dr. Hunsberger asked whether there was any thought to creating local partners in different counties where the individuals would be hired in a different capacity. He mentioned that hospitals and nursing homes around Pennsylvania are short staffed and may be willing to hire those individuals.

Ms. Wilson explained that their current employer ends up hiring the individual at the lower pay rate and lower job responsibilities.

Ms. Clarke Smith asked what goes into deciding whether someone should do the standard evaluation versus asking the individual to seek inpatient treatment. Ms. Wilson noted that inpatient treatment is when someone has multiple, compounding variables and medical concerns that would necessitate a higher level of medical treatment. She mentioned that outpatient providers can treat an individual with a

- substance use condition or with a mild psychiatric condition. She noted most of their clients are outpatient and offered to provide statistics concerning reinstatement cases. She emphasized the importance of clearing individuals rather than conducting an evaluation that does not examine all of the components of their needs.
 - Mr. Knipe noted working with PHMP for 23 years and directly with PHMP for 20 years and all the professionals regarding reinstatement cases for Medical, Osteopathic, Dental, and Podiatry during that time period. He mentioned it has been rare that there has been a request for inpatient. He noted the inpatient evaluation recommendation increases for new referrals.

- Mr. Knipe referred to the PHMP structure, noting the licensees who benefit from peer assistance programs and also those that do not have peer assistance programs. He stated PHMP is handling the entire case, including making referrals for cases that do not have peer assistance programs.
- Mr. Knipe mentioned emphasizing the importance of an accurate evaluation to the PHMP case manager who is embraced by the staff of peer assistance programs. When individuals undergo that evaluation, they come

before the Board with that evaluation and may get their license back without monitoring. He addressed the intake process.

There was a discussion related to the difficulty with getting in touch with someone at NHP regarding reinstatement cases so the information could be taken to the Board or an agreement signed to go to the DMU. Dr. Kim stated the program is looking for a longstanding relationship and will fine tune the communication with the Board and Counsel. He noted wanting to be a model for surrounding states and appreciated the feedback.

A member of the audience, Jill Snyder, RN, asked whether a process had been considered that people can start, while waiting to reinstate a license, to work toward getting the licensee where they need to be that would help move the process along. Ms. Clarke Smith explained that someone petitioning for reinstatement receives a Board order directing what is needed to be submitted to open the case and move it along. She mentioned there is a difference between someone who is in the program currently and petitioning for reinstatement compared to someone who has been suspended.

Ms. Wilson stated the process may be started for

30

someone considering reinstatement, and it may be helpful to have NHP participation ahead of time.

Ms. Pachter Schulder asked whether the time is credited in terms of how long the person will be out of practice when first entering the program. Ms. Wilson explained that the individual works with PHMP to acquire credit towards their PHMP monitoring and process, noting many of the PHP participants come to them right after their license renewal. In two years, the participants are actively monitored and able to receive credit.

Dr. Kim noted disciplinary cases are actively engaged with a peer program and documenting abstinence through that peer program, noting their return to work is much quicker.

Chair Kmetz thanked the participants for their presentation.]

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 [The Board recessed from 10:20 a.m. until 10:25 a.m.]

20 ***

21 CHAIR KMETZ RECUSED HERSELF FROM PARTICIPATION. VICE

22 CHAIR HUNSBERGER ASSUMED THE CHAIR

23 **

24 Appointment - Fayette County Career & Technical

25 | Institute - Extension of Provisional Status

1 [Susan C. Lewis, MSN, RN, Practical Nursing Program
2 Director, presented on behalf of Fayette County
3 Career & Technical Institute.

Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted that the Program's pass rate for the first quarter was 91.67% and second quarter was 100% with 12 of 13 testers having passed.

Ms. Lewis stated it had been a little over a year since the implementation of their new curriculum changes. She noted the September class had a 100% pass rate, and 91.67% came from a tester who had waited a year to test. She reported everyone passed except for two students in the March class.

Ms. Lewis noted being excited about the changes, being focused on the NCSBN test plan, and using that to incorporate areas of deficiency where students are not testing well. She mentioned continuing to offer incentives for the students to test within the first three months.

Ms. Pachter Schulder asked how many students need to test from the prior graduating class. Ms. Lewis noted having one individual left to test from the prior graduating class. She addressed areas of deficiency and improvements, noting witnessing many good outcomes.

Ms. Pachter Schulder asked how many were anticipated to graduate in the next class and their graduation date. Ms. Lewis noted 22 students so far are set to graduate at the end of September.

Ms. Pachter Schulder noted the Board will deliberate during Executive Session and decide as to the length of the extension of provisional status and congratulated Fayette County Career & Technical Institute on their hard work to get the pass rates to a wonderful level.]

* * *

CHAIR KMETZ RESUMED THE CHAIR

14 | Appointment - Prism Career Institute - Extension of

15 Provisional Status

[Khadijah Williams, MSN-ED, RN, Director of Nursing, presented on behalf of Prism Career Institute.

Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted a pass rate for the first quarter of 82.86% and second quarter of 82.35% and congratulated Prism Career Institute on having raised the pass rate above the 66.67% in 2022-2023 and 67.31% in 2020-2021. She asked Ms. Williams to address the number of graduates who are left and how many from that last class still have to test.

Ms. Williams noted Prism has been working hard to promote and change their curriculum to make it more streamlined. She mentioned working with book publishers and ATI, along with having a coordinator to help everything stay on track.

Ms. Williams also mentioned looking at the blueprint of the NCLEX®-PN Test to ensure the points are touched with their curriculum and using that as their guideline. She reported doing evaluation regarding their assessments as well as reviewing the Mountain Measurements Report.

Ms. Williams reported having about 25 students to test within this quarter, 4 testing from March, and 1 student who will finish the program on May 19, 2024.

Ms. Pachter Schulder asked whether there were any outstanding students from prior graduating classes.

Ms. Williams stated there was no one outstanding at this point from their records. She noted the next graduating class finishes on May 19, 2024.

Ms. Pachter Schulder noted the Board will vote on the length of the extension after the Executive Session.]

* * *

Appointment - Chestnut Hill College Department of Nursing Proposal for Establishment of a

Prelicensure Baccalaureate of Science Degree in

Nursing (BSN) Program with an Accelerated Second
Degree BSN Option

[Susan Apold, PhD, RN, ANP-BC, A-GNP-B, FAAN, FAANP, Chief Nursing Administrator, and Brian McCloskey, DM, MBA, Vice President for Financial Affairs, presented on behalf of Chestnut Hill College seeking approval to offer a prelicensure baccalaureate nursing program to traditional undergraduate nursing students and to accelerated second-degree students.

Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel, stated the Board does have the application and read Chestnut Hill College's proposal for a prelicensure BSN with an accelerated second-degree BSN option.

Dr. Apold provided a brief summary of her professional and personal background. Dr. Apold informed the Board that the curriculum is very traditional because of regulations that have to be met, along with other standards and criteria that need to be addressed. She noted becoming very familiar with the Board of Nursing regulations in Pennsylvania and NCLEX® standards, along with each major component of the test plan.

Dr. Apold participated in AACN for the past 20 years and pursued that organization for guidance for

- 1 excellence in nursing education. She noted utilizing
- 2 the 2021 revised Essentials document to plan
- 3 | coursework. She also reviewed the 10 domains
- 4 reflected in their program learning outcomes. She
- 5 | noted working to ensure all the program learning
- 6 outcomes were reflected in the overall student
- 7 | learning outcomes.
- 8 Dr. Apold noted examining rubrics and evaluation 9 measures in an attempt to align the concepts that
- 10 they are trying to measure in each course with those
- 11 and some of the competencies in the evaluation
- 12 material. She believed, once they are given
- 13 permission to move forward, they will hire faculty
- 14 that afternoon and begin to build consensus around
- 15 all of the Essentials.
- Dr. Apold mentioned being familiar with the CCNE
- 17 standards and stated with confidence that the program
- 18 is compliant with those issues. She also mentioned
- 19 being deeply devoted to nursing social policy
- 20 statements and the code of ethics.
- 21 Dr. Apold addressed immersion and clinical
- 22 education. She noted identifying clinical partners
- 23 who are interested in the program. She stated Saint
- 24 Joseph Villa agreed to serve as their first immersion
- 25 clinical partner. She noted that one of the

realities of nursing education over the past 50 or 60 years was forcing diploma education to higher education but may not have given the importance to the clinical aspect of education. She did not believe any profession is practice-ready the day after they leave their clinical practice but believed nursing can do a better job, noting AACN encourages clinical immersion.

Dr. Apold noted receiving a letter from the President of Saint Joseph's Villa providing Chestnut Hill College graduates exclusive access to all of their health-related endeavors. She mentioned that would include didactics, lab with simulation, along with spending concentrated time during the week in the clinical program.

Dr. Apold noted the President of the college has agreed to hire clinical faculty, who will live in that facility so that they can supervise eight students at a time throughout the facility. She stated she is in negotiation with another facility who said they will adopt this model.

Dr. Apold noted working with nursing officers and CEOs discussing the difficulty their partners are having with recruitment and retention. She reported procuring a \$139,000 grant to begin the process at

Saint Joseph Villa. She stated equipment would arrive on May 6, 2024, so students and employees can mutually use the educational equipment, noting she is working on codifying that model.

Dr. Apold stated the history of nursing education requires them to identify the geriatric community because they have issues that need to be intentionally addressed in nursing. She noted offering the course in a couple of other curriculums because nurses need to be aware of issues of this population.

Dr. Apold noted the importance of covering social determinants of health, diversity issues, and issues that are particularly unique to that population. She addressed the ramifications of the pandemic and the devastation on graduates.

Dr. Apold mentioned working with Dr. Sheila
Kennedy, who is a therapist in charge of the
Counseling Center at Chestnut Hill College, noting
their work on developing a program for students to
identify stressors so students have the tools to deal
with issues like burnout and fatigue.

Dr. Apold noted the biggest problems facing nursing education are faculty and clinical placements. She stated Dr. Latimer reached out and

- 1 received verbal agreement for the program before she
- 2 arrived. She presented 15 Memorandums of
- 3 Understanding (MOUs) addressing 19 clinical
- 4 placements in the proposal and received 3 more since
- 5 that time. She noted another college in their area
- 6 closed and some of those individuals are seeking
- 7 | work. She reported also having people who are
- 8 | interested in leadership positions in the nursing
- 9 program.
- 10 Dr. Apold noted the development of student
- 11 | success programs specifically for nursing students,
- 12 particularly for the traditional undergraduates, and
- 13 | she is working with the Vice President for Strategic
- 14 Innovation to put together a formal program. She
- 15 | will be requiring an adjunct supplemental educational
- 16 program to determine faculty input.
- 17 Dr. Apold addressed admission criteria, noting
- 18 the college has made a commitment for a once-a-year
- 19 admission cycle.
- 20 Ms. Pachter Schulder asked whether simulation
- 21 | would be used. Dr. Apold stated Saint Joseph's Villa
- 22 may not be able to get students to see ill children
- 23 | in acute care settings but will be able to use their
- 24 community agencies. She noted having exclusive
- 25 access to an elementary school, high school, and

community services with the goal of getting them to
see children who are mostly well. She mentioned that
even when she uses simulation for pediatrics that she
is going to move Heaven and Earth for them to see
kids.

Dr. Apold addressed obstetrics, noting she ordered a manikin for purposes of budgeting and is investigating the use of virtual for psychiatric-mental health. She hoped health facilities will accommodate the students some of the time in outpatient and drug rehab centers.

Ms. Pachter Schulder asked whether the person in charge of the simulation lab will have the International Nursing Association for Clinical Simulation and Learning (INACSL) or Drexel certification. Dr. Apold noted her past practice has been not to hire nurse educators for simulation, and she has been using Drexel.

Chair Kmetz requested information concerning theory experience. Dr. Apold noted that theory and clinical are part of the same course and will be introducing clinical traditionally initially and addressed the way she constructed it.

Dr. Hunsberger asked whether they will struggle to find the opportunity to get 18 hours and with some

of the other courses around the clinical. Dr. Apold commented that it would not be easy and incredibly immersive but believed the hours exist. She noted previously using one clinical facility for two groups in a day and being confident that it will work.

Ms. Pachter Schulder asked Dr. Apold to speak more globally to the fiscal commitment of the college to the program.

Dr. McCloskey noted their competitive advantage over many other programs including a campus dedicated to the program should this committee approve our application.

Dr. McCloskey noted the unwavering support and commitment of the Sisters of Saint Joseph. He stated they will welcome their 100th class this fall to Chestnut Hill College. He addressed refinancing \$30 million, noting the Sisters of Saint Joseph themselves are underwriting that debt and owning the entire project. He noted having a budget in place and a commitment from Allied Health and Nursing if the application is approved. He also noted having a plan to recruit technicians and staff to support the program.

Dr. McCloskey addressed cohorts, yields of applicants, and yields to attrition. He noted they

are going to be priced very competitively and believed the model is sustainable and will contribute to the college's long-term growth and sustainability.

Dr. McCloskey stated that the Board of Chestnut
Hill College and the Sisters of Saint Joseph, with
all their ministries in and around Philadelphia, are
behind them because it aligns perfectly with the
mission of the college and the mission of the Sisters
of Saint Joseph.

Dr. Apold addressed pass rates of the last program she started, noting the importance of making sure students walk out the door and pass any class, to be the core of how she manages.

Ms. Pachter Schulder noted the Board will review their application during Executive Session.]

16 **

Regulation Update - 16A-5139 - Volunteer License
[Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,
noted the regulatory package for 16A-5139 regarding
the Volunteer License will be drafted by Regulatory
Counsel.]

22 ***

23 Regulation Update - 16A-5141 - Nursing Education 24 Programs

25 [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,

addressed 16A-5141 regarding the Nursing Education
Program regulations. She noted the Office of General
Counsel (OGC) and the Budget Office approved the
regulation and is awaiting approval or a request for
change from the Office of Policy.

Ms. Pachter Schulder noted that when the OGC reviewed the regulation, it asked the Board to consider using a different citation for the controlling institution. She noted the change could be easily made. OGC also questioned whether state correctional institutions and other facilities can be a clinical agency and asked how that works together. She noted that part of the explanation to them was the Board approves the institution and the institution then has their contracts with the clinical agencies. There are many clinical agencies that are correctional institutions and other Commonwealth institutions.]

19 ***

Regulation Update - 16A-5143 - Continued Competency
and Licensure by Endorsement
[Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,
noted 16A-5143 regarding Continued Competency and
Licensure by Endorsement is still under review by OGC
and Policy.]

4.3

1 2 Regulation Update - 16A-5145 - CRNA Licensure 3 [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel, 4 noted her continued work on 16A-5145 regarding CRNA 5 Licensure. 1 * * * 6 7 Regulation Update - 16A-5146 - Opioid Prescription 8 and Education and Organ Donation Education 9 [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel, 10 noted 16A-5146 regarding Opioid Prescription and Education and Organ Donation Education has been 11 12 approved by the OGC. She reminded Board members that 13 no comments were received, and the Board is waiting 14 for the Policy Office to approve that regulation. She noted when this regulation goes to the 15 Legislative Committees, if there are not any changes, 16 17 it will be approved.] * * * 18 19 Regulation Update - 16A-5148 - NLC 20 [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel, 21 referred to 16A-5148 regarding what will be the Nurse 22 Licensure Compact (NLC) regulation, whether temporary

regulation or regular regulation. She mentioned being asked to consider a temporary regulation, noting the temporary regulation does not go through

23

24

the whole regulatory process.

Ms. Pachter Schulder noted a regulation is needed to set fees for the multistate license and also to describe the conversion process to go from a single state Pennsylvania license to a multistate license so people understand the documentation needed for a single state license versus multistate license.

Participants in Practice, Education, Remediation, and Collaboration (PERC) or in PHMP's Disciplinary

Monitoring Unit or Voluntary Recovery Program (VRP), are not eligible for a multistate licensure. She noted the fee would be the current initial licensure fee if a regulation is not in place.

Ms. Pachter Schulder addressed conditional approval from the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). She noted the FBI has requested additional information about where the Board fits within the Department's umbrella and where the federal background check information for the multistate licenses will be housed.

She referred to House Bill 2200 where all health care professionals would be required to have a federal criminal background check not just those involved in the Compact. She stated approval from the FBI is still required to proceed further.

4.5

Ms. Pachter Schulder again mentioned that the 1 2 Department has worked on the technology piece to make 3 the process quicker when it is ready to go. 4 explained that when the federal criminal background 5 check language is approved by the FBI and the 6 legislature has passed the legislation, there will 7 also be federal requirements with regard to maintaining and securing the background checks, which will be done through the State Police and a third-10 party vendor. Ms. Pachter Schulder explained that the day the 11 12 FBI approves the background check language, the Board 13 will not be able to issue multistate licenses because 14 they still have to wait for the rest of the criminal 15 background check piece to be in place. She further 16 explained that the Bill could maybe run partially and 17 then possibly work on implementation. She noted it 18 all ties in together so the final language would need 19 to be in place before multistate licenses are 20 issued.l * * * 21 Regulation Update - 16A-5149 - Conversion Therapy -22 23 Statement of Policy 24 [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,

noted Regulatory Counsel, Jacqueline Wolfgang, will

be explaining the Statement of Policy to the Board 1 2 later in the meeting.] 3 4 Regulation Update - 16A-66 - BPOA Regulation - Crimes 5 Directly Related to the Profession 6 [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel, 7 addressed 16A-66 regarding the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs' regulation for Crimes Directly Related to the Profession, the final package 10 of which was forwarded to the Board from the Deputy 11 Chief Counsel. There were no further questions about 12 the Final Annex. 13 She noted the Independent Regulatory Review 14

She noted the Independent Regulatory Review

Commission (IRRC) disapproved the regulation, and the

Department is reviewing its next steps with regard to

that regulation. She explained that the regulation

can be resubmitted without change, resubmitted with

change, or withdrawn.]

19 ***

15

16

17

18

24

20 | Pennsylvania Legislative Update

21 | [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,

22 addressed Senate Bill 668 regarding certified

23 medication aides. She explained it would not be a

licensure class under the State Board of Nursing, but

25 the Board would have a review role in terms of

education. She referred to questions about whether a certified medication aide would be able to be a pathway to LPN licensure. She indicated that the answer would depend on education obtained, but if it was only provided by the long-term care facility, that education will not meet the Board's requirements. Ms. Pachter Schulder mentioned the programs would make the determination as to what and how much education would receive credit.

Ms. Pachter Schulder noted House Bill 2067 is the nurse grant program. She also noted there are two resolutions: House Resolution 392 for Nurses Month in May and Senate Resolution 243 for LDN Registered Dietitian Day. She reported House Bill 2113 deals with long-term care ratios, and House Bill 2169 is supporting education for the healthcare workforce. She mentioned the Board already discussed House Bill 2200.

Ms. Pachter Schulder addressed House Bill 2178, noting the bill would require education on cultural competence and implicit bias in order to obtain licensure. She mentioned the Bill does not have the number of hours or the education itself, rather a requirement that there be that education. She said it is an open question whether the cultural

- competence education can be like advanced 1 2 pharmacology for CRNPs, for example, which is part of 3 CRNP education or predesigned like Child Abuse 4 education.
- 5 Dr. Compher believed cultural competence and 6 implicit bias was an important topic and would be 7 stronger if it is encouraged in the nursing and dietetic education as part of the curriculum rather 9 than as an add-on because it would be integrated into 10 the care.
 - Chair Kmetz commented that accredited schools are required to teach that, and it is in the standards.]

13 * * *

11

12

- Regulation Update 16A-5149 Conversion Therapy -14 15 Statement of Policy
- [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel, 16 noted the Statement of Policy sets forth guidelines 17
- 18 that should be considered by licensees regarding
- 19 conversion therapy on minors.
- Ms. Wolfgang explained that the Shapiro 21 Administration and Department of State are strongly 22 committed to protecting the rights and well-being of 23 lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer or
- 24 questioning, intersex, asexual/aromantic/agender
- 25 (LGBTQIA+) Pennsylvanians, especially young members

of those communities.

Ms. Wolfgang stated Governor Shapiro is calling on the licensing boards to adopt statements of policy clarifying that the practice of conversion therapy may constitute a disciplinable offense.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to Executive Order 2022-02, which was adopted by Governor Wolf in August 2022 to protect Pennsylvanians from conversion therapy and supporting LGBTQIA+ Pennsylvanians.

Ms. Wolfgang noted Executive Order 2022-02 indicates that conversion therapy has been rejected by the scientific, medical, and educational communities, and numerous professional organizations have denounced conversion therapy due to its lack of scientific validation and negative and dangerous impact on the health and well-being of LGBTQIA+ persons and communities, along with the specific harm it causes to the mental health of LGBTQIA+ children.

Ms. Wolfgang stated all agencies under the Governor's jurisdictions were directed to protect Pennsylvanians, including children and youth, from conversion therapy. She noted the Executive Order specifically directs the Department of State to discourage the practice and inform the public of mechanisms to report licensed professionals who cause

1 harm to patients as a result of practicing conversion
2 therapy.

Ms. Wolfgang also stated a recent report by a national research and advocacy organization found that conversion therapy is practiced by licensed professionals across the country, including Pennsylvania.

Ms. Wolfgang provided information regarding the role of the Statement of Policy as compared to a regulation. She explained that a statement of policy is a guidance document and basically an announcement to the public of a policy an agency intends to implement in future adjudications. She noted it also serves as a notice to licensees.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that any agency applying the statement of policy in a particular situation must be prepared to support the policy as if the statement of policy had never been issued. She mentioned a statement of policy is not a rule to be used in the adjudication of a case. She explained that a regulation establishes a standard of conduct which has the force of law, whereas a statement of policy does not create that binding norm.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that the conversion therapy statement of policy provides guidelines that

- should be considered by licensees to ensure

 compliance with the Practice Act and the Board's

 regulations. She noted the Statement of Policy

 explains that being lesbian, gay, bisexual,

 transgender, queer, questioning, intersex or asexual

 is not a disease, disorder, illness, deficiency, or

 shortcoming.
 - Ms. Wolfgang explained that the statement of policy also announces that a licensee who uses conversion therapy on a minor may be subject to discipline by the Board. She requested the Board adopt the Statement of Policy.

- Ms. Kerns commented that the Statement of Policy contains definitions that are unconstitutionally broad and violates both First Amendment rights to free speech and also potentially freedom of religion rights. She reported that laws on this issue have already been challenged in many states and may reach the Supreme Court.
- Ms. Kerns believed the regulation allows the Board to impose discipline on a licensee who voices anything other than what the Pennsylvania government approves as an opinion on treatment of gender dysphoria. She also believed that the nuance and complex issues of human sexuality biology deserve

much more.

Ms. Kerns asked what would happen if a nurse, with the support of a child's parent, wants to help someone who is questioning themselves understand all their options, especially before medically or surgically altering their bodies, which is often irreversible. She noted that position stands in stark contrast to what some activists mandate, which is immediate, unquestioning affirmation of a person stated gender identity.

Ms. Kerns also asked what would happen if a nurse in Pennsylvania wants to encourage something called watchful waiting, which is an approach that encourages time and space rather than an aggressive approach. She stated the way the regulation is written that the nurse could receive disciplinary action.

Ms. Kerns stated the definition in the regulation of conversion therapy, "a practice of treatment that seeks to change an individual's sexual orientation or gender identity" is the exact definition of what the drafters of this regulation appear to want to avoid.

Ms. Kerns stated under that definition could they not discipline someone who encourages or counsels a person to change his/her sexual orientation from

straight to gay or gay to bisexual and is just as
much of a change. She noted the language that
follows it in section (C)(3) does attempt to exclude
that from discipline, but the language is broad,
ambiguous, and confusing.

Ms. Kerns noted that someone may come in and say their peers, parents, friends, and TV are telling them they are gay or transgender, but they do not think they are and needs counseling and asked whether they are going to discipline someone for providing that counseling that might affirm that the person is not transgender or gay. She also mentioned there may be someone who is truly conflicted because of strongly held religious beliefs and needs to navigate that, along with the complex issues of human biology and sexuality.

Ms. Kerns stated she could not find anywhere that the Statement of Policy would be published for public comment and believed the Board should invite public comment because it is going to affect all Pennsylvanians. She noted that the Statement of Policy is poorly written, unconstitutionally broad, violates Pennsylvanians right to free speech and religion, interferes with parents' rights with regard to their minor children, and will not survive a court

challenge.

Ms. Kerns stated nurses provide compassion, care, understanding, dignity, and are often the only one for a patient who has never been more scared or alone. She urged the Board to vote against this proposed Statement of Policy as written and go back to the drawing board and think about how they can protect all Pennsylvanians and not advance a limited agenda. She commented that Pennsylvanians, especially children, deserve that, particularly those dealing with a complex, multifaceted, and nuanced issue of questioning gender and sexuality.

Ms. Wolfgang wanted to clarify that it is not a regulation that would have a public comment period and engage stakeholders and so forth. She noted it is not a law or ban on conversion therapy. She mentioned that the Statement of Policy is the Board saying to the public and to its licensees that this is how the Board would decide if a case came before it. She also noted that the Statement of Policy is not binding on any person being disciplined on the Board.

Ms. Wolfgang mentioned that some of the concerns regarding constitutionality and so forth really are not applicable because the Statement of Policy is not

a law the Board is trying to enforce. She noted the Statement of Policy only applies to minors and does not apply across the board to anyone who receives conversion therapy. She noted the practice has been widely discredited and stated the Administration and the Bureau believe that this is important for Boards to consider and to adopt so that its licensees understand the potential repercussions of using conversion therapy.

Ms. Kerns referred to the language "widely discredited," noting there are many studies and many organizations that believe a wait-and-see approach is also a viable option, and because this policy basically outlines a way to discipline a nurse for what she believes would be something protected under the free speech and freedom of religion amendments to the Constitution, she could not adopt it as is and believed it is way too one-sided.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to free speech, noting there is case law that specifically has addressed that issue and case law that is controlling with respect to the Board in Pennsylvania. She noted the law of the land and disagreed that it would be a violation because it is not an accurate statement of fact. She disagreed with Ms. Kerns' assessment that

it would be a violation. She mentioned that it is
not a statutory ban and the Board reaching out to its
licensees that they could be subject to discipline,
not that they will be subject to discipline, if they
engage in conversion therapy. She strongly urged the
Board to consider adoption of the Statement of
Policy.

Dr. Bucher, as a member of the LGBTQ population and growing up in a world that may not support them, disagreed with Ms. Kerns. He noted it has been rejected as a common practice, and the Board should support the policy because science has shown that 12% of the LGBTQ population have tried to commit suicide.

Dr. Compher asked whether anyone knows how many nurses work in facilities that provide conversion therapy, whether it is 2 or 2000, and how dominant a problem is it. She noted being supportive of the concept. Ms. O'Malley noted there are no statistics available, but that conversion therapy is occurring in the state.

Dr. Hunsberger commented that the Statement of Policy has importance to any nurse who works in a pediatric population. Nurses need to have an openness to that and to accept whatever that patient or child is saying to them and provide them with

support. He mentioned that if it is the questioning and it is the waiting approach, that is providing therapy to them and answering questions on both sides and maybe lining them up with a counselor. He stated the suicide rate in young people is astronomically high in today's society.

Ms. Kerns agreed with being concerned about this population, but her issue is with the drafting of this, where the definitions are way too broad. She asked whether a nurse would have to say they do not want to lose their license if someone says they need their help because they do not want to be gay. She disagreed with the law of the land comment, noting the Third Circuit upheld a ban, but the Eleventh Circuit did not, where there is a big split in the circuits in this country, and it is not a completely settled law. She again commented that she did not believe the Policy was drafted appropriately and urged the Board to look at it before its adoption.

Dr. Bucher commented that there needed to be some broadness where a nurse pointing youth in the right direction to get a certified counselor or whatever would make it hard for the Board to submit that person for discipline but providing a conversion therapy camp then allows the Board to seek out

discipline on that person.

Ms. Kerns commented that there are many definitions of conversion therapy, and the broadness is what creates problems and hinges on the freedom of speech. She believed it would have an absolute chilling effect on nurses and anyone in the religious space.

Ms. Kerns also believed anyone looking at the Policy would feel they would not be able to do any type of counseling if a child asked for help because they believed they were gay or wanted to transition. She stated some people do want or need counseling, especially children, when talking about human biology and things that cannot be irreversible.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that the definition is not binding on anyone and is a notice that the Board could put on its website. She noted prosecution could not use that definition against a licensee, and any case before the Board must go through the normal process, along with having expert witnesses. She also noted the Statement of Policy is a notice to licensees and is specific to children concerning conversion therapy. She stated the Medical Board, Osteopathic Board, Psychology Board, and Social Work Board have adopted the Statement of Policy and is

```
meant to be information about providing conversion
1
2
   therapy in this Commonwealth and the potential
3
   discipline that a licensee could incur if they
4
   provided conversion therapy.
5
        Ms. Kerns commented that any nurse reading this
   is going to be concerned that they are going to lose
6
7
   their license and not be able to help children if
   they give counseling to minors that does not comport
   with what is perceived to be Pennsylvania
10
   governmental policy on it.]
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
11
12
                  Hearing no more discussion, is there a
13
                  motion to adopt 16A-5149, the Statement
                  of Policy, regarding conversion
14
15
                  therapy?
16
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
                  So moved.
17
18
   DR. BUCHER:
                  Second.
19
   CHAIR KMETZ:
20
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
21
22
                  Abstentions?
23
   [The motion carried. Member Kerns opposed the
24
   motion. 1
25
                              * * *
```

1 Report of Board Chairperson - No Report 2 3 Report of Acting Commissioner 4 [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of 5 Professional and Occupational Affairs, informed 6 everyone that phone lines will be turned off on 7 Friday, May 3, 2024, from 1:30 p.m. until closing due to a Board-wide training. Acting Commissioner Claggett also noted 10 applicants will be able to print their own licenses beginning on May 31, 2024. He mentioned the license 11 12 will have a quick response (QR) code and can connect 13 with the database showing the status of their 14 license. He noted that licenses will still be mailed 15 but wanted to provide the added benefit. Не 16 mentioned that not every license will be printed once 17 the new licensure system is implemented but will 18 continue to print licenses while the Pennsylvania

20

Licensing System (PALS) is online.]

21 Report of Committees - Probable Cause Screening

22 Committee

19

23 [Sue E. Hertzler, LPN, noted the Probable Cause

24 Screening Committee moved on 5 Petitions for

25 Appropriate Relief, 14 Petitions for Mental and

61 1 Physical Exams, and 0 Immediate Temporary 2 Suspensions.] 3 4 Report of Committees - Application Review Committee [Linda L. Kmetz, PhD, RN, Chair, reported that the 5 6 Application Review Committee met virtually several 7 times and made decisions on many applications.] 8 9 Report of Committees - Advanced Practice (Education, Regulation, & Application) - No Report 10 * * * 11 12 Report of Committees - Dietitian-Nutritionist 13 Committee - No Report 14 [Charlene W. Compher, PhD, RD, LDN, FASPEN, noted the 15 Pennsylvania Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics 16 Conference was one week ago.] 17 18 IT & Communication Issues Committee - No Report * * * 19 20 Report of Committees - RN/PN Practice, Education, & 21 Regulation - No Report 22 23 Report of Board Members Who Attended a Meeting on 24 Behalf of the Board 25 [Linda L. Kmetz, PhD, RN, Chair, reported that she

- 1 attended the National Council of State Boards of
- 2 Nursing Midyear Meeting, along with Dr. Bucher and
- 3 Ms. Wendy Miller. She stated the American
- 4 Association of Colleges of Nursing (AACN) Essentials
- 5 | will be changing three-year implementation. She
- 6 | noted the focus is competence-based, and they did a
- 7 really nice job giving an overview of what
- 8 competence-based nursing education is for when they
- 9 get their proposals for curriculum change in front of
- 10 them.

- 11 Chair Kmetz mentioned there was a lot of talk
- 12 nationally about the regulation of support personnel,
- 13 noting Pennsylvania does not do that because it
- 14 becomes overwhelming, noting colleagues across the
- 15 country have to regulate CNAs, and it is a challenge.
- 16 Chair Kmetz mentioned there was a lot of
- 17 discussion about Operation Nightingale.
- 18 Dr. Bucher thanked Acting Commissioner Claggett
- 19 | for allowing them to attend. He noted it helps as a
- 20 Board member to listen to what is happening in other
- 21 states and understand changes happening.]
 - *
- 23 Report of Executive Secretary
- 24 | [Wendy Miller, MSN, RN, Executive Secretary,
- 25 commented that there was also good information on new

approaches to discipline and taking the discipline down the road along the lines of trauma-informed care and getting at the root of the person's journey, why the disciplinary issue occurred, and tailoring discipline to make it meaningful for that particular problem.

Ms. Miller stated NCSBN is developing a course that will be along the line of ethics but have a live instructor and tailor the person's experience to what their violation of the ethical standards was to make sure the ethics course is meaningful for that specific violation.

Ms. Miller mentioned that someone could be given continuing education (CE) on ethics, but the ethics course does not always address the person's specific type of violation. She noted it is sometimes difficult to locate something meaningful toward what actually happened, and having this as an option would make sure the person gets something tailored to their situation.

Ms. Miller reminded everybody that the June 30 renewal is now open for LPNs, noting the need to complete their 2 hours of mandatory Child Abuse Recognition and Reporting continuing education for their license renewal.

Ms. Miller noted renewal for RNs, CRNPs,
Prescriptive Authority, and CNSs closed April 30.
She mentioned running licensee numbers in March
before the April 30 renewal closed and reported
244,517 RNs, 52,464 LPNs, 20,577 CRNPs, and 286

6 Clinical Nurse Specialists.

Ms. Miller also reminded everyone that their fees are set by regulation and can be found on their website under Regulations. She also mentioned fees can be found under Announcements or by calling the Board. She informed everyone that there is information on Google that is not accurate, particularly regarding RNs, and noted the RN renewal fee is currently \$122.

Ms. Miller introduced and welcomed new Practice Advisor, Dr. Dulcey Frantz.

Ms. Pachter Schulder requested a summary of the number of curriculums reviews the advisors have been conducting. Ms. Miller offered to provide a summary at the next Board meeting. She noted seeing a few curriculum changes related to the Essentials. She also reported seeing a lot of curriculum changes for PN programs that have clock hours in excess of 1500, noting they are revising their curriculums to get their clock hours to the 1500 in compliance with the

federal regulation that goes into effect on July 1.

Ms. Pachter Schulder noted the legislation discussed last month had not moved forward, and the feds came out with guidance that said if nursing education programs do not meet that deadline on July 1 that they will take into consideration actions that the program may have made to make the revision. She mentioned that some programs may have incorrectly believed that they would not have to submit anything because it is an automatic couple-month extension.

Ms. Pachter Schulder encouraged everyone to read the federal guidance because that does not have anything to do with the Board. Nonetheless, she noted that curriculum changes must be approved by the Board.

16 **

17 Old Business - Lorna Breen Heroes' Foundation

18 | PowerPoint

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

25

19 | [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,

20 stated the Board delayed recommending to the

21 Commissioner that applications be revised to remove

22 the intemperate use question and instead use the

23 question recommended by the Foundation.

Acting Commissioner Claggett agreed with the change and informed Board members that it has been

66 1 changed.] 2 3 New Business - NCSBN Executive Officer Leadership 4 Summit 5 [Linda L. Kmetz, PhD, RN, Chair, noted the June 2024 6 Board meeting may need to be changed due to a 7 conflict with the NCSBN Executive Officer Leadership 8 Summit. Ms. Miller wished to attend the Executive Officer 10 Leadership Summit in June. Board members agreed to cancel the June and July meetings and reschedule the 11 12 meeting for July 12, 2024.] DR. BUCHER: 13 14 I make a motion to cancel the June and 15 July meeting and reschedule it for July 16 12. 17 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 18 So moved. 19 CHAIR KMETZ: All those in favor? Opposed? 20 21 Abstentions? 22 [The motion carried unanimously.] 23 CHAIR KMETZ: 24 25 We need a motion to send Wendy [Miller]

```
67
                  to the EO Summit.
1
2
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
3
                  So moved.
4
   MS. HERTZLER:
5
                  Second.
   CHAIR KMETZ:
6
7
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
                  Abstentions?
8
9
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
10
11
   New Business - NCSBN Discipline Case Management
12
     Conference
13
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
14
                  Is there a Motion to send two
15
                  prosecuting attorneys to the NCSBN
16
                  Discipline Case Management Conference?
17
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
18
                  So moved.
19
   MS. HERTZLER:
20
                  Second.
21
   CHAIR KMETZ:
22
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
23
                  Abstentions?
24
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
25
```

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 1 2 at 12:21 p.m., the Board entered into Executive 3 Session with Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board 4 Counsel; Carole Clarke Smith, Esquire; Senior Board 5 Counsel; Ariel E. O'Malley, Esquire, Board Counsel; 6 Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel; and Megan E. 7 Castor, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on the matters on the Agenda under the Report of Board 10 Counsel, Report of Prosecution, and Appointments. 11 The Board returned to Open Session at 1:25 p.m.] 12 13 MOTIONS 14 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 15 During Executive Session, the Board 16 engaged in quasi-judicial deliberations 17 on the matters listed on the Agenda 18 under Report of Prosecution, Report of 19 Board Counsel, and some of the 20 Appointments. 21

Board members who recused themselves from participation will be identified with each of the motions.

Is there a motion to adopt the VRP Consent Agreements at items 2 through 8

22

23

24

```
69
                  and 94?
1
2
   DR. COMPHER:
3
                  So moved.
4
   CHAIR KMETZ:
5
                  Is there a second?
6
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
7
                  Second.
8
   CHAIR KMETZ:
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
9
10
                  Abstentions?
11
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
12
13
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
14
                  Is there a motion to adopt the
15
                  following Consent Agreements, for which
16
                  members Hertzler, Kerns, and Hunsberger
17
                  are recused, at Case No. 23-51-001976,
18
                  Case No. 23-51-011547, Case No. 22-51-
19
                  004858, Case No. 23-51-002814, Case No.
20
                  23-51-001508, and Case No. 22-51-
                  008342?
21
22
   DR. BUCHER:
23
                  So moved.
24
   DR. COMPHER:
25
                  Second.
```

1 CHAIR KMETZ:

2 All those in favor? Opposed?

3 Abstentions?

4 [The motion carried. Members Hertzler, Kerns, and

5 Hunsberger recused themselves from deliberations and

6 voting on the motion. The Respondent's name at Case

7 No. 23-51-001976 is Jill E. Snyder, RN; Case No. 23-

8 | 51-011547, Michelle D. Picard, RN; Case No. 22-51-

9 004858 is Amber L. McCleary, RN; Case No. 23-51-

10 002814, Licia Witt, RN; Case No. 23-51-001508,

11 Jennifer Lynn Gold, RN; and Case No. 22-51-008342,

12 | Sabrina Anderson, RN.]

13

14 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:

Is there a motion to reject the Consent

16 Agreement at Case No. 22-51-017247 as

17 too lenient, for which there are no

18 recusals?

19 DR. HUNSBERGER:

20 So moved.

21 MS. HERTZLER:

22 Second.

23 CHAIR KMETZ:

24 All those in favor? Opposed?

25 Abstentions?

71 1 [The motion carried unanimously.] 2 3 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 4 Is there a motion to adopt the 5 following Consent Agreements for which members Hertzler, Kerns, and Bucher are 6 7 recused, at Case No. 23-51-016873, Case 8 No. 23-51-015015, and Case No. 24-51-9 002360? 10 DR. HUNSBERGER: 11 So moved. DR. COMPHER: 12 13 Second. 14 CHAIR KMETZ: 15 All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 16 17 [The motion carried. Members Hertzler, Kerns, and 18 Bucher recused themselves from deliberations and 19 voting on the motion. The Respondent's name at Case 20 No. 23-51-016873 is Lisa Bedow Depew, RN; Case No. 21 23-51-015015, Paramjit Narang, RN; and Case No. 24-22 51-002360, Denie Marie Morris, LPN.] 23 24 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 25 Is there a motion to adopt the Consent

72 Agreement at item 17 at Case No. 23-51-1 2 016313 for which members Hertzler and 3 Bucher are recused? 4 DR. HUNSBERGER: 5 So moved. DR. COMPHER: 6 7 Second. 8 CHAIR KMETZ: All those in favor? Opposed? 9 10 Abstentions? [The motion carried. Members Hertzler and Bucher 11 recused themselves from deliberations and voting on 12 13 the motion. The Respondent's name is Stacey A. 14 McGrail, RN.] 15 * * * 16 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 17 Is there a motion to adopt the Consent 18 Agreement at item 18 at Case No. 21-51-018889 for which members Hertzler and 19 20 Kerns are recused? 21 DR. HUNSBERGER: 22 So moved. 23 DR. BUCHER: 24 Second. 25 CHAIR KMETZ:

73 All those in favor? Opposed? 1 2 Abstentions? 3 [The motion carried. Members Hertzler and Kerns 4 recused themselves from deliberations and voting on 5 the motion. The Respondent's name at Case No. 21-51-018889 is Michael J. McNeal, RN.] 6 7 * * * 8 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 9 Is there a motion to adopt the Consent 10 Agreement at Case No. 22-51-014128 for 11 which members Hertzler and Hunsberger 12 are recused? 13 DR. BUCHER: 14 So moved. 15 DR. COMPHER: 16 Second. CHAIR KMETZ: 17 18 All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 19 20 [The motion carried. Members Hertzler and Hunsberger 21 recused themselves from deliberations and voting on the motion. The Respondent's name at Case No. 22-51-22 23 014128 is Clarissia Koontz, RN.] * * * 24 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 25

```
1
                  Is there a motion to adopt the
2
                  following Consent Agreements for which
 3
                  there are no recusals at Case No. 23-
                  51-011708, Case No. 23-51-005576; Case
 4
 5
                  No. 23-51-004475; Case No. 23-51-
                  014426; Case No. 23-51-016208; Case No.
 6
 7
                  24-51-003024; Case No. 24-51-000997;
                  Case No. 21-51-005991; Case No. 22-51-
8
9
                  011809; Case No. 23-51-016201; and Case
10
                  No. 23-51-013096?
11
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
12
                  So moved.
13
   MS. HERTZLER:
14
                  Second.
15
   CHAIR KMETZ:
16
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
17
                  Abstentions?
18
   [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
   name at Case No. 23-51-011708 is Amanda Jean
19
20
   Shemansky, RN; Case No. 23-51-005576, Danita Monique
21
   Robinson, RN; Case No. 23-51-004475, Deanna Lee
22
   Hamilton, RN; Case No. 23-51-014426, Bridget T.
23
   Breslin, LPN; Case No. 23-51-016208, Deborah Ann
24
   Shook, RN; Case No. 24-51-003024, Tressie Russo, RN;
25
   Case No. 24-51-000997, Douglas Hostetler, LPN; Case
```

```
75
   No. 21-51-005991, Carmen T. Gonzalez-Torres, RN; Case
1
2
   No. 22-51-011809, Eric Robert Hart, LPN; Case No. 23-
3
   51-016201, Kevin Robert Barthold, RN; and Case 23-51-
   013096, Joseph M. Brookes, RN.]
4
                               * * *
5
6
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
7
                  Is there a motion to grant the request
8
                  for early termination from the PHMP in
9
                  the matter of Vaughn Hansen, RN, Case
10
                  No. 20-51-014025?
11
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
12
                  So moved.
13
   MS. HERTZLER:
14
                  Second.
15
   CHAIR KMETZ:
16
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
17
                  Abstentions?
18
   [The motion carried. Member Kerns opposed the
19
   motion. 1
20
                               * * *
21
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
22
                  Is there a motion to authorize Counsel
23
                  to prepare an Adjudication and Order in
24
                  the matter of Lisa Margaret Schuman,
25
                  RN, Case No. 21-51-012936?
```

```
76
1
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
2
                  So moved.
3
   DR. BUCHER:
 4
                  Second.
5
   CHAIR KMETZ:
6
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
7
                  Abstentions?
8
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
9
10
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
11
                  Is there a motion to grant the Motion
                  to Enter Default and Deem Facts
12
13
                  Admitted and authorize Counsel to
14
                  prepare an Adjudication and Order in
15
                  the matter of Tierney Boyles, LPN, Case
                  No. 21-51-018953, for which members
16
17
                  Hertzler and Kerns are recused?
18
   DR. BUCHER:
                  So moved.
19
20
   DR. COMPHER:
21
                  Second.
22
   CHAIR KMETZ:
23
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
24
                  Abstentions?
25
   [The motion carried. Members Hertzler and Kerns
```

77 recused themselves from deliberations and voting on 1 2 the motion.1 3 4 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 5 In the matter of Adriann M. Cunningham, LPN, Case No. 21-51-000637, for which 6 7 in March members Hunsberger made the motion and Hertzler seconded, and at 9 that time, the Board made the motion to 10 grant the Motion to Deem Facts Admitted. 11 12 In light of the Answer having been 13 filed, is there a motion in that case 14 to change the previous motion from 15 March from granting the MDFA to 16 delegating the matter to a hearing 17 examiner? 18 DR. HUNSBERGER: So moved. 19 20 MS. HERTZLER: 21 Second. 22 CHAIR KMETZ: 23 All those in favor? Opposed?

Abstentions?

[The motion carried unanimously.]

24

2 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:

1

4

5

6

7

9

23

24

3 In the matters of Ryan Phillips, RN, Case No. 23-51-018393; Michelle Marie Sabo, LPN, Case No. 21-51-010697; Judith Louise Simms, RN, Case No. 23-51-004375; and Case No. 23-51-016343, Ashley Thomas, RN, is there a motion to enter defaults, deem the facts 10 admitted, and to authorize Counsel to 11 prepare Adjudications and Orders in those matters? 12

13 DR. COMPHER:

14 So moved.

15 DR. HUNSBERGER:

16 Second.

17 CHAIR KMETZ:

18 All those in favor? Opposed?

Abstentions? 19

20 [The motion carried unanimously.]

* * * 21

22 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:

> Is there a motion to authorize Counsel to prepare Adjudications and Orders,

25 for which there are no recusals, for

79 Karen Lynn Carter, RN, Case No. 22-51-1 2 006497; Senor Villette, LPN, Case No. 3 23-51-001724; and Shakiera Monay 4 Thomas, LPN, Case No. 21-51-001833? 5 DR. COMPHER: So moved. 6 7 DR. HUNSBERGER: 8 Second. 9 CHAIR KMETZ: 10 All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 11 12 [The motion carried unanimously.] 13 * * * 14 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 15 Is there a motion to authorize Counsel 16 to prepare an Adjudication and Order in 17 the matter of Kaitlyn Horsman, RN, Case 18 No. 22-51-018567, for which members 19 Hertzler, Kerns, and Hunsberger are 20 recused? 21 DR. COMPHER: 22 So moved. 23 DR. HUNSBERGER: 24 Second. 25 CHAIR KMETZ:

```
80
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
1
2
                  Abstentions?
3
   [The motion carried. Members Hertzler, Kerns, and
4
   Hunsberger recused themselves from deliberations and
5
   voting on the motion.]
                              * * *
6
7
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
8
                  Is there a motion to authorize Counsel
9
                  to prepare an Adjudication and Order in
10
                  the matter of Zoe Elysia Nowell, RN,
                  Case No. 22-51-004979, for which
11
12
                  members Hertzler and Hunsberger are
13
                  recused?
14
   DR. BUCHER:
15
                  So moved.
   DR. COMPHER:
16
                  Second.
17
18
   CHAIR KMETZ:
19
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
20
                  Abstentions?
21
   [The motion carried. Members Hertzler and Hunsberger
22
   recused themselves from deliberations and voting on
23
   the motion.
24
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
25
```

```
81
1
                  Is there a motion to adopt the Hearing
2
                  Examiner's Draft Adjudications and
 3
                  Orders for the following cases for
 4
                  which there are no recusals: Mary Beth
 5
                  Arrain, RN, Case No. 23-51-015436;
 6
                  Christopher John Chimera, LPN, Case No.
7
                  21-51-008124; Kyme McCleary, LPN, Case
                  No. 22-51-001578; and Rose Eyoma Umana,
9
                  RN, LPN, Case No. 23-51-011184?
10
   DR. COMPHER:
                  So moved.
11
12
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
13
                  Second.
14
   CHAIR KMETZ:
15
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
16
                  Abstentions?
17
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
18
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
19
20
                  Is there a motion to adopt the
21
                  following Draft Adjudications and
22
                  Orders for which members Hertzler,
23
                  Kerns, and Hunsberger are recused:
24
                  Crista Cloak, LPN, Case No. 21-51-
                  008258; Christopher Fraser, LPN, Case
25
```

```
82
1
                  No. 21-51-012520; Mari Dempsey Hart,
2
                  RN, Case No. 22-51-014379; Kelly
3
                  Hostetler, RN, Case No. 21-51-019999;
 4
                  Charles S. Moore, RN, Case No. 21-51-
 5
                  009387; and Nancy M. Schaeffer, RN,
                  Case No. 22-51-005531?
 6
7
   DR. COMPHER:
8
                  So moved.
9
   DR. BUCHER:
10
                  Second.
   CHAIR KMETZ:
11
12
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
13
                  Abstentions?
14
   [The motion carried. Members Hertzler, Kerns, and
15
   Hunsberger recused themselves from deliberations and
16
   voting on the motion.]
                              * * *
17
18
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
19
                  Is there a motion to adopt the
20
                  following Draft Adjudications and
21
                  Orders for which there are no recusals:
22
                  Daryl Edward Brown, LPN, Case No. 19-
23
                  51-010781; Sara Carlson, LPN, Case No.
24
                  21-51-014087; Victoria Lynn Rink, RN,
25
                  Case No. 18-51-01331; Michael Dean
```

```
1
                  McNeely, RN, Case No. 20-51-012921;
2
                  Danielle Mertz, RN, Case No. 19-51-
3
                  013131; Jason Milliken, LPN, Case No.
 4
                  20-51-013066; Monica Ramirez, RN, Case
 5
                  No. 21-51-015994; Ashley Taylor
                  Rugheimer, RN, Case No. 23-51-002812;
 6
7
                  Olasoji Vincent Satimehin, LPN, Case
8
                  No. 20-51-012751; and Bridget Tuggle,
9
                  LPN, Case No. 22-51-006666?
10
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
                  So moved.
11
   MS. HERTZLER:
12
13
                  Second.
14
   CHAIR KMETZ:
15
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
16
                  Abstentions?
17
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
18
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
19
20
                  Is there a motion to adopt the Draft
21
                  Adjudications and Orders for which
22
                  members Hertzler and Kerns are recused:
23
                  Nydia Fuller, RN, Case No. 21-51-
24
                  012033; Chanya N. McNeil, LPN, Case No.
25
                  21-51-017660; Sheila Marie Spadt, LPN,
```

```
84
                  Case No. 21-51-015075, and Steven Terry
1
2
                  Stahl, RN, Case No. 21-51-008144?
3
   DR. BUCHER:
 4
                  So moved.
5
   DR. COMPHER:
                  Second.
6
7
   CHAIR KMETZ:
8
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
9
                  Abstentions?
10
   [The motion carried. Members Hertzler and Kerns
11
   recused themselves from deliberations and voting on
   the motion.]
12
13
                               * * *
14
   MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:
15
                  Is there a motion to adopt the Draft
16
                  Adjudication and Order in the matter of
                  the Application for Approval of a
17
18
                  Diploma Professional Nursing Program of
19
                  Indiana Regional Medical Center, Case
20
                  No. 23-51-008273, for which member
21
                  Hunsberger is recused?
22
   DR. BUCHER:
23
                  So moved.
24
   MS. HERTZLER:
25
                  Second.
```

85 CHAIR KMETZ: 1 2 All those in favor? Opposed? 3 Abstentions? 4 [The motion carried. Member Hunsberger recused 5 himself from deliberations and voting on the motion.] * * * 6 7 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 8 Is there a motion to adopt the Draft 9 Adjudication and Order for which member 10 Hertzler is recused in the matter of Mary Ellen Volb, RN, Case No. 21-51-11 004157? 12 13 DR. HUNSBERGER: 14 So moved. 15 DR. BUCHER: 16 Second. 17 CHAIR KMETZ: 18 All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 19 20 [The motion carried. Member Hertzler recused herself 21 from deliberations and voting on the motion.] 22 23 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 24 Is there a motion to adopt the Draft 25 Adjudication and Order in the matter of

86 1 Kathleen White Miller, LPN, Case No. 2 22-51-012352, for which members 3 Hertzler and Hunsberger are recused? DR. COMPHER: 4 5 So moved. 6 DR. BUCHER: 7 Second. 8 CHAIR KMETZ: 9 All those in favor? Opposed? 10 Abstentions? 11 [The motion carried. Members Hertzler and Hunsberger 12 recused themselves from deliberations and voting on 13 the motion. 1 14 * * * MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 15 16 Is there a motion to approve Chestnut 17 Hill College Department of Nursing 18 Proposal for Establishment of a 19 Prelicensure BSN Program with an 20 Accelerated Second-Degree BSN Option? 21 DR. HUNSBERGER: 22 So moved. 23 DR. BUCHER: 24 Second. 25 CHAIR KMETZ:

87 All those in favor? Opposed? 1 2 Abstentions? 3 [The motion carried unanimously.] 4 5 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: Is there a motion to extend Provisional 6 7 Status for Prism Career Institute until after the Board's July meeting, at 9 which time Prism will return to the 10 Board? 11 DR. BUCHER: So moved. 12 13 MS. HERTZLER: 14 Second. 15 CHAIR KMETZ: 16 All those in favor? Opposed? 17 Abstentions? 18 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 19 20 VICE CHAIR HUNSBERGER ASSUMED THE CHAIR * * * 21 22 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 23 Is there a motion to extend Provisional 24 Status for Fayette County Career & 25 Technical Institute until the end of

1 the examination year for which Chair

2 Kmetz is recused?

3 MS. HERTZLER:

4 So moved.

5 DR. BUCHER:

6 Second.

7 VICE CHAIR HUNSBERGER:

All those in favor? Opposed?

9 Abstentions?

10 [The motion carried. Member Kmetz recused herself

11 from deliberations and voting on the motion.]

12

13 CHAIR KMETZ RESUMED THE CHAIR

14

15 | Election of Officers

16 [Linda L. Kmetz, PhD, RN, Chair, announced she is

17 resigning from the position of Board Chair and would

18 be working for the Nurse Board as a Nursing Education

19 Advisor starting May 11.]

20 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:

21 We need a motion to amend the Agenda

for election of officers.

23 DR. BUCHER:

So moved.

25 MS. HERTZLER:

```
89
                  Second.
1
2
   CHAIR KMETZ:
3
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
4
                  Abstentions?
5
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
6
7
   CHAIR KMETZ:
                  Position of Chair? Is there a
9
                  nomination?
10
   CHAIR KMETZ:
11
                  I nominate Colby Hunsberger.
12
   DR. BUCHER:
13
                  Second.
14
   CHAIR KMETZ:
15
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
16
                  Abstentions?
17
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
18
19
   CHAIR KMETZ:
20
                  Now we need a Vice Chair.
21
   DR. HUNSBERGER:
22
                  I would nominate Dr. Bucher.
23
   MS. HERTZLER:
24
                  Second.
25
   CHAIR KMETZ:
```

All those in favor? Opposed?

2 Abstentions?

3 [The motion carried unanimously.]

4 ***

5 [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,

6 noted the number of vacancies on the Board and that

7 | the Board was unable to act on some of the cases last

month and recommended having only two members on the

9 | Probable Cause Screening Committee.]

10 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:

Does somebody want to make a motion to

12 reduce the size of the Probable Cause

13 | Screening Committee to two unless there

is a tie between the Committee members?

15 DR. BUCHER:

14

16 So moved.

17 DR. COMPHER:

18 Second.

19 CHAIR KMETZ:

20 All those in favor? Opposed?

21 Abstentions?

22 [The motion carried unanimously.]

23 ***

24 [Colby P. Hunsberger, DNP, RN, CNEcl, Vice Chair,

25 asked another Board member to join him and Dr. Bucher

- 1 on the Applications Review Committee, and Ms. Siegel
- 2 volunteered. He noted Dr. Bucher will stay on the
- 3 Advanced Practice Committee.]
- 4 ***
- 5 | [Carol Clarke Smith, Senior Board Counsel, also
- 6 announced she is leaving the Board and moving on to
- 7 | the Department of Insurance.
- 8 Chair Kmetz thanked Ms. Clarke Smith for her
- 9 years of service.
- 10 Ariel O'Malley also announced that she is leaving
- 11 | the Board and moving on to the Department of General
- 12 | Services. She reiterated her thanks to the Board.
- 13 Chair Kmetz thanked Ms. O'Malley for her service
- 14 to the Board.]
- 15 ***
- 16 Adjournment
- 17 CHAIR KMETZ:
- Motion for adjournment.
- 19 DR. HUNSBERGER:
- 20 So moved.
- 21 DR. BUCHER:
- 22 Second.
- 23 CHAIR KMETZ:
- 24 All those in favor? No opposed. No
- abstentions.

```
[The motion carried unanimously.]
1
2
3
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
4
   Nursing Meeting adjourned at 1:53 p.m.]
                               * * *
5
 6
7
9
10
11
                          CERTIFICATE
12
13
        I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
14
   minutes of the State Board of Nursing meeting was
15
   reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and
16
   that the minutes accurately summarize the substance
   of the State Board of Nursing meeting.
17
18
19
20
21
                               Derek Richmond,
22
                               Minute Clerk
23
                               Sargent's Court Reporting
24
                                  Service, Inc.
25
```

		94
1 2 3 4 5 6		STATE BOARD OF NURSING REFERENCE INDEX (Cont.) May 2, 2024
7	TIME	AGENDA
8 9 10	12:01	Report of Committees
11 12 13	12:01	Report of Board Members Who Attended a Meeting on Behalf of the Board
14 15	12:03	Report of Executive Secretary
16 17	12:13	Old Business
18 19	12:13	New Business
20 21	12:21	Executive Session
22 23	1:25	Return to Open Session
24 25	1:25	Motions
26 27 28	1:43	Amendment to the Agenda - Election of Officers
29 31 32 33 33 35 37 38 39 41 42 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	1:53	Adjournment