State Board of Optometry August 8,

5

BOARD MEMBERS:

Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson John A. Godfrey, O.D. Vice Chairperson Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Denise T. Wilcox, O.D., Ph.D., F.A.A.O., Secretary Ester Blair, Esquire, Deputy Attorney General, Health Care Section, Consumer Protection Member Jeffrey B. Becker, O.D. Perry C. Umlauf, O.D. Marc Berson, O.D.

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Satya B. Verma, O.D.

Shawn J. Jayman, Esquire, Board Counsel Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Gregory S. Liero, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Shakeena Chappelle, Board Administrator Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division

ALSO PRESENT:

Inc.

Ted Mowatt, CAE, Vice President, Wanner Associates, on behalf of Pennsylvania Optometric Association Jennifer A. Keeler, CAE, Executive Director, Pennsylvania Optometric Association Robert McClenathan, O.D.

Derek Richmond, Sargent's Court Reporting Service,

* * * 1 2 State Board of Optometry 3 August 8, 2024 * * * 4 5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 10:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive 6 7 Session with Shawn J. Jayman, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and to receive the advice of counsel. 10 The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.] * * * 11 12 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 13 Board of Optometry was held on Thursday, August 8, 14 Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson, 2024. 15 called the meeting to order at 10:32 a.m. 16 17 Roll Call of Board Members/Introduction of Attendees 18 [Shakeena L. Chappelle, Board Administrator, provided a roll call of Board members and an introduction of 19 20 attendees. A quorum of Board member was present.] 21 22 [Shawn J. Jayman, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted that

[Shawn J. Jayman, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted that the meeting was being recorded, and those who continued to participate were giving their consent to be recorded.

23

24

```
1
        Mr. Jayman also noted the Board entered into
2
   Executive Session for the purpose of conducting
3
   quasi-judicial deliberations and to receive the
4
   advice of Board Counsel on a number of matters
5
   currently pending before the Board.]
6
7
   Approval of Meeting Minutes
8
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
9
                  I'd like to entertain a motion for
10
                  approval of the minutes of the May 9,
11
                  2024 Board meeting.
                       Is there a second to the motion?
12
13
   DR. GODFREY:
14
                  I move to approve the Board minutes,
15
                  dated May 9, 2024.
   DR. BERSON:
16
17
                  I second the motion.
18
   MS. CHAPPELLE:
19
                  Dr. Chubb, aye; Dr. Godfrey, aye;
20
                  Commissioner Claggett, aye; Dr. Wilcox,
21
                  aye; Ester Blair, aye; Dr. Becker, aye;
22
                  Dr. Umlauf, aye; Dr. Berson, aye; Dr.
23
                  Verma, abstain.
24
   [The motion carried. Satya Verma abstained from
25
   voting on the motion.]
```

1 ***

- 2 Report of Board Prosecutors
- 3 [Gregory S. Liero, Esquire, Board Prosecution
- 4 Liaison, had nothing to report.]
- 5
- 6 Report of Acting Commissioner
- 7 | [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
- 8 Professional and Occupational Affairs, announced that
- 9 all of the Department of State board websites have
- 10 been updated and encouraged everyone to visit their
- 11 new Board website.
- 12 Acting Commissioner Claggett informed Board
- 13 members that the Bureau of Finance and Operations
- 14 | will be providing a budget presentation at the next
- 15 | Board meeting.]
- 16
- 17 | Waiver Request
- 18 [Shawn J. Jayman, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
- 19 the Waiver Request of Robert McClenathan, Application
- 20 No. OEG000699, and asked Dr. McClenathan if he would
- 21 like to speak regarding his waiver request of in-
- 22 person continuing education (CE) requirements and
- 23 search regarding online courses.
- 24 Dr. McClenathan informed Board members that he is
- 25 currently suffering from autoimmune hemolytic anemia

and was requesting the waiver because he is concerned that there are not enough in-person type of continuing education webinars between now and the end of November 2024 to meet his requirements.

Chairperson Chubb asked whether he completed any online synchronous or asynchronous continuing education (CE), the child abuse training course, and the 6-hour therapeutics courses.

Dr. McClenathan completed a few in-person courses through their local optometric society and the child abuse training course but was uncertain whether the glaucoma-focused courses qualify as therapeutic.

Dr. Wilcox asked whether he is treating any children or anybody in person.

Dr. McClenathan stated he is treating children who have achromatopsia and has dozens of patients. He reported that he only sees patients with achromatopsia as a result of a unique circumstance that took him to the Federated States of Micronesia. He also reported having many patients from out of state in the Mennonite community.

Dr. Berson mentioned that they are required to have 30 hours during a two-year period and asked for a ballpark number of hours completed.

Dr. McClenathan believed the hours to be between

1 10 and 15. He noted that he is not opposed to taking other online courses but expressed a concern with inperson courses or webinars. He mentioned that he did not have a problem meeting the requirement in terms of numbers during COVID when he could take online courses.

Chairperson Chubb asked whether he was aware of the Board's decision in 2023 to go with the Association of Regulatory Boards of Optometry's (ARBO) description of synchronous, referring to live as both an in-person face-to-face session or live session online with an active lecture.

Dr. McClenathan explained that he was aware of that decision but is concerned because he is not willing to attend in person, and the schedules may not allow him to attend the required number of webinars between now and the end of November 2024.

Dr. Godfrey asked Dr. McClenathan whether the credits that he currently has are all live.

Dr. McClenathan explained that he has maybe 3 or 4 hours of in-person through the local society and the remainder would be online.

Dr. Godfrey stated he would only need 11 or 12 in-person or synchronous online hours and the rest could be asynchronous if he already has 3 or 4 in-

```
8
1
   person.
2
        Dr. Becker requested confirmation from Dr.
3
   McClenathan that he would be able to do synchronous
4
   live in his home on Zoom without restrictions, and
5
   Dr. McClenathan noted that to be correct.
        Mr. Jayman thanked Dr. McClenathan for attending
6
7
   this meeting.]
8
   MR. JAYMAN:
                  Based on Executive Session
9
10
                  deliberations, I believe the Chair
11
                  would entertain a motion to disapprove
12
                  the CE Request regarding the Waiver of
13
                  Dr. Robert McClenathan, Application No.
14
                  OEG000699.
15
   DR. GODFREY:
16
                  So moved.
17
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
18
                  Second.
19
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
                  Roll call vote.
20
21
22
                  Dr. Chubb, aye; Dr. Godfrey, aye;
23
                  Commissioner Claggett, aye; Dr. Wilcox,
24
                  aye; Ester Blair, abstain; Dr. Umlauf,
25
                  aye; Dr. Berson, aye; Dr. Verma,
```

1 abstain; Dr. Becker, aye; 2 [The motion carried. Ester Blair and Satya Verma 3 abstained from voting on the motion.] 4 Regulatory Report - CE Regulations 16A-5217 5 6 [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel, 7 regulations, referred to the proposed annex for 16A-8 5217. Chairperson Chubb informed Ms. Wolfgang of a 10 correction concerning CE retention requirements, 11 noting four and six need to be reversed. 12 Ms. Wolfgang referred to § 23.84 regarding course 13 registration and asked whether the Board is happy 14 with the provider category language. 15 Dr. Wilcox asked why provider number is being 16 removed. 17 Ms. Wolfgang explained that the provider number 18 is being removed because it is a course registration, 19 where the provider is not required to have a number 20 if they register for one course. She further 21 explained that they may have a number if they want to 22 be a Board-approved provider or if they are a

preapproved provider but will have a number if they

are a Board-approved provider. She noted § 23.84 used

to be combined as a provider and program registration

23

24

but is being streamlined to only deal with course
registration.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to the provider category, noting it is okay to leave it in there but was unclear how that was answered. She mentioned that it may be something that could be taken out if it is not utilized in the application process.

Dr. Wilcox commented that they already have the name of the provider; the course title; the faculty names, titles, affiliations, and degrees and would be a duplicate. Board members agreed to remove it.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to subsection (e), where the language is upon approval of a qualified provider, a provider number will be assigned, and upon approval of any program, a program number will be assigned except for those providers listed in § 23.81.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that they are not dealing with provider numbers in this subsection and are dealing with course approval. She suggested removing it, because it is not applicable with the way they are separating out the preapproved providers, Boardapproved providers, and now the course approval. Board members agreed.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to subsection (f), where

- courses will be approved only in demonstrated areas
 of expertise, and a change in the area of expertise
 shall be recorded and communicated to the Board
 within 60 days of that change. She noted prior
- 5 discussion about whether the Board wanted to keep it 6 or remove it.
- Dr. Wilcox believed it to be important if a course has changed and a provider has changed to report their expertise in that given area.
- 10 Chairperson Chubb commented that it says change 11 in the area of expertise and does not say change in 12 the instructor.
- Dr. Wilcox suggested an addition to the statement to read, either the provider has changed or the area of expertise has changed.
 - Dr. Umlauf commented that the 60 days will be a problem if there is a last-minute change and suggested it to say, within a reasonable amount of time or within 24 hours.
- Dr. Verma recommended that any changes be implemented to the Board prior to the courses offered, where it could be anytime.

16

17

18

19

23

- Ms. Wolfgang believed that to be a good suggestion.
- Dr. Verma asked how long it takes for a course

request to be approved by the Board.

Ms. Chappelle explained that she reviews all of the required documents and then sends it a Board member or the Applications Committee for review. She expressed a concern with courses given on a Saturday or Sunday.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to subsection (b), noting the Board requires an application for a program or a course to be submitted within 45 days in advance of the course. She believed the suggestion just prior to the courses given solves the problem, where the Board does not want them to cancel the program and just wants the information before the course is provided.

Ms. Chappelle asked what would happen if the person she sends the information to does not approve the change.

Dr. Becker mentioned that he has reviewed many applications and requires a curriculum vitae (CV) from the presenter. He noted many local seminars are usually on a Sunday and have last minute substitutions because somebody is sick or cannot get there because of travel.

Dr. Becker suggested giving them tentative approval for the course but requiring them to notify

the Board of any changes within 24 to 36 hours for reapproval.

Dr. Umlauf agreed with Dr. Becker that the Board must be notified in writing within 24 to 48 hours of any last second changes to a set program for reapproval.

Acting Commissioner Claggett commented that it may not be enough time to get everything completed.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that the section is now course registration and the reason they were deleting the information in subsection (f), the change in the area of expertise of the provider, is because that is applicable to the analysis of whether the Board would approve a preapproved provider. She referred to the course approval process under subsection (c), where they do require the names of the faculty, titles, affiliations, and degrees.

Ms. Wolfgang further explained that the staff would review what information would be required of the instructor to provide if the instructor changes and referred to subsection (h), each approved provider shall request registration each biennium, and each application for registration shall be accompanied by a statement outlining any major changes in the information previously submitted. She

noted that section is just for the biennium.

Dr. Wilcox believed 60 days is unreasonable and asked whether that part could be rewritten, so if they do make a change in the area of expertise or the speaker, it is reported and communicated to the Board without adding that it has to be recorded with any specific period of time.

Dr. Wilcox also mentioned that the Continuing Education Committee could decide whether or not the course meets the requirements of what was initially requested. She commented that they would not be able to do it over the weekend and would have to wait until after the course was given before they could say the course did not meet the requirements of CE.

Ms. Wolfgang suggested adding a paragraph that says any changes have to be communicated to the Board within 10 days of the course. She explained that the violation of the 10-day rule would not necessarily mean the committee could not approve a course before the 10 days but would protect the Board by making everyone aware that the Board cannot guarantee they would get back with someone if they did not provide a notice of at least 10 days. Board members agreed.

Ms. Chappelle asked whether Board members would be open to not putting a date on the course and

allowing someone the opportunity to reschedule the course instead of canceling or changing it to someone who does not have the same expertise. She mentioned that the State Board of Chiropractic allows someone to submit and be able to give it as many times as they want within the biennial period as long as the course does not change.

Chairperson Chubb commented that they could submit it if it is not one of their preapproved providers. She mentioned that they have an extensive number of providers and can change it because of conference problems and things like that, but an individual course or individual provider should resubmit it because they are a limited number of people who do not falling under the academy or American Optometric Association (AOA).

Ms. Wolfgang noted Board-approved providers are good for a biennium under § 23.86(b)(2). She referred to courses, noting the Board asked for dates of the courses. She mentioned the Board receives very few of these and asked whether the Board wanted to make it approved for a biennium.

Ms. Chappelle explained that the Board does not get any provider applications and receives a handful of optometry course approvals. She noted the

providers have to include the dates but typically include only one date.

Ms. Wolfgang informed Board members that the regulations currently meet the needs of the community but could be changed to allow more flexibility and less review for the Board. Board members agreed to not change the regulation.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that the 60 days would be deleted in § 23.84 (f), because it is applicable to the providers and would be adding 10 days instead. She noted the Board will accept a designation that the particular provider is qualified to provide continuing education in all subject matter as set forth in § 23.83 will be eliminated because it is dealing with provider approval.

Dr. Wilcox referred to page 9 and suggested changing the word "employee" to "ensure" that the instructor is qualified because they may not be getting paid.

Dr. Wilcox referred to page 10 under (e) and requested information concerning the word "chapter."

Ms. Wolfgang explained that chapter means the entire chapter for the State Board of Optometry regulations.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to page 17 under § 23.88,

- 1 retention of continuing education records subsection
- 2 (b) providers, where approved course providers,
- 3 | preapproved providers, and Board-approved providers
- 4 | shall retain documented evidence of attendance and
- 5 satisfactory completion of the courses and
- 6 certificates of attendance for a minimum of four
- 7 years. The records shall be made available to the
- 8 Board upon request.
- 9 Ms. Wolfgang noted prior Board discussion
- 10 regarding why providers are required to retain
- 11 records for four years and licensees for six years.
- 12 | She reported some boards have no requirements for
- 13 provider retention but also named boards that have
- 14 | the requirements.
- 15 Chairperson Chubb recommended the requirement of
- 16 | four years for both the providers and licensees.
- Ms. Wolfgang referred § 23.88, noting the Board
- 18 could change licensee to four instead of six for
- 19 consistency.
- 20 Ms. Wolfgang asked whether four years is
- 21 | consistent in an audit for CE.
- 22 Ms. Chappelle explained that four years is not
- 23 consistent, and they audit when someone has renewed
- 24 or is about to renew their license for the previous
- 25 period.

Dr. Godfrey requested information concerning circumstances where a provider would be audited and asked whether it would only be if there was a discrepancy with a licensee not keeping their certificate.

Ms. Chappelle explained that a discrepancy would be the only circumstance, and there currently would not be any because they do not have any providers.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that the Board could act on a provider if the Board received information that a provider was providing fraudulent certificates, a course took place and did not, or somebody made a complaint that the staff was not qualified.

Chairperson Chubb commented that the state would go back to 2022 to 2024 for someone audited in 2025 and asked whether the state would ever go back as far as 2020 to 2022.

Acting Commissioner Claggett explained that the state would not go back that far, noting it is difficult to discuss a hypothetical. He mentioned they can work toward creating an audit process to bring before the Board so everybody is aware of what they would do during an audit.

Dr. Becker asked whether Optometric Education TRACKER (OE) is legitimate for certificates.

Chairperson Chubb stated OE TRACKER covers COPE courses but will also list non-COPE-approved courses if they submit the courses themselves. She noted the state cannot require providers to have OE TRACKER.

Chairperson Chubb mentioned that some states provide OE TRACKER for a discounted rate to their licensees and some states are allowed to require it for their licensees to do an audit. She noted it has been discussed for Pennsylvania that it needs to be an open contract offer and cannot be specifically for OE TRACKER.

Dr. Becker asked whether the certificates are considered legitimate when audited if a licensee has OE TRACKER and they submitted all of their information to OE TRACKER and/or the provider course to OE TRACKER.

Ms. Chappelle explained that it would be legitimate if a transcript was received through OE TRACKER and includes whether or not the course is approved through a preapproved provider or the Board.

Chairperson Chubb referred to § 23.83, continuing education subject matter and asked whether (c) could read, courses that meet the requirements for certification to treat glaucoma in accordance with Section 4.2 of the Optometry Practice Act shall

1 include, but not limited to, primary open-angle 2 glaucoma, exfoliative, and pigmentary.

Dr. Godfrey commented that their regulations specifically mention those three types of glaucoma. He asked Board members to read Section 4.2 before considering taking that out, because the language is not very broad.

Chairperson Chubb referred to the 2020 Optometry Practice Act, noting it was specifically directed toward what they wanted providers to take.

Dr. Umlauf noted it to be pretty open-ended and commented that it should not be restricted. He mentioned that he did not want to define glaucoma and then leave something out.

Dr. Wilcox suggested the language to read, the course will meet the requirements for certification to treat glaucoma in accordance with Section 4.2 of the act in the treatment and management of glaucoma. Board members agreed.

Ms. Wolfgang noted the change would be fine as long as she cannot find any legal reasons why it cannot be done.

Chairperson Chubb referred to page 15 under (d), teaching and publication, where credit hours will be given for service as a teacher, preceptor, lecturer,

or speaker. She noted prior removal of language about the preparation of an outline. She also would like the Board to strike teaching and take out teacher and preceptor. She mentioned the importance of doctors making sure their continuing education is up to date in all areas and not just a certain area.

Chairperson Chubb asked for a Board discussion concerning striking teaching and switching that to publications and striking teacher and preceptor in that first sentence.

Dr. Verma mentioned being the director of an externship program in the past and providing 1 or 2 CE credits for teaching and suggested clarifying that section.

Chairperson Chubb noted they could receive 25% or 7 hours of their credits under this section but wanted to strike those two categories, because they need to take the continuing education to stay up to date in current studies. Board members decided to keep those categories.

Dr. Wilcox asked why the words or license renewal was removed under § 23.87(a). She noted renewal and reactivation are two different things and suggested it read, for a license for renewal or reactivation.

Ms. Chappelle explained that it is because of the information following on that next page where it is asking for the dates attended, continuing education hours claimed, etc. She also explained that they do not ask for that during renewals, only to attest to having completed it.

Dr. Wilcox asked whether they eliminated the whole paragraph on page 12 under (e). She also had questions concerning the restructuring of the document.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that (e) is now incorporated in § 23.86(a). She also provided answers concerning restructuring.

Ms. Wolfgang reviewed the changes with Board members. She noted the change from open-angle glaucoma, exfoliation glaucoma, and pigmentary to just plain glaucoma. She referred to page 8 and verification to remove information about the providers regarding the 60 days but adding the 10-day requirement and placing that in subsection (f).

Ms. Wolfgang referred to § 23.88, noting the change from six to four years for licensees.

Chairperson Chubb referred to § 23.85(c) and reminded Ms. Wolfgang to change the word "employee" to "ensure" on page 9.

```
1
        Chairperson Chubb thanked Ms. Wolfgang.]
2
   MR. JAYMAN:
3
                  At this time, I believe the Chair would
 4
                  entertain a motion to release an
 5
                  exposure draft of the proposed annex
 6
                  16A-5217 contingent upon Board Counsel
7
                  making the necessary changes as
                  discussed. The exposure draft would go
9
                  to the interested parties and
10
                  stakeholders for comment.
                       Do I have a motion?
11
   DR. GODFREY:
12
13
                  So moved.
14
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
15
                  Second.
   MR. JAYMAN:
16
                  Roll call.
17
18
19
                  Dr. Chubb, aye; Dr. Godfrey, aye;
20
                  Commissioner Claggett, aye; Dr. Wilcox,
21
                  aye; Ester Blair, aye; Dr. Becker, aye;
22
                  Dr. Umlauf, aye; Dr. Berson, aye; Satya
23
                  Verma, aye.
24
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
25
```

2.4

```
1
   Regulatory Report - Regulatory Status Report
2
   [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,
3
   provided a Regulatory Status Report for the Board's
 4
            She hoped to move the opioid education
   review.
5
   regulation and general revision package in the near
 6
   future once they get the exposure draft sent out.]
7
8
   [Marc Berson, O.D., exited the meeting at 12 p.m.]
9
10
   Report of Board Chairperson
11
   [Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson,
12
   addressed her attendance, along with Dr. Wilcox and
13
   Ms. Chappelle, at the 2024 Association of Regulatory
14
   Boards of Optometry (ARBO) Meeting in Nashville.
                                                       She
15
   reported 71 attendees from the United States, New
16
   Zealand, Guam, and four provinces of Canada.
17
        Chairperson Chubb stated the keynote speaker,
18
   Corey Ciocchetti, is a professor of Business Ethics
19
   and Legal Studies in the Daniels College of Business
20
   at the University of Denver and opened the meeting on
21
   topics of integrity and ethics.
22
        Dr. Wilcox announced Dr. Chubb is now on the
23
   Board of Directors of ARBO. She noted that
24
   Accreditation Council on Optometric Education (ACOE)
25
   Report confirmed there are 25 accredited optometry
```

programs and 274 residency programs.

Chairperson Chubb reported Rocky Mountain
University of Health Professions is in stage 2 of the accreditation process. She noted the enrollment of their second class this year and they are going through the process to be an ACOE-accredited school.

Chairperson Chubb mentioned that she completed two 3-year terms on ACOE and decided to pursue the position on the board of directors of ARBO.

Dr. Wilcox stated the National Board of Examiners in Optometry (NBEO) reported on the new Part III of the exam that will be launched in August. She noted there will be 12 stations and 24 examiners for the new Part III, and the subject matter was created by licensed optometrists. She also noted there will be an open house on September 14.

Dr. Wilcox explained that Part I is Applied Basic Science, Part II is Patient Assessment and Management, and Part III is Patient Encounters and Performance Skills (PEPS). She mentioned there is also Treatment and Management of Ocular Disease (TMOD) and Laser and the Surgical Procedures Examination (LSPE).

Chairperson Chubb informed Board members that she will be attending the PEPS review on September 14,

- 1 2024, as a member of the Board of Directors of ARBO.
- 2 | She reminded everyone that NBEO does not make the
- 3 questions for Part I and Part II. She stated the
- 4 questions are developed by schools represented
- 5 through ASCO through individual providers, licensees,
- 6 and subject matter experts who meet with NBEO to
- 7 approve each question to define a minimally competent
- 8 candidate for a licensee.
- 9 Dr. Wilcox commented that individuals who take
 10 the exam in August 2024 will not be able to receive
- 11 their results until December 2024 for Part III.
- 12 Ms. Chappelle noted they did clarify that people
- 13 taking the new portion of the exam have not graduated
- 14 yet, so by the time they graduate, they can request
- 15 the results.
- 16 Dr. Wilcox mentioned that they will not be able
- 17 to practice if they have taken Part III a second
- 18 time, but most of the fourth-year students will be
- 19 using the August, October, and December 2024 tests to
- 20 assure the validity of the tests.
- 21 Dr. Wilcox noted the Council on Optometric
- 22 | Practitioner Education (COPE) gave a presentation and
- 23 reported the OE TRACKER has 800,000 credits in their
- 24 databank and have been recognized as an equivalent to
- 25 the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical

Education (ACCME) since 2017.

Dr. Wilcox noted COPE also gave a lecture on the Health Insurance Portability Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulations and common breaches. She also noted a lecture on navigating the artificial intelligence (AI) frontier and what that meant to optometry.

Dr. Wilcox mentioned that many other states reported doctors from adjacent states are now doing eye exams remotely to a location in their state. She explained that a doctor can be licensed in Pennsylvania, live in another state, and do a remote eye exam in Pennsylvania as long as they are licensed in Pennsylvania.

Chairperson Chubb stated ARBO-approved the Model Practice Act divided into sections and is available on ARBO's website for review. She noted it covers what regulations need to be reviewed in individual states to ensure each state has a comprehensive package. She mentioned that Pennsylvania is supported by a regulatory committee and prosecution division but other states need to develop their own regulations and hire their own attorneys.

Dr. Wilcox stated the Model Practice Act is now complete and includes public interest, establishing a

board of optometry, defined unlawful practices, endorsement, confidentiality, and being a reporter.

Ms. Chappelle commented that Pennsylvania has a good system and was glad she was able to attend the meeting.

Chairperson Chubb noted Pennsylvania does not require a law exam but reported about 24 states do require a test on their practice act and regulations.

Dr. Wilcox believed doctors do not know the regulations or the Optometry Practice Act and should be required to take continuing education on these topics.

Chairperson Chubb was also in support of continuing education for future boards to make people more aware of their laws in their state. She commented that making the Optometry Practice Act and regulations easily accessible online instead of having the paper copies is a huge boost.

Acting Commissioner Claggett commented that it does not mean someone would know the law if they pass the test.

Dr. Verma suggested testing on regulations and the Optometry Practice Act education take place during the initial licensure process.]

* * *

- 1 Report of Board Administrator
- 2 | [Shakeena L. Chappelle, Board Administrator,
- 3 announced that the renewal period will probably open
- 4 at the end of next month and asked everyone to update
- 5 their emails if anything has changes so they receive
- 6 the renewal notice. She reminded everyone that
- 7 renewal is online with no grace period.
- 9 their child abuse CE is from the last biennial to the

Ms. Chappelle also reminded everyone to make sure

- 10 present because many people think that it is the two
- 11 years that they did the last one and is not always
- 12 correct. She stated an email was distributed to all
- 13 licensees introducing e-licensure. She noted it is
- 14 not their renewal application and just an email
- 15 notifying everyone that e-licensing is now available.
- 16 Ms. Chappelle reminded everyone to double check
- 17 | their Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) account
- 18 and expiration date and to contact the Board with any
- 19 questions. She mentioned that everyone will also
- 20 receive an email stating their renewal application
- 21 has been approved and will have a 2026 expiration
- 22 date when downloaded.

- Dr. Wilcox asked Ms. Chappelle for the best way
- 24 to contact the Board if an applicant's child abuse
- 25 training course did not get uploaded into the system.

Ms. Chappelle explained that the system recognizes the individual's course by their first name, last name, date of birth, and last four digits of their Social Security Number. She noted the licensee enters that information, which is then transmitted to their office.

Ms. Chappelle was unaware of the process for each provider as far as information getting to their portal. She further explained that anyone who has an active license or an application in but does not see that reported to the Board within about 15 days to contact the provider directly to make sure their information is correct.

Ms. Chappelle stated the Board does not touch checklist items, noting the information is entered by providers. She believed everyone should be able to see the course verified on their profile in the education section of their dashboard on PALS.

Chairperson Chubb suggested passing that information along to POA and local societies to have them go in advance because that was a notable glitch for a lot of people last year.

Acting Commissioner Claggett offered to draft some language and send an email blast.

Ms. Chappelle also informed everyone that when

- 1 | they submit their application, especially with the
- 2 child abuse course, that it is not automatic because
- 3 PALS is constantly cycling through to find their
- 4 record and may take at least 24 hours. She
- 5 recommended everyone check it again the next day and
- 6 then call the Board if it is still not there. She
- 7 again encouraged everyone to check the information
- 8 | they entered and the provider entered is correct.]
- 9 ***
- 10 Miscellaneous
- 11 [Denise T. Wilcox, O.D., Ph.D., F.A.A.O., Secretary,
- 12 informed everyone that she did not receive any
- 13 comments concerning her newsletter and would present
- 14 the final copy at the next Board meeting for
- 15 approval.]
- 16
- 17 | Board Appointments
- 18 | [Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson,
- 19 | welcomed Dr. Verma.
- 20 Ms. Chappelle mentioned that the Board has two
- 21 vacant professional member positions and three public
- 22 member positions.
- 23 Chairperson Chubb requested an update concerning
- 24 the program assigning people to public positions.
- 25 Acting Commissioner Claggett explained that it is

```
1 still ongoing but no one has been appointed to the
```

- 2 | Board at this time as far as college students are
- 3 | concerned.]
- 4 ***
- 5 Public Comment
- 6 | [Ted Mowatt, CAE, Vice President, Wanner Associates,
- 7 on behalf of the Pennsylvania Optometric Association,
- 8 noted that some of the other boards do presentations
- 9 regarding the regulatory process and board activities
- 10 at some of their association meetings. He mentioned
- 11 | that it could probably be arranged with POA as well.]
- 12
- 13 | Adjournment
- 14 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
- 15 At this time, I would entertain a
- 16 motion to adjourn the meeting.
- 17 DR. GODFREY:
- 18 So moved.
- 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
- 20 Second.
- 21 | CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
- 22 The meeting is over. Our next meeting
- is on December 19.
- 24
- 25 | [There being no further business, the State Board of

Optometry Meeting adjourned at 12:19 p.m.] CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Optometry meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Optometry meeting. Derek Richmond, Minute Clerk Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

			34
123456789011231456789011234567890112345678901234567890123456789012456789000000000000000000000000000000000000		STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY REFERENCE INDEX	
		August 8, 2024	
	TIME	AGENDA	
	10:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
	10:32	Official Call to Order	
	10:32	Roll Call/Introduction of Attendees	
	10:33	Approval of Minutes	
	10:38	Report of Acting Commissioner	
	10:39	Waiver Request	
	10:50	Regulatory Report	
	12:00	Report of Board Chair	
	12:11	Report of Board Administrator	
	12:17	Miscellaneous	
	12:18	Board Appointments	
	12:18	Public Session	
	12:19	Adjournment	
35 36			
37 38			
39 40			
41 42			
43			
45 46			
47 48			
49 50			