State Board of Osteopathic Medicine February 14, 2024

Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of

BOARD MEMBERS:

Professional and Occupational Affairs
Sirisha Reddy, Special Assistant, on behalf of Debra
L. Bogen, M.D., FAAP, FABM, Acting Secretary of
Health

John B. Bulger, D.O., MBA, Chairman
Hillary D. Snyder, MSPAS, PA-C, Vice Chairperson

Bette A. Grey, BA, RRT, CPFT, Secretary
Thomas S. Dardarian, D.O.
Joseph M. Zawisza, D.O.

Jonathan P. Oline, D.O. Randy G. Litman, D.O.

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor and Prosecution Liaison Keith E. Bashore, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Patrick Greene, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Mark R. Zogby, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Priscilla Turek, Board Administrator Holly Hoffman, Law Clerk, Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

Division

Andrea Wandling, Human Resources Manager,
Pennsylvania Association of Community Health
Centers

Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution

Tracey Ziegler, RN, BSN, Program Director, LifeGuard, The Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical Society Sophia Mahoney

1

2 State Board of Osteopathic Medicine 3 February 14, 2024

* * *

4

14

15

16

17

18

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:30 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters that are currently pending before the Board and to receive the advice of counsel. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

13 ***

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
Board of Osteopathic Medicine was held on Wednesday,
February 14, 2024. John B. Bulger, D.O., MBA,
Chairman, called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.]

* * *

[Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, noted a vote would be needed to amend the agenda to include additional items.]

23 ***

24 Introduction of Board Members/Attendees

25 [Priscilla Turek, Board Administrator, provided an

```
1
   introduction of Board members, staff, and audience in
2
   attendance. 1
3
4
   Approval of minutes of the December 13, 2023 meeting
5
   CHAIRMAN BULGER:
6
                  Do I have a motion to approve the
7
                  minutes?
8
   MS. GREY:
9
                  So moved.
10
   DR. ZAWISZA:
11
                  Second.
   CHAIRMAN BULGER:
12
13
                  Priscilla, please call the vote.
14
15
                  Mr. Claggett, aye; Dr. Bulger, aye; Ms.
16
                  Snyder, aye; Ms. Grey, aye; Dr.
17
                  Dardarian, aye; Dr. Zawisza, aye; Dr.
18
                  Oline, aye; Dr. Litman, aye; Ms. Reddy,
19
                  aye.
20
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
21
22
   Appointment - Annual Prosecution Division
23
     Presentation
24
   [Carlton Smith, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel,
25
   Prosecution Division, informed Board members that he
```

assumed his role in March 2023 when Carolyn

DeLaurentis was promoted to the Executive Deputy

Chief Counsel position and provided a brief summary

of his professional background.

- Mr. Smith reported a decrease in the number of Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs (BPOA) complaints across all boards from around 22,000 in 2022 to roughly 19,000 in 2023.
- Mr. Smith presented the Prosecution Division's Annual Report for the State Board of Osteopathic Medicine. He reported a little over 20,000 licensees in 2023. He noted 748 cases were opened in 2023. He also noted around 684 open cases currently and 777 closed cases. He informed Board members that prosecution's standard is to dispose of a case within a year across all boards. He reported the average age of a case for the Board is 312 days in 2023.
- Mr. Smith addressed closed discipline cases in 2023, noting 5 probations and some fines, along with 4 suspensions.
- Mr. Smith addressed prosecution not warranted and warning letters and reported 495 prosecution not warranted cases and 55 warning letters. He explained that warning letters are issued after prosecution considered the seriousness of the allegations,

```
1 licensee disciplinary history, and strength of the 2 evidence.
```

Mr. Smith discussed prosecution not warranted, noting prosecution again considers the seriousness of the allegations and disciplinary history but also oftentimes considers an expert's opinion in terms of standard of care and how confident they are that there was a violation.]

* * *

10 Report of Prosecuting Attorneys

11 [Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board

12 Prosecutor, on behalf of Keith Bashore, Esquire,

13 Board Prosecution Liaison, presented the VRP Consent

14 Agreement and Order for Case No. 23-53-017349 and the

15 | Consent Agreement and Order for Case No. 17-53-

16 09163.1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17 MS. WUCINSKI:

18 At agenda item 5, I believe the Board

19 would entertain a motion to adopt the

20 VRP Consent Agreement and Order at Case

No. 23-53-017349.

22 MS. GREY:

21

So moved.

24 DR. ZAWISZA:

25 Second.

```
CHAIRMAN BULGER:
1
2
                  It's moved and seconded.
3
                  discussion? Hearing none. Priscilla,
 4
                  please call the vote.
 5
 6
                  Mr. Claggett, aye; Dr. Bulger, aye; Ms.
7
                  Snyder, aye; Ms. Grey, aye; Dr.
                  Dardarian, aye; Dr. Zawisza, aye; Dr.
9
                  Oline, aye; Dr. Litman, aye; Ms. Reddy,
10
                  aye.
11
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
12
13
   MS. WUCINSKI:
14
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
15
                  motion to adopt the Consent Agreement
                  and Order at Case No. 17-53-09163.
16
   MS. GREY:
17
18
                  So moved.
   DR. ZAWISZA:
19
20
                  Second.
21
   CHAIRMAN BULGER:
22
                  It's moved and seconded.
                                             Any
23
                  discussion? Hearing none. Priscilla,
24
                  please call the vote.
25
```

Q

```
1
                 Mr. Claggett, aye; Dr. Bulger, aye; Ms.
2
                  Snyder, aye; Ms. Grey, aye; Dr.
 3
                  Dardarian, aye; Dr. Zawisza, aye; Dr.
 4
                  Oline, aye; Dr. Litman, aye; Ms. Reddy,
 5
                  aye.
6
   [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
7
   name is Stuart W. Rosen, D.O.]
8
9
   [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
10
   Professional and Occupational Affairs, asked whether
   Mr. Zogby had anything to report under item 7.
11
12
        Mr. Zogby will present his case at the April
13
   meeting. Board members discussed differences in
14
   their agendas regarding item 7.]
15
16
   Report of Board Counsel - Regulatory Status Report
17
   [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred
18
   to item 7 and informed Board members of her work with
19
   regulatory counsel to prepare a status of regulations
20
   update. She reported initiating the physician
21
   assistant Regulation 16A-5339 to incorporate Act 78
22
   of 2021. She noted drafting the Medical Board's
23
   regulation, which is out as proposed. She mentioned
24
   receiving positive comments and waiting any comments
25
   from the Independent Regulatory Review Commission
```

(IRRC).

Ms. Wucinski addressed 16A-5336 regarding the licensure requirement regulation that contains the amendments to examinations and training requirements along with Act 41. She reported that it has been approved by the Office of General Counsel and is currently with Governor Shapiro's Policy Office waiting for approval. She mentioned the plan for its delivery as proposed by the next meeting date.

Ms. Wucinski further explained that the regulation will then be sent to OAG for legality form review after approved by Policy. After it is delivered as proposed, there will be a 30-day public comment period, followed by a 30-day period in which IRRC can submit comments. She stated the Board will then review any of the comments received at the next meeting, and counsel will work to draft the final rulemaking. She hoped for its delivery as final by the end of summer.]

20 ***

21 Appointment - Physician Assistant

22 Reentry/Reinstatement Program Presentation

23 [Tracey Ziegler, RN, BSN, Program Director,

24 | LifeGuard, The Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical

25 | Society, informed Board members that she replaced

Marcia Lammando after her retirement and wished to carry on her work. She presented to the Board to discuss their new physician assistant reentry program.

Ms. Ziegler provided an overview of LifeGuard, noting it falls under the arm of The Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical Society. She explained that LifeGuard is not associated with any healthcare association or system, and their team of reviewers use independent physician reviewers who are actively practicing in their specialty in the healthcare field.

Ms. Ziegler stated LifeGuard is a nationally recognized program that addresses clinical competency assessment needs for physicians and other healthcare professionals. She reported providing physician assessments in over 32 states. She noted LifeGuard is a resource for state boards of medicine, hospitals and health systems, physician group practices, healthcare attorneys, and also for physicians themselves.

Ms. Ziegler explained that LifeGuard was developed initially to assist physicians and other providers who may have a known or suspected clinical, medical, or cognitive deficiency. She reported

working with physicians when quality care concerns
may have arisen and now physician assistants who are
seeking reentry into the workforce. She mentioned
also performing practice monitoring for practices
through consent agreements and being very careful
about providing an objective clinical competency
skills assessment.

Ms. Ziegler addressed the physician assistant program, noting it was developed to address the needs of state boards in the assessment of physician assistants returning to practice after an absence. She stated the assessment team consists of not only physicians and nurse psychologists but also includes physician assistants actively practicing and working in the academic realm as teachers in physician assistant programs.

Ms. Ziegler stated assessment components include neurocognitive evaluation, knowledge exam, medical director and clinical interview, case presentations, and access to standardized patients as well. She noted the physician assistant program is a two- to three-day assessment, and then their evaluation team discusses their review of assessment findings and results from that assessment to determine any kind of recommendations or remediation plan.

Ms. Ziegler explained that a comprehensive report is completed following receipt of the recommendations and remediations and then submitted to the referring entity and participant.

Ms. Ziegler discussed the components of reentry assessment for physician assistants, including the neurocognitive evaluation, to identify intact cognitive functioning or possible areas of concern. She noted it can indicate or rule out the need for further in-depth assessment. She explained that the knowledge examination focuses on educational requirements specific for physician assistants.

Ms. Ziegler reporting on the large database of questions through the Rosh Review system, and the test was created by physician assistant reviewers. She outlined four testing modules, which includes all scopes of practice areas for the physician assistant reentering the profession.

Ms. Ziegler noted the results are analyzed by not only their physician assistant evaluators but also one of their physician reviewers who provide general oversight of the physician assistant program. She mentioned they also complete an interview with the physician assistant participant to gain additional information that could be relevant to the assessment

processes and help provide an impression of the participant's commitment and character in getting back to actively practicing again.

Ms. Ziegler stated oral case presentations are conducted by one of their physician assistant reviewers, where they will present 6 to 10 cases to the participant related to the care and treatment of patients within the PA-C's scope of practice. She noted the participant is asked to provide and conduct analysis of how they would have cared for the patient, explain their clinical decision-making processes, and provide the rationale for their intended actions.

Ms. Ziegler stated they have access to standardized patients, noting their standardized patient assessment is completed in concert with KSTAR at Texas A&M and designed to further assess the participant's skills in evaluation and management of varying patient types. She explained that it provides a setting to develop and refine an individualized approach to patient care with live standardized patients.

Ms. Ziegler further explained that it is conducted remotely, where the participant is in their office and standardized patients are live in Texas.

- She noted the session is scored, along with receiving 2 an evaluation from the patients who provide 3 constructive feedback to the examinee and how they 4 felt with the process moving forward. She noted they
- 5 ask for documentation of the encounter from the
- 6 participant to include findings relevant to the
- 7 session.

20

21

22

23

24

- 8 Ms. Ziegler provided an overview of other program 9 pathways, including fitness for duty, which provides 10 a comprehensive assessment to determine the 11 provider's ability to meet daily work requirements 12 and patient safety. She explained that they can 13 perform a neurocognitive assessment, health history 14 and physical exam, and functional capacity 15 assessment. She mentioned that they can provide portions of a psychiatric evaluation, quality of care 16 review, on-site proctoring, further simulation, and 17 18 provide a team review and recommendations based on 19 all of the findings.
 - Ms. Ziegler noted increasing and enhancing their professionalism program, which is a subcategory of fitness for duty, to help provide assessment guidance and recommendations for physicians and other providers who may struggle with some professionalism issues. She explained that it is also a

multidisciplinary team approach.

Ms. Ziegler mentioned that assessments are available for both the physicians and the teams, and their report again consists of findings and any recommendations for next steps. She reporting seeing more elements of physician burnout related to professionalism.

Ms. Ziegler commented that a staple of their assessment program are reentry and reinstatement assessments for those physicians and now physician assistants who are getting back into medicine after an absence or reinstatement following any type of disciplinary action. She noted evaluations are based on individual circumstances, and recommendations for remediation are provided when indicated, along with remediation plan development and monitoring as needed.

Ms. Ziegler addressed quality-of-care assessments, noting it to be a clinical standard-of-care assessment to measure clinical competence by peer review chart audits and on-site observation with quality-of-care assessments as well.

Ms. Ziegler stated practice monitoring was developed to provide oversight and assessment of concerning practice patterns, where they can provide

an evaluation of clinical and medical record

documentation as well as on-site observation. She

noted then providing mentoring as needed and sending

a report to state licensing boards as with other

assessments.

- Ms. Ziegler addressed practice monitoring, noting they provide assessment training and monitoring honed into prescribing habits as well as documentation and decision-making. She noted also being able to provide preceptorship monitoring.
- Ms. Ziegler addressed their American Board of Emergency Medicine (ABEM) reeligibility program that was specifically designed for emergency medicine physicians who have yet not been successful in passing their board certification process. She stated their ABEM eligibility program was in concert with the ABEM program itself.
- Ms. Ziegler noted it to be a six-month process that includes an assessment phase, an educational remediation phase, and a clinical practice phase. She mentioned that the candidates are referred to them from the Board of Emergency Medicine, and they work with several emergency room physicians and educators from Jefferson, Penn State, Hershey, and WellSpan.

Ms. Ziegler reported 100% of participants in their program have been successful in their certification afterwards and expressed delight in the program processes itself.

Ms. Ziegler addressed enrollment and scheduling, where either the participant, state board, or healthcare system notifies them and are then provided an application to be submitted with any supportive documentation.

Ms. Ziegler noted the information is reviewed, and then individualized assessment processes and components are developed in a proposal submitted to the physician and/or referring entity as needed. She mentioned that the individual is given 30 days to review and accept the proposal. She mentioned the assessment is provided in less than six to eight weeks from the receipt of the application in order to report back to the referring entity and the physicians as needed.

Chair Bulger commented that it is a wonderful extension of what The Foundation does, and he appreciates the program being within Pennsylvania for physicians and other clinicians. He mentioned that the Board used the program on a number of occasions, and he has used it in his personal life for

```
1
   colleagues and people within their organization.]
2
3
   Report of Board Counsel - Other - FYI
4
   [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, notified
5
   Board members of an appeal for Dr. Mahmoud Ghaderi,
6
   which has been appealed to the Pennsylvania
7
   Commonwealth Court. She noted she would keep the
   Board updated on the status of that appeal.]
9
10
   Report of Board Counsel - Other - Sunshine Act and
     Recusal Guidelines
11
12
   [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed
13
   Board members that the purpose of the Sunshine Act is
14
   the right of public to be present at all meetings of
15
   the agency and witness deliberations, policy
16
   formulation, and decision-making. She stated the
   basics of the Sunshine Act is anytime an agency holds
17
18
   a meeting in which there are deliberations or any
19
   official action taken that the meeting must be open
20
   to the public, along with public notice.
21
        Ms. Wucinski noted that an agency includes all of
22
   the boards and committees, and deliberations mean the
23
   discussion of agency business held for the purpose of
24
   decidina.
              She also noted official action is agency
25
   recommendations made pursuant to a statute,
```

ordinance, or executive order; establishment of

policy decisions made by an agency concerning agency

business; and a vote taken by an agency on motions,

proposals, resolutions, rules, regulations,

ordinances, reports, and orders.

- Ms. Wucinski stated an agency has to give public notice of its first regular meeting of each calendar year, not less than three days in advance of the meeting, and has to provide the public notice of the schedule of remaining meetings. She also noted an agency has to give public notice of each special meeting or each rescheduled meeting at least 24 hours in advance. She mentioned that public notice is not required in the case of an emergency meeting or a conference.
- Ms. Wucinski informed Board members that Act 65 of 2021 recently added additional public notice requirements, including the posting of the agenda 24 hours in advance of a meeting, posting the agenda at the location of the meeting and at the principal office of the agency, and making the agenda available to individuals in attendance at the meeting.
- Ms. Wucinski stated the agenda has to include the listing of each matter of the agency business that it will or may be the subject of deliberations or

voting. She noted recording of the voting is
essential and the reason for the switch to roll call
votes. She also noted that minutes are required for
all open meetings.

Ms. Wucinski stated the only applicable exceptions to open meetings are conferences and executive sessions. She explained that an executive session may be held to discuss personnel issues, consult with an attorney, and review and discuss agency business which, if conducted in public, would violate a lawful privilege or lead to disclosure of confidential information.

Ms. Wucinski further explained that executive sessions can be held before, during, or after an open meeting or may be announced for a future time. She noted the reason for holding an executive session must be announced at the opening of the meeting immediately prior or subsequent to the executive session. She stated official action on matters discussed at executive session shall be taken at the open meeting. She mentioned that legal challenges to Sunshine Act violations must be made within 30 days from the date of the meeting, and no action may commence more than a year from the date of the meeting. She addressed penalties for violating the

Sunshine Act criminal sanctions.

Ms. Wucinski noted the takeaway of the Sunshine Act is that Board business, including deliberations and official action, should be conducted at open meetings, and Board members may not discuss agency business, especially executive session matters, outside of a Board meeting.

Ms. Wucinski stated deliberations of a committee with a role in formulating policy or regulations must take place in an open meeting with appropriate public notice, but a committee performing an administrative function, like reviewing applications or performing prosecutorial functions such as probable cause screening committees, are not subject to open meeting requirements.

Ms. Wucinski informed Board members that it is mandatory to recuse if the Board member had a prosecutorial role in a matter or has a direct personal or financial interest in the outcome of the matter.

Ms. Wucinski explained that it is strongly suggested to recuse if a Board member has a personal affection for someone directly in the subject at issue or has knowledge from outside and cannot make a fair judgment or unbiased judgment.

Ms. Wucinski noted that a discretionary recusal is when a Board member cannot hear or dispose of the case or participate in a decision on a subject fairly without prejudice. She recommended Board members reach out to her privately in advance of a meeting if they have any questions.

Ms. Wucinski addressed abstention versus recusal, noting an abstention is to withhold their vote but does not affect the quorum. She noted recusal is when a Board member cannot be unbiased and is unable to vote, which can affect the quorum.

Ms. Wucinski discussed conflicts of interest, where no member of any professional examining and licensing board shall at the same time be an officer or agent of any statewide association or organization representing the profession or occupation subject to the board's actions. She advised anyone with any questions about their participation in an organization or association to reach out to her or Acting Commissioner Claggett.

Ms. Wucinski also noted that public Board members cannot be a member of any professional occupation licensed or regulated by the Board, cannot be related or be a part of an immediate family of any member of the profession or occupation, cannot be affiliated in

2.3

```
any way with the profession or occupation to be
1
2
   licensed or regulated, or hold any other appointed or
3
   elected public office or position within this
4
   Commonwealth. She noted that any person not meeting
5
   the standards set forth is ineligible for membership
   on the board or commission.
6
                              * * *
7
8
   [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the
   Board entered into Executive Session this morning to
10
   discuss agenda items for vote by the Board and to
   receive the advice of counsel.
11
12
13
   Report of Board Counsel - Other - Petition for
14
     Exception to COMLEX-USA Attempt Limit Policy
15
   MS. WUCINSKI:
16
                  Agenda item 10. I believe the Board
                  would entertain a motion to grant the
17
18
                  request of Cassian Roberts to give him
19
                  an Exception to the COMLEX-USA Attempt
20
                  Limit Policy to allow him another
21
                  attempt at the COMLEX Level 1.
22
   MS. GREY:
23
                  So moved.
24
   DR. ZAWISZA:
25
                  Second.
```

2.4

1 CHAIRMAN BULGER: 2 It's moved and seconded. Anv 3 discussion? Hearing none. Priscilla, 4 can you call the vote? 5 6 Mr. Claggett, aye; Dr. Bulger, aye; Ms. 7 Snyder, aye; Ms. Grey, aye; Dr. 8 Dardarian, aye; Dr. Zawisza, aye; Dr. 9 Oline, aye; Dr. Litman, aye; Ms. Reddy, 10 aye. 11 [The motion carried unanimously.] 12 13 Report of Board Chair [John B. Bulger, D.O., MBA, Chairman, announced that 14 15 this was Ms. Grey's last meeting and thanked her for 16 her service to the Board. 17 Ms. Grey thanked Board members for welcoming her 18 and giving her the opportunity to serve.] * * * 19 20 Report of Vice Chair - No Report * * * 21 22 [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of 23 Professional and Occupational Affairs, informed Board 24 members that he received feedback regarding the

Department of Health's Survey attached to their

```
2.5
1
   renewals.
             He mentioned working with the Department
2
   of Health over the past year to reduce the number of
3
   questions to about 15 to 17.]
 4
5
   Report of Department of Health - No Report
6
7
   Applications for Licensure
8
   MS. WUCINSKI:
9
                  Agenda item 11. I believe the Board
10
                  would entertain a motion to recommend
                  that M.H. enroll in the Voluntary
11
12
                  Recovery Program.
13
   MS. GREY:
14
                  So moved.
15
   DR. ZAWISZA:
16
                  Second.
   CHAIRMAN BULGER:
17
18
                  Motion and seconded. Any discussion on
19
                  this? Hearing none. Priscilla, please
20
                  call the roll.
21
22
                  Mr. Claggett, aye; Dr. Bulger, aye; Ms.
23
                  Snyder, aye; Ms. Grey, aye; Dr.
24
                  Dardarian, aye; Dr. Zawisza, aye; Dr.
25
                  Oline, aye; Dr. Litman, aye; Ms. Reddy,
```

aye.

1

2 [The motion carried unanimously.]

3 ***

4 Report of Board Administrator - No Report

5 | [Priscilla Turek, Board Administrator, also thanked

6 Ms. Grey for her service.]

7

8 | For the Board's Information/Discussion - Board

9 Meeting Dates

10 | [John B. Bulger, D.O., MBA, noted the 2024 and 2025

11 | Board meeting dates.]

12 ***

13 | For the Board's Information/Discussion - Old/New

14 | Business - Annual FSMB Meeting

15 | [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed

16 the addition to the agenda regarding the Federation

17 of State Medical Boards Annual Meeting, April 18-20,

18 in Nashville, TN.]

19 MS. WUCINSKI:

20 I believe the Board would entertain a

21 motion to send two Board members to the

22 Federation of State Medical Boards

Annual Meeting, April 18-20, in

Nashville.

25 MS. GREY:

27 1 So moved. 2 DR. ZAWISZA: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN BULGER: 5 It's moved and seconded. Any 6 discussion? Hearing none. Priscilla, 7 please call the roll. 8 9 Mr. Claggett, aye; Dr. Bulger, aye; Ms. 10 Snyder, aye; Ms. Grey, aye; Dr. 11 Dardarian, aye; Dr. Zawisza, aye; Dr. 12 Oline, aye; Dr. Litman, aye; Ms. Reddy, 13 aye. 14 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 15 Typically, we send two Board members 16 and Board Counsel, so we can revote and 17 add that all together to say two Board 18 members and Board Counsel. 19 CHAIRMAN BULGER: 20 I'd like to amend the motion. Do I 21 have a second? 22 DR. ZAWISZA: 23 Second. 24 CHAIRMAN BULGER: 25 It's moved and seconded.

2.8

discussion? Hearing none. Priscilla,
please call the roll.

3

4

5

6

Mr. Claggett, aye; Dr. Bulger, aye; Ms.
Snyder, aye; Ms. Grey, aye; Dr.
Dardarian, aye; Dr. Zawisza, aye; Dr.
Oline, aye; Dr. Litman, aye; Ms. Reddy,

7

8 aye.
9 [The motion carried unanimously.]

10

* * *

11 For the Board's Information/Discussion - Old/New

12 Business

13 [Bette A. Grey, BA, RRT, CPFT, Secretary, mentioned 14 that she is on the Probable Cause Screening Committee

and asked whether someone should be named to replace

16 her.

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ms. Wucinski suggested placing the matter on the agenda for discussion at the next meeting.

Nichole Wray, Division Chief of the State Boards of Medicine, Osteopathic Medicine, and Podiatry, addressed pending changes regarding graduate training and examinations within the regulations. She noted there is a notice on the Department of State's website that addresses what the Board is currently

25 doing in the meantime. She reported recently making

some internal changes with staff and how they evaluate the applications to verify the graduate training.

Ms. Wray encouraged applicants who received a discrepancy in the past that may be in error to upload a statement to their application verifying the graduate training they completed. She mentioned that their evaluators would be happy review that again based on the internal changes.

Chair Bulger asked whether changes were made to the online application, so the syntax lined up with the current changes.

Ms. Wray explained that discussions are taking place, but it takes longer to do and part of that does also dictate the actual regulations itself being what they are currently. She mentioned that they are in the works, but no changes have been made at this time. She noted that internal evaluations are currently taking place.

Chair Bulger commented that the website is currently not consistent with the notice and mentioned the importance of making sure all line up.

Ms. Wucinski pointed out that she and Ms. Wray discussed the internal review process for graduate training programs that staff will be utilizing when

reviewing applications. She noted it to now be consistent with the notice. If an individual has completed an approved internship that is American Osteopathic Association (AOA) approved or completed an Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) - accredited program that received ACGME osteopathic recognition or completed a residency that is ACGME approved at any level or AOA

approved, the application would be approved.

Ms. Wucinski explained that she would be reviewing any application that does not meet those criteria, and she would investigate the training program further to see if it meets one of the other requirements for licensure, like has 24 weeks of rotation in all the different areas or if it is a training program approved by a hospital accredited by the Joint Commission.

Ms. Wucinski noted that in those scenarios, there may be a need to request additional information in the form of a discrepancy to consider the other requirements.

Chair Bulger did not have any issue with discrepancy but expressed a concern with applicants making requests that are contrary or confusing.

Acting Commissioner Claggett informed Chair

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Osteopathic Medicine meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Osteopathic Medicine meeting.

Sophia Mahoney,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

		33	}
1 2 3	ST.	ATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE REFERENCE INDEX	
4		February 14, 2024	
5 6 7	TIME	AGENDA	
8	9:30 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
10	10:30	Official Call to Order	
12	10:30	Introduction of Board Members/Attendees	
14 15	???	Amendment to the Agenda	
16 17	10:33	Approval of Minutes	
18 19 20 21 22	10:34	Appointment - Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel, Annual Prosecution Division Report	
23	10:45	Report of Prosecuting Attorneys	
24 25 26	10:50	Report of Board Counsel	
26 27 28 29 30 31	10:52	Appointment - Tracey Ziegler, RN, BSN, Program Director, LifeGuard, The Foundation of the Pennsylvania Medical Society	
32	11:12	Report of Board Counsel (cont.)	
33	11:23	Report of Board Chair	
35 36 37	11:23	Report of Acting Commissioner	
38	11:24	Applications for Licensure	
39	11:26	For the Board's Information/Discussion	
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	11:35	Adjournment	