State Board of Pharmacy June 18, 2024

3 4 5

1 2

BOARD MEMBERS:

6 7 9

10 11

12 13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

31 32 33

34 35

36 37

38 39 40

41 42 43

44 45

46 47

48 49 50

Christine Roussel, Pharm.D., BCOP, BCSCP, Chairperson Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Eric Esterbrook, R.Ph., Vice Chairperson Janet Getzey Hart, R.Ph., Secretary John R. Slagle, R.Ph.

Tyler Ritchie, Esquire, Deputy Attorney General, Office of Attorney General Theresa M. Talbott, R.Ph.

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel Ashley Keefer, Esquire, Board Counsel Ray J. Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Caroline A. Bailey, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Ashley P. Murphy, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Christina Townley, Interim Board Administrator Marc Farrell, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, Department of State Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

Steven Zahn, Pharmacy Inspector, Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation, Department of State James Reed, Pharmacy Regional Manager, Weis Markets Geoffrey Christ, Senior Pharmacy Compliance Manager, Chewy Pharmacy Joshua Finger, Pharm.D., Enclara Pharmacia

Nicole Sidle, Republican Executive Director, House Professional Licensure Committee Jennifer Smeltz, Republican Executive Director,

Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Joseph Millward, Pharmacy Quality and Accreditation, PANTHERx Rare Pharmacy

$\frac{\texttt{State Board of Pharmacy}}{\texttt{June 18, 2024}}$

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Charlotte Harris, Pharmacy Intern, Duquesne University Misha Patel, M.D., Curriculum Education Assistant, Geisinger Commonwealth School of Medicine Vinal Patel Rhonda Thomas, PharmD, MBA, BSPS, BCSCP, Director of

Pharmacy, Lehigh Valley Health Network
Sarah Everingham, MJ, CCEP, CPhT, Cardinal Health
Tiffany Booher, MA, LPC, CAADC, CIP, CCSM, Director,
Peer Assistance Monitoring Programs; Program
Director, Physicians' Health Program, Pennsylvania
Medical Society

Larry Jones, Pennsylvania Society of Health-System Pharmacists Member

Jill Rebuck, Executive Director, Pennsylvania Society of Health-System Pharmacists

Allexa Buckingham, PGY-1 Pharmacy Resident, Lehigh Valley Health Network

Niraj Brahmbhatt, District Leader CVS Health Frank Konzelman Jr., PharmD, BCPS, Director of Pharmacy Services, Pennsylvania Pharmacists Association

Grace Fisher, Regional Pharmacy Manager, Giant Food Stores, LLC

30 | Paul Green

Jacquelyn Sassaman, Pentec Health

Jessica Adams, Pharm.D., BCPS, AAHIVE, Scientific Director, Infectious Diseases at Clinical Care Options

Joseph DuPrey, MS, RPh, Pennsylvania Society of Health-System Pharmacists

Sandra Leal, Pharm.D., MPH, FAPhA, Vice President of Pharmacy Practice Innovation and Advocacy, CVS Health Grant McClernon, Associate, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

Ultan McGlone, Pharmacist Clinician/ Clinical

Pharmacy Specialist
Katherine Medei, Area Healthcare Supervisor NJ/PA,
Walgreens

Meghan Dixon, PharmD, RPh, Clinical Pharmacy Specialist, The GIANT Company

Laura Romeo, Pharmacist-in-Charge at ConnectiveRx, Careform Pharmacy

Grace Sesi, Executive Director, Regulatory Affairs at CVS Health/Chairperson, Michigan Board of Pharmacy

State Board of Pharmacy June 18, 2024

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Cory Ulisse, PharmD, Pharmacy Clinician Services
Victoria Elliott, RPh, MBA, CAE, Chief Executive
Officer, Pennsylvania Pharmacists Association
Anneliese Harp, Pennsylvania Pharmacists Association
Advanced Pharmacy Practice Experience Student
Kimberly A. Burns, RPh, JD, Lake Erie College of
Osteopathic Medicine School of Pharmacy
Jessica Adams
Emily Cowfer, Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1

2 State Board of Pharmacy

June 18, 2024

* * *

5 | [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act,

6 at 9:00 a.m., the Board entered into Executive

7 | Session with Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel,

8 | for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial

9 deliberations and to receive the advice of Board

10 Counsel. The Board returned to open session at

11 10:30 a.m.]

3

4

12

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

14 Board of Pharmacy was held on Tuesday, June 18, 2024.

15 Eric Esterbrook, R.Ph., Vice Chairperson, called the

16 | meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

17 Vice Chair Esterbrook informed everyone that

18 Chair Roussel is virtual, and he will be chairing the

19 meeting in person.]

20 ***

21 [Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed

22 everyone that the meeting was being recorded, and

23 those who continued to participate were giving their

24 | consent to be recorded.

25 Mr. Barrett also noted the Board entered into

6 Executive Session for the purpose of conducting 1 2 quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters 3 that are currently pending before the Board and to 4 receive the advice of counsel.] 5 Introduction of the Board Members 6 7 [Vice Chair Esterbrook requested an introduction of Board members. A quorum was present.] * * * 9 10 Introduction of Attendees 11 [Christina Townley, Interim Board Administrator, 12 provided an introduction of attendees.] 13 14 Approval of Minutes 15 VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK: 16 We have the minutes from April. 17 have any additions or discussion on the 18 minutes? If not, I would entertain a 19 motion. 20 MR. RITCHIE: 21 I move to approve the minutes from April. 22 MS. GETZEY HART: 23 Second. 24 VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK: Call for a vote. 25

1 2 Slagle, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye; 3 Talbott, aye; Claggett, aye; Roussel, 4 aye; Esterbrook, aye. 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 7 Report of Board Prosecution [Ashley P. Murphy, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 23-54-10 015327.1 11 12 [Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecution 13 Liaison, presented the Consent Agreements for Case 14 No. 21-54-002074, Case No. 23-54-004851, and Case No. 15 24-54-005781.1 MR. BARRETT: 16 Based on the presentation from 17 18 prosecution, does any member wish to go back into Executive Session for further 19 20 deliberations? Hearing none. 21 Based on Executive Session 22 deliberations and the report of Board 23 prosecution, I believe the Vice Chair 24 would entertain a motion to adopt the 25 Consent Agreements at agenda item 2, Case

Q

```
No. 23-54-015327; agenda item 3, Case No.
1
2
                 21-54-002074; agenda item 4, Case No. 23-
3
                 54-004851; and agenda item 5, Case No.
                 24-54-005781.
 4
5
   VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK:
6
                 We'll entertain a motion?
7
   MR. RITCHIE:
8
                 So moved.
9
   MS. GETZEY HART:
10
                 Second.
   VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK:
11
12
                 Call for a vote.
13
14
                 Slagle, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye;
15
                 Talbott, aye; Claggett, aye; Roussel,
16
                 aye; Esterbrook, aye.
17
   [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
18
   name at item 2 is PharmCo, LLC; item 3, Marcin Sala;
19
   item 4, Gregory Holmes, R.Ph.; and item 5, Keith R
20
   Geist, R.Ph.]
                              * * *
21
22
   Report of Board Counsel - Legislative Report
23
   [Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted Ms.
24
   O'Malley provided the Legislative Report on the
25
   OneDrive.
```

Mr. Barrett informed Board members that House Bill 1661 regarding the appropriate use of xylazine was signed into law as Act 17 of 2024 on May 15, 2024, and is currently in effect.

Mr. Barrett noted Senate Bill 1000 is in the Health and Human Services Committee and is on first consideration as of June 4, 2024.

Mr. Barrett also noted House Bill 2363, which amends the Cancer Drug Repository Program to include other prescription drugs, was introduced on June 3, 2024.

Ms. Talbott expressed a concern with not knowing how it was stored and how the public is protected when accepting drugs.

Larry Jones, Member, Pennsylvania Society of
Health-System Pharmacists, mentioned making a comment
to Ms. O'Malley regarding storage not being
mentioned, along with informing her that certain
medications have to be refrigerated and some stored
at room temperature. He referred to the pedigree
requirements from the point of obtaining the
medication through the storage and inventory and then
the dispensing.

Mr. Barrett offered to review Ms. O'Malley's notes to see if she was working on a legislative

1 report concerning the comments.

Ms. Townley noted there are currently 20 pharmacies in the repository program.

Mr. Jones commented that there is also the concept of year-end accountability, because it includes physician practices, which are not part of the Pharmacy Act. He also mentioned there is a handling fee.

Mr. Michalowski stated it was reviewed with Ms.

O'Malley and Ms. Montgomery, and they examined the states that do have expanded versions, noting their limitations, where the products cannot be temperature-sensitive. He noted the noncancer drugs to be a narrow field. He reported some organizations run it through theirs for a few different states with most likely a cost.

Mr. Jones mentioned that the House bill talks about a pharmacy program and referred to a line that says physician practice involvement, which is not regulated or controlled. He commented that he does not know why they are included in the Pharmacy Act section. He mentioned that he would never donate to a physician practice because of the lack of accountability.

Mr. Barrett informed Board members that he would

11 1 research the House bills and inform them of any 2 movement. 1 3 4 Report of Board Counsel - Matters for Deliberation 5 MR. BARRETT: For the record, I'll note on number 9, 6 7 Board member Talbott did recuse and was 8 not part of any deliberations for that 9 matter. 10 For number 8, Vinh D. Pham, Case No. 24-54-001689, I believe the Board would 11 12 entertain a motion to delegate that 13 matter to the hearing examiner for a 14 hearing and to issue a Proposed 15 Adjudication and Order. 16 VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK: Do we have a motion? 17 18 MR. RITCHIE: So moved. 19 20 MS. GETZEY HART: 21 Second. 22 VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK: 23 Call for a vote. 24 25 Slagle, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye;

12 1 Talbott, aye; Claggett, aye; Roussel, 2 aye; Esterbrook, aye. 3 [The motion carried unanimously.] 4 5 MR. BARRETT: Number 9. Board member Talbott is 6 7 recused for the consideration of this. Based on Executive Session 8 9 deliberations, I believe the Vice Chair 10 would entertain a motion to delegate this 11 matter to a hearing examiner for a 12 hearing and to issue a Proposed 13 Adjudication and Order. 14 VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK: 15 Do we have a motion? MR. RITCHIE: 16 17 So moved. 18 MS. GETZEY HART: Second. 19 20 VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK: 21 Call for a vote. 22 23 Slagle, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye; Talbott, recuse; Claggett, aye; Roussel, 24 25 aye; Esterbrook, aye.

```
13
   [The motion carried.
                           Theresa Talbott recused herself
1
2
   from deliberations and voting on the motion.]
3
                              * * *
4
   MR. BARRETT:
5
                 Number 10, United Pharmacy Upper Darby,
 6
                 Inc., and Kayode C. Jacobs, R.Ph., Case
7
                 Nos. 24-54-005912 & 24-54-005971.
                     Based on Executive Session
8
9
                 deliberations, I believe the Vice Chair
10
                 would entertain a motion to delegate
11
                 these matters to a hearing examiner for a
12
                 hearing and a Proposed Adjudication and
13
                 Order.
14
   VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK:
15
                 Do we have a motion?
   MR. RITCHIE:
16
17
                 So moved.
18
   MS. GETZEY HART:
                 Second.
19
20
   VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK:
21
                 Call for a vote.
22
23
                 Slagle, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye;
24
                 Talbott, aye; Claggett, aye; Roussel,
25
                 aye; Esterbrook, aye.
```

14 [The motion carried unanimously.] 1 2 3 Report of Board Counsel - Draft Adjudication and 4 Order MR. BARRETT: 5 6 Number 11 is a Draft Adjudication and 7 Order. Based upon Executive Session 8 9 deliberations, I believe the Board Vice 10 Chair would entertain a motion to adopt the Final Adjudication and Order at 11 12 number 11, Thomas Fortunato, Case No. 23-13 54-011152. 14 VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK: 15 Do we have a motion? MR. RITCHIE: 16 So moved. 17 18 MS. GETZEY HART: 19 Second. 20 VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK: 21 Call for a vote. 22 23 Slagle, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye; 24 Talbott, aye; Claggett, aye; Roussel, 25 aye; Esterbrook, aye.

1 [The motion carried unanimously.]

2

23

3 Report of Board Counsel - Miscellaneous

4 [Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed

5 partial filling of Schedule II controlled substances.

6 He mentioned that there seemed to be a lack of

7 understanding as to the requirement for the timeline

8 for refilling of partial fillings for Schedule II

9 | controlled substances. He mentioned that some

10 pharmacists believe they have to be filled within 72

11 hours after the date of prescription.

12 Mr. Barrett noted the Controlled Substances Act

13 (CSA) was implemented in 2016, where remaining

14 portions must be filled no later than 30 days after

15 the date of the prescription. He also noted there is

16 an exception in an emergency oral prescription, where

17 the remainder must be filled no later than 72 hours

18 after the date of the prescription.

19 Ms. Talbott offered to include that in the

20 newsletter and asked Ms. Elliott to also remind

21 people. She noted the newsletter will be distributed

22 through an email blast when it is ready.]

* 5

24 Report of Board Chairperson

25 [Christine Roussel, Pharm.D., BCOP, BCSCP,

Chairperson, addressed her attendance, along with

Vice Chair Esterbrook, at the National Association of

Boards of Pharmacy (NABP) Annual Meeting. She noted

NABP approved a resolution focusing on drug shortages

and pushing further collaboration between the

industry and federal agencies, pharmacy associates,

and pharmacy benefit managers (PBMs) to make sure they have strategies to address these with their patients.

Chair Roussel stated there was also a resolution about expanding NABP's Competency Assessment Exams, where a task force was convened between NABP and state boards of pharmacy to evaluate topics not limited to moving from a Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Exam to a Uniform Pharmacy Jurisprudence Exam, as well as making recommendations around students being able to take these exams prior to graduation.

Chair Roussel highlighted that just because there are resolutions on an NABP level does not mean that it changes anything on a state level but gives an idea of where the thoughts are going.

Chair Roussel noted NABP approved another resolution for a recommendation to develop a National Forum for Pharmacy Professional Recovery Programs,

where there can be more uniform plans even though they have recovery programs in their state. She reported Pennsylvania to be advanced compared to other states in terms of what they do for their voluntary recovery program. She mentioned being proud of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for having such a good foresight and longstanding recognition of that as a problem.

Chair Roussel acknowledged the honoring of
Kimberly Burns who received the 2024 Henry Cade
Memorial Award for her dedication and extensive
involvement in support of NABP law examinations with
a focus on Foreign Pharmacy Graduate Examinations
Committee and development of the Uniform Pharmacy
Jurisprudence Exam. She stated Dr. Burns is a
professor at Lake Erie College of Osteopathic
Medicine School of Pharmacy and an associate
professor at University of Pittsburgh School of
Pharmacy, along with being an adjunct at other
colleges of pharmacy.

Chair Roussel commented that they are very lucky to have Dr. Burns in their state considering all her commitment to putting together the Foreign Pharmacy Graduate Equivalency Examination (FPGEE) and other competency assessments.

Chair Roussel also noted NABP had a keynote speaker who focused on how they handle mental health in healthcare workers and prevent burnout. She mentioned that one of the speakers was from the Dr. Lorna Breen Heroes' Foundation and was adamant that they do not ask questions about mental health when professionals apply for licensure.

Chair Roussel reported that many healthcare professionals in the past have had concerns about seeking mental health care for themselves in fear that it might affect their ability to become relicensed and noted Pennsylvania does not ask those questions. She mentioned that Pennsylvania already complies and does not need any changes to their practice with regards to respecting the mental health privacy of licensees.

Chair Roussel commented that NABP shows the places to go but also validates some of their good work.

Chair Roussel stated there was also a big focus on United States Pharmacopeia (USP) and current USP regulations, as well as some other hot topics from the Drug Supply Chain Security Act (DSCSA) to some of other things addressed. She mentioned that the former Chair of USP, who is currently on the USP

```
19
   Committee, that includes compounding USP Chapters 797
1
2
   and 795, has offered to provide free education and
3
   training to their pharmacy inspectors. She extended
4
   the offer to Mr. Michalowski on Patricia Keeley's
5
   behalf, noting she did train their inspectors several
6
   years back.]
7
                              * * *
8
   Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report
9
10
   Report of Board Administrator - No Report
                              * * *
11
12
   Report of Board Members - No Report
13
                              * * *
14
   Review of Applications
15
   MR. BARRETT:
16
                 Based on Executive Session deliberations,
17
                 I believe the Board Vice Chair would
18
                 entertain a motion to provisionally deny
19
                 the Application of New Vitalis Pharmacy -
20
                 Nonresident Pharmacy at agenda item 13.
21
   VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK:
22
                 Do we have a motion?
23
   MR. RITCHIE:
24
                 So moved.
25
   MS. GETZEY HART:
```

Second. 1 2 VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK: 3 Call for a vote. 4 5 Slagle, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye; 6 Talbott, aye; Claggett, aye; Roussel, 7 aye; Esterbrook, aye. 8 [The motion carried unanimously.] 9 10 For the Board's Information - 2023 Annual Report 11 [Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to 12 the 2023 Annual Report for the Board's review.] 13 14 Discussion 15 [Christine Roussel, Pharm.D., BCOP, BCSCP, Chairperson, addressed the 2024 Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Examination (MPJE) state-specific review. She explained that the Board of Pharmacy

16

17

18

19 members were asked to review the law questions.

20 noted about 3000 questions are equally divide among

21 the Board members who are pharmacists for the benefit

22 of the students to make sure they are accurate and

23 thoughtful and reflect their regulations.]

24

For the Board's Information - APC Memo on Stability 25

```
1
     Study Delays
2
   [Christine Roussel, Pharm.D., BCOP, BCSCP,
3
   Chairperson, addressed the Alliance for Pharmacy
4
   Compounding (APC) memorandum on stability study
5
   delays. She stated it was an association wanting to
6
   inform the Board of delays in stability indicating
7
   assays being performed by external labs.]
8
9
   Old Business - Newsletter Articles
10
   [Christine Roussel, Pharm.D., BCOP, BCSCP,
11
   Chairperson, informed Board members that she has been
12
   working on the newsletter with Ms. Talbott and Ms.
13
   Townley. She asked that anyone with recommendations
   for additional topics for the newsletter to send them
14
15
   an email.]
16
17
   Report of Board Counsel - Regulatory Report
18
   [Marc Farrell, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel, Office of
19
   Chief Counsel, Department of State, informed Board
20
   members that comments were received from the public
21
   and the Independent Regulatory Review Commission
22
   (IRRC) regarding the pharmacy technician regulations.
23
   He noted one of the comments stated the Board is
24
   overregulating pharmacy technicians. He noted the
25
   Board is following the instructions the legislature
```

provided.

Mr. Farrell addressed another comment and referred to § 27.705, noting the grandfathering provision has a two-year time frame for when somebody must have worked one of those two years to qualify for grandfathering. He stated the goal of the legislation and the Board's philosophy is to do this with as little disruption to people's employment as possible.

Mr. Farrell noted that they cannot really change that date range, where there still may be people who can take advantage of that who did work one of those two years. He explained that the regulations do not kick in until one year from their final publication. He provided a scenario that starting February 2, 2025, for the next year until February 2, 2026, the people who are currently pharmacy technicians could continue to work and hopefully apply for registration.

Mr. Farrell also noted pharmacy technicians do not even have to apply for registration administration until February 2026, which gives them a year to take whatever Board-approved training they may need and apply to get registered. He mentioned that someone who cannot meet that could apply to be a

pharmacy technician training, which is a temporary license good for two years, and could also be filed in that one year.

Ms. Talbott agreed that the Board cannot change, noted the big time period, and mentioned that the pharmacy manager has the onus to show that they have been trained, where something has to be onsite and is how they get a license. She noted comments as to whether it could be either/or as far as why they need a verification from the employee and the employer and a W2 or 1099.

Ms. Talbott suggested changing language of the application to someone may qualify for registration as a pharmacy tech through grandfathering if the applicant practiced for at least one year and provides proof acceptable to the Board, which may include one of the following.

Victoria Elliott, RPh, MBA, CAE, Chief Executive Officer, Pennsylvania Pharmacists Association, commented that the law was based on the fact the regulations would be done by now. She mentioned that she wanted to get some feedback from members and referred to the scenario that was described, where February they say it is done, start the application process and get it done or the trainee option. She

believed that would require two forms of payment on the individual, which would be another burden.

Ms. Talbott explained that it is half and half if they are training, where it is half of the final and no more money.

Ms. Elliott agreed with changing it to either/or because IRRC already asked why both are needed.

Mr. Jones commented that it does talk about nationally certified or trained by the Pharmacy Technician Certification Board (PTCB) or the other group. He asked whether that could be added as a third entity.

Ms. Talbott explained that they could not because it is only if they are grandfathered. She explained that someone could have been certified but not working and would have to apply for a tech and show national certification, where they would jump to the head of the line and get their certification.

Ms. Talbott explained it is only if they were not nationally certified, where someone has a tech who is not nationally certified but have been working for 10 years, including that time period, where someone could validate that they worked that time period.

Mr. Jones suggested putting the information in PPA and PSHP's newsletter to get the word out. He

commented on being in another two-year window, which is what the grandfathering specified, so it might be helpful to advertise to get training completed soon not done or were not eligible for the grandfathering piece.

Mr. Farrell addressed another comment and referred to § 27.11 pharmacy permit and pharmacist manager, where the licensee must notify the Board of termination. He noted the person suggested instead of having the pharmacist manager responsible that it be someone the pharmacy delegates.

Mr. Michalowski stated they can always delegate it but are not held responsible, just like when the techs do something wrong, the pharmacist is the one that is responsible.

Mr. Farrell noted a comment asking the Board remove examples of the specific activities that a pharmacy tech can perform. He noted pharmacy techs have been in there awhile and did not see the need for additional changes.

Ms. Talbott mentioned that the activities are broad, and it does not say that is all they can do nor does it say if they have somebody maintaining records that they would have to be registered as a technician.

Mr. Farrell noted a comment, where the pharmacy should not have to train people in any skills beyond what that specific pharmacy does itself if a pharmacy is training someone.

Ms. Talbott commented that a pharmacy that does not do sterile compounding may just say compounding exists and explain what it is, but the individual will be trained by someone else in a pharmacy that does sterile compounding.

Mr. Farrell mentioned that several people raised questions concerning the renewal fee in § 27.91 as to whether it could be any lower than the current \$70 that it set out for a pharmacy tech to renew their registration every two years. He stated they were able to keep the application fee as low as they could but do not have room to come down any further on the renewal fee at this time.

Mr. Farrell again referred this scenario and stated they get registered between February 2, 2025 and February 2, 2026, noting the renewal would be due in odd numbered years, so the earliest cycle of renewals for these licensees would be 2027. He also mentioned that the Bureau of Finance and Operations will conduct multiple reviews of the Board's entire budget and fee schedule between now and then, where

it is possible they could see a pharmacist's renewal go up and that will bring others in line.

Mr. Farrell stated the fee would not be paid by anybody for at least two and a half to three years and expects things to change between now and then. He noted several comments pointing out that they are not doing continuing education, so there is no work that needs to be done around renewal time.

Mr. Farrell noted renewals cover the costs of review, but the renewal fee also helps fund the Board's overall operations not covered by other fees and costs. He mentioned that it may appear that there is "nothing to do" as far as work is concerned because they do not have CE requirements there, noting the money is basically a catch-all.

Mr. Michalowski commented that enforcement costs from their side of the house would vastly increase when pharmacy techs come on, which is a cost to the Board that has to be refilled every two years.

Mr. Farrell addressed several comments regarding training program approval, noting concerns with programs accredited by the U.S. Department of Education and the State Board of Private Licensed schools as to whether the Board would be able to ensure they meet the Board's standards.

Ms. Talbott noted the Department of Education stated their programs work off PTCB or the American Society for Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) syllabus and their requirements.

Mr. Farrell stated the Board will be reviewing all the transcripts that are submitted with the applications from the programs and have the right to deny the application if they do not meet the standards.

Ms. Talbott commented that they have an issue if an inspector pulls somebody from a vocational-technical institute and says their people do not know anything.

Mr. Jones commented that the Department of Education as a state agency does not follow Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE) guidelines or requirements. He noted the college is certified but individual programs are not and do not necessarily follow PTCB or ASHPs because there no requirement for them to do that.

Mr. Jones mentioned that when the Board finds out a pharm tech cannot do the job, there are already several hundred technicians trained through that program or paid through the process. He noted the importance of knowing who upfront is authorizing the

1 private schools and programs because there is nobody 2 right now.

Mr. Jones mentioned that a community college can start a program, noting there are 56 of them online. He stated there are no tech training programs in the state of Pennsylvania, live or virtual in existence. He further explained that there is a curriculum online and is attendee number applicant-dependent whether they run the program or not.

Mr. Jones also mentioned that there is no requirement to take a test with their regulations, so there is no competency at the end of whatever the training is, where a pharmacist signs it and it is done. He noted the best part of that training program is many of the training programs are done by techs but asked where the pharmacist's signature is on those programs, noting there to be a fine gray.

Chair Roussel commented that they should focus on what is written on the page and what they can change within their scope.

Mr. Farrell addressed § 27.702.

Mr. Jones referred to § 27.702(6), a school licensed by the State Board of Private Licensed Schools.

25 Mr. Farrell referred to paragraph (3), a

1 nationally recognized pharmacy technician training
2 program, where IRRC suggested deleting, "including
3 but not limited to."

Mr. Farrell referred to (b)(2) and a comment as to why the regulation does not include allowing a tech to obtain registration if they completed an ASHP- or ACPE-accredited program in another state but noted it would be included.

Mr. Jones believed the comment was related to grandfathering and going forward, which did not require a nationally certified tech who went through an approved program and passed go through tech training in Pennsylvania because they moved to Pennsylvania.

Ms. Talbott commented that someone who is nationally certified is covered under (3) even if they are not grandfathered in because they have the national certification with their initial licensure. She mentioned it is just a different piece of paper and are applying for their registration.

Ms. Talbott referred to a question asking how long the registration process would take and how soon the individual could be employed post 2027.

Mr. Farrell explained that it is just like any other license application, where a person cannot work

- 1 until the individual receives their license, and
- 2 | whether the individual is applying for a tech
- 3 registration or a tech trainee is up to them. He
- 4 | mentioned that the trainee may be an easier process,
- 5 but the trainee will still have to wait until their
- 6 application is reviewed, just like a medical doctor
- 7 or accountant.
- 8 Ms. Talbott noted a question requesting
- 9 clarification of grandfathering as far as when that
- 10 kicks in and who it benefits.
- 11 Mr. Farrell explained that it benefits
- 12 individuals working between 2019 and 2021 for at
- 13 | least one of those two years, which would get them
- 14 past the training piece.
- 15 Ms. Talbott further explained that the individual
- 16 | would need either a letter from their employer or
- 17 their W2.
- 18 Mr. Farrell also mentioned that the
- 19 grandfathering provision officially dies one year
- 20 from the effective date.
- Mr. Farrell referred to 27.702(c), where a
- 22 comment was received suggesting a small language
- 23 alteration to the last sentence that reads,
- 24 documentation of the training shall be maintained at
- 25 the pharmacy by the pharmacist manager. He noted the

- proposed addition would be documentation and accountability of the training and accountability of the training. Board members agreed.
- Mr. Farrell referred to a comment asking whether
 the Board could consider allowing managers to
 authorize registration to bypass training
 requirements and also suggested a different
 definition for direct and immediate personal
 supervision.
 - Ms. Talbott referred to the legislature, noting the Board would not go there, because it was in the statute.

- Mr. Farrell addressed the process to become a Board-approved program, noting a lot of the pre-existing providers are already preapproved, and the Board would look at anything else that comes in on a case-by-case basis.
- Ms. Talbott referred to language in § 27.702(c), noting the Board was broad in putting the onus on the employer, and the Board could go back if what the documentation is not enough. She offered to study other states to clean up the language in the preamble. She further explained that the Board did not want every program coming to the Board for review. She mentioned the Board has a committee to

review programs.

Mr. Farrell referred to a question asking whether it requires people to have liability insurance, noting the act does not include any insurance requirements.

Mr. Farrell referred to a comment regarding the criminal history record check suggesting the Board have the criminal history record check (CHRC) run by the employer and then kept on file by the employer. He explained that the Board does not do this for other applicants, and it is the applicant's responsibility to obtain the necessary CHRCs and submit them to the Board.

Mr. Farrell informed Board members that there is not much to change in the annex, and the explanatory language on some of the concepts discussed would be added to the preamble. He mentioned having regulatory sessions at the end of future meetings starting with the second half of the general revisions.

Ms. Talbott commented that the Board would only have to review and approve changes made at this meeting and suggested having a regulatory session at the end of the July meeting.

Ms. Townley stated only 4 of the 51 jurisdictions

```
34
1
   in the country do not require technicians to be
2
   registered. She mentioned that all the work the
3
   Board did is in honor of patient safety.]
 4
5
   [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act,
6
   at 11:33 a.m., the Board entered into Executive
7
   Session with Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel,
   to discuss pending litigation matters and to receive
   advice of counsel. The Board returned to open
10
   session at 11:38 a.m.]
11
12
   Adjournment
13
   VICE CHAIR ESTERBROOK:
14
                Motion to adjourn.
15
   MR. RITCHIE:
16
                 So moved.
17
   MS. GETZEY HART:
18
                 Second.
                              * * *
19
20
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
21
   Pharmacy Meeting adjourned at 11:39 a.m.]
22
23
24
25
26
```


CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Pharmacy meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Pharmacy meeting.

Emily cower

Emily Cowfer,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.