## State Board of Pharmacy July 30, 2024

Christine Roussel, Pharm.D., BCOP, BCSCP, Chairperson

3 4 5

6

1 2

## BOARD MEMBERS:

7 9 10

Eric Esterbrook, R.Ph., Vice Chairperson

11 12 13

14 15

16

## 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30 31

36 37

38 39 40

41 42

43 44

45 46 47

48 49 Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Janet Getzey Hart, R.Ph., Secretary John R. Slagle, R.Ph.

Tyler Ritchie, Esquire, Deputy Attorney General, Office of Attorney General Theresa M. Talbott, R.Ph.

## BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel Ray J. Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Caroline A. Bailey, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Ashley P. Murphy, Esquire, Board Prosecutor

Christina Townley, Interim Board Administrator Sara Trimmer, Board Administrator Marc Farrell, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,

Office of Chief Counsel, Department of State Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State

Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division

Piri Pantoja Jr., Deputy Director of Legislative Affairs, Department of State

Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Executive Deputy Chief Counsel, Department of State

### ALSO PRESENT:

Katherine Medei, Area Healthcare Supervisor NJ/PA, Walgreens

Jacquelyn Sassaman, Pentec Health

Grace Sesi, Executive Director, Regulatory Affairs at CVS Health/Chairperson, Michigan Board of Pharmacy Rhonda Thomas, PharmD, MBA, BSPS, BCSCP, Director of Pharmacy, Lehigh Valley Health Network

## State Board of Pharmacy July 30, 2024

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

1

#### ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Cory Ulisse, PharmD, Pharmacy Clinician Services
Nicole Scott
Grace Fisher, Regional Pharmacy Manager, Giant F

Grace Fisher, Regional Pharmacy Manager, Giant Food Stores, LLC

Charlotte Harris, Pharmacy Intern, Duquesne University Susan DelMonico, R.Ph., JD

William Lebak II, PharmD, Walgreens Boots Alliance Jeffrey Dietrich, Pharmacy Manager, Cardinal Health Courtney Quattrone, PharmD, Patient Care Pharmacist, Residency and Experiential Coordinator, Albertsons Companies

Megan Ammon, PharmD, BCMTMS, Clinical Program Coordinator at Weis Markets

Misha Patel, M.D., Curriculum Education Assistant, Geisinger Commonwealth School of Medicine

Judy Kutchman, R.Ph., AllianceRx Walgreens Prime Laura Romeo, Pharmacist-in-Charge at ConnectiveRx, Careform Pharmacy

Vinay Arora, R.Ph., JD, Cardinal Health

Scott Young Steven Zahn, Pharmacy Inspector, Bureau of

Enforcement and Investigation, Department of State Jennifer Smeltz, Republican Executive Director,

Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Geoffrey Christ, Senior Pharmacy Compliance Manager, Chewy Pharmacy

Rick Seipp, PharmD, Value Drug Company

Joshua Finger, PharmD, Enclara Pharmacia

Jessica Adams, PharmD, BCPS, AAHIVE, Scientific Director, Infectious Diseases at Clinical Care Options

Joseph Milward, Senior Manager, Pharmacy Quality and Accreditation, PANTHERx Rare Pharmacy

Muneeza Iqbal, Deputy Secretary of Health Resources and Services, Pennsylvania Department of Health

Lindsey Walsh, MPA, Executive Advisor to the Deputy Secretary of Health Resources and Services,

Pennsylvania Department of Health

Theresa Kash, MPH, Executive Policy Specialist, Pennsylvania Department of Health

Sarah Everingham, MJ, CCEP, CPhT, Cardinal Health James Reed, Pharmacy Regional Manager, Weis Markets

# State Board of Pharmacy <u>July 30, 2024</u>

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Larry Jones, Pennsylvania Society of Health-System Pharmacists Member

Anthony Bixler, Pennsylvania Society of Health-System Pharmacists

Jennifer Sullivan, PharmD, Clinical Pharmacist, WellSpan Health

Jill Rebuck, Executive Director, Pennsylvania Society of Health-System Pharmacists

Victoria Elliott, RPh, MBA, CAE, Chief Executive Officer, Pennsylvania Pharmacists Association

Natalie Klek, Executive Fellow, Pennsylvania Pharmacists Association

Tiffany Booher, MA, LPC, CAADC, CIP, CCSM, Director, Peer Assistance Monitoring Programs; Program Director, Physicians' Health Program, Pennsylvania Medical Society

Marcin

Derek Richmond, Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

5 \* \* \* 1 2 State Board of Pharmacy 3 July 30, 2024 \* \* \* 4 5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 6 at 9:00 a.m., the Board entered into Executive 7 Session with Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and to receive the advice of Board 10 Counsel. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.] 11 12 13 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 14 Board of Pharmacy was held on Tuesday, July 30, 2024. 15 Christine Roussel, Pharm.D., BCOP, BCSCP, Chairperson, called the meeting to order at 16 17 10:31 a.m. \* \* \* 18 Introduction of the Board Members 19 20 [Chair Roussel requested an introduction of Board 21 members. A quorum was present.] 22 23 [John R. Slagle, R.Ph., was present during Executive 24 Session but experienced technical difficulties during 25 the regular Board meeting.]

```
6
1
2
   [Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed
3
   everyone that the meeting was being recorded, and
4
   those who continued to participate were giving their
5
   consent to be recorded.
        Mr. Barrett also noted the Board entered into
6
7
   Executive Session for the purpose of conducting
   quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters
   that are currently pending before the Board and to
10
   receive the advice of counsel.
11
   Introduction of Attendees
12
13
   [Chair Roussel requested an introduction of
14
   attendees.]
15
                              * * *
16
   Approval of Minutes
   CHAIR ROUSSEL:
17
18
                With regard to the minutes, were there
19
                any edits or amendments that anybody
20
                knows?
21
                     I'd like to see if anyone has a
22
                motion to approve the minutes?
23
   MR. ESTERBROOK:
24
                 I'll make a motion to approve the minutes
25
                from June 18.
```

```
8
1
                 Consent Agreement at Case No. 23-54-
2
                 016423.
3
   MR. ESTERBROOK:
 4
                 So moved.
5
   MS. GETZEY HART:
6
                 Second.
7
   CHAIR ROUSSEL:
8
                 Any discussion? Let's call for the vote.
9
10
                 Esterbrook, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye;
11
                 Claggett, aye; Roussel, aye; Talbott,
12
                 recuse.
13
   [The motion carried. Theresa Talbott recused herself
14
   from deliberations and voting on the motion.
                                                    John
15
   Slagle did not vote due to technical difficulties.
16
   The Respondent's name is Pennsylvania CVS Pharmacy,
17
   LLC, DBA CVS Pharmacy #1379.]
                              * * *
18
19
   [Ashley P. Murphy, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
20
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 23-54-
21
   008921.1
22
                              * * *
23
   MR. BARRETT:
24
                 Based on the report of prosecution, does
25
                 any Board member wish to return to
```

Executive Session for further discussion?

Hearing none.

Based on Executive Session deliberations, I believe the Board Chair would entertain a motion to approve the Consent Agreement at Case No. 23-54-008921.

#### 8 MR. ESTERBROOK:

9 So moved.

10 MS. GETZEY HART:

11 Second.

12 CHAIR ROUSSEL:

Any discussion? Let's call the vote.

14

3

4

5

6

7

Esterbrook, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye;

Claggett, aye; Roussel, aye; Talbott,

aye; Slagle, abstain.

18 [The motion carried. John Slagle abstained from 19 voting on the motion due to technical difficulties.

20 The Respondent's name is <u>ASPN Pharmacies</u>, <u>LLC</u>.]

21 \*\*\*

22 | [Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecution

23 Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement for Case

24 Nos. 22-54-002468, 21-54-013947, & 22-54-002470.

25 Ms. Getzey Hart asked why there was no time frame

1 to sell or to indicate the license would become
2 inactive in the agreement.

Mr. Giunta explained that the reason there was not a specific date was because the time frame is unknown, other than him receiving information that there is a broker involved and the process is ongoing with selling the pharmacy.

Ms. Getzey Hart also requested information regarding the inactive license for the pharmacist.

Mr. Giunta explained that the Respondent will be able to inactivate the license once the pharmacy is sold.

Mr. Michalowski further explained that the Respondent will remain on probation while it is inactivated.

Mr. Barrett referred to the probationary terms under paragraph (b) on the Consent Agreement, where it looks like the probation would only be on the pharmacy license and not the pharmacist's license.

Mr. Giunta explained that it would be if he reactivates. He believed it was included in the beginning part but is an error on his part if it was not included. He noted waiting for the sale of the pharmacy based on the numerous violations and inspection attempts.

```
Chair Roussel requested clarification as to
1
2
   whether the language will include the pharmacist's
3
   license is also suspended.
        Mr. Giunta mentioned that it was an oversight and
 4
5
   could be included but that it was mentioned in the
6
   beginning with all of the licenses included with the
7
   Respondent and Respondent Pharmacy.]
8
   MR. BARRETT:
9
                Based on the presentation of prosecution
10
                discussion and questions from the Board,
                I believe the Board Chair would entertain
11
12
                a motion to table agenda item 5, Case No.
13
                22-54-002470, Case No. 21-54-013947, &
14
                Case No. 22-54-002468.
15
   MR. ESTERBROOK:
16
                So moved.
   MS. GETZEY HART:
17
18
                Second.
   CHAIR ROUSSEL:
19
20
                Any discussion? Let's call the vote.
21
22
                Esterbrook, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye;
23
                Claggett, aye; Roussel, aye; Talbott,
24
                aye.
25
   [The motion carried unanimously. John Slagle did not
```

```
12
   vote due to technical difficulties.]
1
2
3
   [Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
4
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 24-54-
5
   005270.1
   MR. BARRETT:
6
7
                 Based on the presentation of prosecution
                 discussion and based on Executive Session
8
9
                 deliberations, I believe the Board Chair
10
                 would entertain a motion to approve the
11
                 Consent Agreement at Case No. 24-54-
                 005270.
12
13
                     I also note for the record that
14
                 Board member Talbot and Board member
15
                 Getzey Hart were recused from any
16
                 discussion or deliberation in that
17
                 matter.
18
   MR. ESTERBROOK:
                 So moved.
19
20
   MR. RITCHIE:
21
                 Second.
   CHAIR ROUSSEL:
22
23
                 Any discussion? We'll call the vote.
24
25
                 Esterbrook, aye; Hart, recuse; Ritchie,
```

```
13
1
                aye; Claggett, nay; Roussel, aye;
2
                Talbott, recuse; Slagle, aye.
3
   [The motion carried. Arion Claggett opposed the
4
            Janet Getzey Hart and Theresa Talbott
   motion.
5
   recused themselves from voting on the motion.
   Respondent's name is Thomas Edward Kavanagh, RPh.]
6
7
                              * * *
   [Ray J. Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board
8
   Prosecutor, presented the VRP Consent Agreement for
   Case No. 24-54-009028.1
10
11
   MR. BARRETT:
                Based on Executive Session deliberations
12
13
                and the report of prosecution, I believe
14
                the Board Chair would entertain a motion
15
                to approve the Consent Agreement at Case
                No. 24-54-009028.
16
   MR. ESTERBROOK:
17
18
                So moved.
   MS. GETZEY HART:
19
20
                 Second.
21
   CHAIR ROUSSEL:
22
                Any discussion? We'll call the vote.
23
24
                Esterbrook, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye;
25
                Claggett, aye; Roussel, aye; Talbott,
```

1.4

1 aye; Slagle, aye.

2 [The motion carried unanimously.]

3 \*\*\*

4 Report of Board Prosecution

5 | [Ray J. Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board

6 Prosecutor, informed Board members that a new

7 attorney will be starting next Monday and eventually

be learning the State Board of Pharmacy to bring his

9 team to full staff.

10 \*\*\*

11 | Appointment - Pennsylvania Department of Health

12 Presentation

13 [Muneeza Iqbal, Deputy Secretary of Health Resources

14 and Services, Pennsylvania Department of Health,

15 presented to the Board to raise awareness about

16 patient access issues to medication for opioid use

17 disorder (MOUD). She stated the Department of Health

18 has received an increase in patient complaints

19 regarding controlled substance availability at

20 pharmacies since the end of 2022.

21 Ms. Iqbal reported patients had difficulty

22 | filling their prescriptions with controlled

23 substances and having to call or visit multiple

24 retail pharmacies to find one that had their

25 medication in stock. She also reported individuals

are receiving a lower quantity than prescribed. She mentioned that many of the complaints specified access issues to MOUD, such as buprenorphine.

Ms. Iqbal informed Board members that the issue is not specific to the Commonwealth and was happening nationwide, noting ensuring patient access to care is a top priority for the Department of Health. She stated patients are at greater risk for severe medication withdrawal or turning to other sources, which can increase their risk for overdose when they abruptly lose access to care.

Ms. Iqbal referred to the July 22 court-ordered injunctive relief, which was part of the opioid settlement agreements with three pharmaceutical distributors; AmerisourceBergen, McKesson, and Cardinal Health. She noted that it was their understanding that in the court-ordered injunctive that there was a request to place ordering thresholds, which impacted how pharmacies could order and stock-controlled substances.

Ms. Iqbal stated the Department of Health hosted several listening sessions for pharmacists in October 2023 for patients, providers, and pharmaceutical distributors to discuss the impact of controlled substance ordering thresholds on day-to-day

operations for pharmacies. She reported over 200 individuals registered for the listening sessions, and the Department of Health came away with numerous high-level findings.

- Ms. Iqbal noted that some pharmacies are hesitant to fill out-of-area prescriptions for controlled substances, including buprenorphine, due to corporate policy, red flag guidance, and general uncertainty of what was permitted or appropriate under state and federal regulation.
- Ms. Iqbal also reported that some pharmacies were not aware of the data waiver removal, where as of January 2023, the data waiver was no longer required for any prescriber to treat patients with buprenorphine for opioid use disorder and only required a standard Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) registration number.
- Ms. Iqbal mentioned that some pharmacies were not stocking controlled substances, including buprenorphine, due to low reimbursement rates. She also mentioned other reasons for not stocking included internal policies, independent pharmacist preference, needing more information on different forms of controlled substances, or lack of bandwidth to manage separate sets of ever-changing regulations.

Ms. Iqbal stated some pharmacies are not dispensing controlled substances to new patients, similar to their hesitancy to serve out-of-area patients and could be attributed to corporate policy, personal preference, or a need for more guidance. She mentioned that requesting a threshold increase is a lengthy process that some pharmacies, particularly independent pharmacies, do not have the capacity for.

Ms. Iqbal noted that pharmacists also asked for more clarity and guidance on how to request a threshold increase and whether there were punitive measures for requesting them. She reported that both parties requested more communication between pharmaceutical distributors and pharmacists, noting pharmacies requested more guidance and information about thresholds on how they are set and how they can be increased.

Ms. Iqbal stated the Department of Health (DOH) developed an action plan to address findings that included increasing access to educational resources and clinical training for prescribing and dispensing controlled substances and clarifying concerns and questions about controlled substance ordering thresholds, investigations, and punitive measures.

Ms. Iqbal noted DOH will also update existing

resources with the Patient Advocacy Program and Prescription Drug Monitoring Program.

Ms. Iqbal stated DOH partnered with the United States Drug Enforcement Administration's (USDEA) Philadelphia Division to discuss MOUD prescribing best practices with stakeholders across Pennsylvania in April 2024. She reported nearly 700 individuals registered to attend and submitted over 200 questions in advance, noting many of the questions and comments were regarding clearer guidance.

Ms. Iqbal noted pharmacists requested clarity on what is considered best clinical judgment and which types of MOUD should be prescribed and how much. She also noted pharmacists are concerned about DEA investigation and take extra precautions when dispensing controlled substances, including MOUD, to the point of turning away many patients.

Ms. Iqbal reported both providers and pharmacists had unclear expectations regarding telehealth and continuity of care. She noted pharmacists were unclear on who is responsible for spotting red flags of potential misuse and diversion and how those red flags should accommodate changing telehealth and continuity of care practices.

Ms. Iqbal informed Board members that their team

is now developing a report with answers to the
questions asked at the DEA session, as well as
resources from state and federal partners to assist
providers with clinical decision-making. She
mentioned that the report is in its final stages of
review and should be ready to publish by the end of
the summer.

Ms. Iqbal provided resources, including the Centers for Disease Control Clinical Practice Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Pain, which offers the most up-to-date information on opioid prescribing for providers, and the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy (NABP) guidelines, which go into more depth for buprenorphine, specifically for pharmacists.

Ms. Iqbal also noted the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA)

Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) 63 provides a more general overview on the different types of medications for opioid use disorder, and DOH offers a number of resources on their website, as well as the pages for their Prescription Drug Monitoring Program, naloxone, and Patient Advocacy Program.

Chair Roussel thanked the Department of Health for listening to patients concerns and getting

stakeholders together. She believed there is an opportunity for education, especially for pharmacies on how to request increases in their thresholds.

Chair Roussel noted the Pennsylvania Pharmacists
Association (PPA) was involved and also the
Pennsylvania Society of Health-System Pharmacists
(PSHP) in trying to communicate out some of that
message. She mentioned that the Board could try to
partner and see if there is an opportunity to do more
formal education, even if it is continuing-education
based to get more pharmacists to attend.

Mr. Esterbrook stated Berks County has an Opioid Task Force and had a program for pharmacists for naloxone nasal spray two or three years ago that had a positive impact. He noted a CE program for pharmacists about all the requirements for opioid prescriptions.

Mr. Esterbrook commented that the state associations reach out to pharmacists, but most counties have something like the Allegheny County Pharmacists Association, where smaller groups of pharmacists could be reached.

Ms. Iqbal suggested using the county associations to spread the resources the Department of Health is putting together to help answer some of the

1 misinformation and some of the concerns and 2 hesitations to see what feedback is received.

Ms. Iqbal also mentioned working with the Board on the best way to develop more CE material if necessary. She noted they have no funding but advocate for this issue because it is a growing problem.

Mr. Esterbrook commented that it would be helpful to have direction concerning a way to combat stigma amongst healthcare professionals for patients coming in for the medications.

Ms. Iqbal believed training on stigma is already available but offered to see if anything needs updated.

Ms. Getzey Hart noted issues with thresholds and product they can get from wholesalers, noting not all wholesalers have the same threshold procedure to give threshold increases. She mentioned that increases take at least five or six months before it is even addressed through the regulatory team.

Ms. Getzey Hart noted there is a lot of time and effort that goes into doing that, so it is accepted, along with a lot of shortcomings from the standpoint of getting the product into the stores, even based on usage.

Ms. Getzey Hart agreed with Mr. Esterbrook as far a stigma but also mentioned the DEA from a national level has acted against pharmacists and prescribers based on buprenorphine. She also mentioned there are still questions around telehealth that raise some concerns.

Ms. Iqbal requested more information about the issues pharmacists face when trying to increase their threshold. She noted having conversations with distributors who are willing to work with pharmacists but do not seem to know of specific issues.

Ms. Iqbal mentioned that it would be helpful to have specific issues to provide to distributors to see how they could be addressed. She offered to set up a call to discuss the issue further. She noted hearing from independent pharmacies that they do not have the resources to do their regular work let alone the added work.

Ms. Getzey Hart again mentioned that there is a lot of work to requesting a threshold increase. She referred to the big three that have entered into injunctive relief, noting it is also with some of the smaller ones as well, including ones that are domiciled here in the state of Pennsylvania that have certain criteria for things like that.

2.3

Mr. Ritchie mentioned being on the April call with DOH and PPA, and he liked the way the two agencies tried to do a multi-angle approach to solving that issue. He noted Ms. Getzey Hart gave the logistic issues, but the other issue is that when they, in the field, think that there is a data threshold that it is by quantity and dollar, where going after one drug means wanting less of another.

Mr. Slagle stated in the proposal for the inventory changes in their thresholds, they also tie in purchasing them from the wholesalers, so if they cannot get the drug, they cannot increase the thresholds in the allotments.

Mr. Slagle referred to chain pharmacies, noting they now use an interactive program that is available to them to look for a drug amongst those chain pharmacies that all have the same name in a 25-mile radius because of the cutbacks in 24-hour pharmacies, discharge prescriptions and emergency room prescriptions from hospitals trying to travel to get those things, and the mandate of electronic transfer of the prescriptions.

Mr. Slagle referred to Mr. Esterbrook's point about stigma, where they need to get the technical and logistical pieces solved. He noted the

importance of making the announcement available, so they can provide a copy of the announcement to the wholesaler representative.

Mr. Slagle stated figuring out the technical, logistics, and then the announcements is massive education and suggested they have someone in the pharmacy world review the education piece they are putting out at the end of August.

Victoria Elliott, RPh, MBA, CAE, Chief Executive Officer, Pennsylvania Pharmacists Association, commented that the education piece is just one piece, and the bigger issues are the obstacles. She noted PSHP and PPA will be happy to discuss educational opportunities for organizations.

Chair Roussel thanked the Pennsylvania Department of Health for their effort on behalf of their patients.]

\* \* \*

Report of Board Counsel - Legislative Report

[Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided a

Legislative Report on the OneDrive for Board members

to review. He noted House Bill 1993, which is now

Act 77 of 2024, passed on July 17 and was signed by

Governor Shapiro. He explained that the bill deals

with a lot of edits to the Pharmacy Audit Integrity

2.5

1 and Transparency Act.

Mr. Barrett mentioned the bill that dealt with injectables with pharmacists and pharmacist technicians was tacked onto this and included allowing pharmacists and pharmacist technicians and interns under certain conditions to administer immunizations and injectables. He noted that further information could be found under House Bill 1993

10 \*\*\*

11 Report of Board Chairperson

Printer's No. 3499.1

9

12 [Christine Roussel, Pharm.D., BCOP, BCSCP,

13 Chairperson, noted the importance of updating their

14 regulations. She mention their goal is to help break

15 down topics by date and be able to give a little bit

16 of quidance for which topics will be discussed on

17 | what dates, so attendees can come prepared, knowing

18 that some topics are more controversial than others.]

19 \*\*\*

20 Report of Acting Commissioner

21 | [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of

22 | Professional and Occupational Affairs, announced that

23 the October 22 meeting will be held at Wilkes

24 University in person and online with Teams.

25 Acting Commissioner Claggett also informed

```
everyone that the Board website was updated.
```

2 Ms. Talbott asked when the portal is opening for 3 renewals.

Ms. Townley stated pharmacists and authorization
to administer injectables for pharmacist renewals
should be opening tomorrow evening. She mentioned
her work with counsel and Acting Commissioner
Claggett on getting a renewal guide set up on the
website and possibly sent out to licensees to help in
that process.

11 Ms. Townley reminded everyone to make sure all of 12 their continuing education was completed.]

13

14 Report of Board Administrator - No Report

15 \*\*\*

16 Report of Board Members - No Report

17

18 | Discussion - Pharmacy Intern

19 MR. BARRETT:

1

Based on discussions in Executive

Session, I believe the Board Chair would

entertain a motion to approve the Request

to Backdate the Preceptor Hours of Sina

Dehesanti.

25 MR. ESTERBROOK:

1 So moved.

2 MR. RITCHIE:

3 Second.

4 CHAIR ROUSSEL:

5 Any discussion? Let's call the vote.

6

8

9

Esterbrook, aye; Hart, nay; Ritchie, aye;

Claggett, aye; Roussel, aye; Talbott,

nay; Slagle, nay.

10 [The motion carried. Janet Getzey Hart, Theresa

11 Talbott, and John Slagle opposed the motion.]

12

13 Discussion - Attendance at NABP/AACP Districts 1 & 2

14 Meeting

15 [Christine Roussel, Pharm.D., BCOP, BCSCP,

16 Chairperson, noted the National Association of Boards

17 of Pharmacy (NABP) has a joint meeting with the

18 American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy (AACP)

19 for Districts 1 & 2 October 7-9 in Boston, MA.

20 Chair Roussel also mentioned that the National

21 Association of Boards of Pharmacy Districts 1 & 2

22 Annual Meeting will be held in Pennsylvania next year

23 and will announce the date when that becomes

24 available.

25 MR. BARRETT:

```
Based on the Board's discussion, I
1
2
                believe the Board Chair would entertain a
 3
                motion to send three representatives from
                the Board to the NABP/AACP Districts 1 &
 4
 5
                2 Meeting in Boston, Massachusetts
                October 7-9, 2024.
 6
7
   MR. ESTERBROOK:
8
                So moved.
9
   MS GETZEY HART:
10
                 Second.
11
   CHAIR ROUSSEL:
12
                Any discussion? We'll call the vote.
13
14
                Esterbrook, aye; Hart, aye; Ritchie, aye;
15
                Claggett, aye; Roussel, aye; Talbott,
16
                aye; Slagle, aye.
17
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
18
   Old Business - Newsletter Articles
19
20
   [Christine Roussel, Pharm.D., BCOP, BCSCP,
21
   Chairperson, stated they are working on newsletter
22
   articles but are open to other suggestions.
23
   mentioned that they may hear something from the
24
   Department of Health and will reflect to their
25
   information as they continue with further
```

considerations.]

Report of Board Counsel - Regulatory Report

[Marc Farrell, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel, Office of
Chief Counsel, Department of State, addressed Act 77

of 2024, noting the need for more regulatory work and
qetting their regulations to match.

Mr. Farrell referred to Ms. Talbott's question regarding adding some of it into the pharmacy technician package. He explained that typically after a proposed regulation is published that they cannot broaden its scope and add new things.

Ms. Talbott asked whether they have to do regulations since the act itself is very prescriptive.

Mr. Farrell explained that the act will control upon its effective date and then the scenario is that they have a set of regulations that do not match what the statute says and causes confusion.

Mr. Barrett commented that they may have to clean some things up in their regulations to make them all mesh together, but there is nothing in Act 77 that requires them to create a new regulatory regime for something. He mentioned there may be some minor regulatory clean up.

Chair Roussel commented that even though it is subsequent to an act and they would be taking that language verbatim that it still has to go through the same regulatory review process but asked whether there was some type of accelerator button to speed up the process.

Mr. Farrell explained that the final-omitted route might be a possibility to remove maybe 30 to 60 days. He mentioned that sometimes it is better to go straight proposed because of the time it takes convincing the Attorney General's Office that it qualifies as a final omitted.

Mr. Barrett stated the Board may wish to do regulations around this or clarify it within their regulations, noting there is nothing in the act that says the Board shall pass regulations on this.

Mr. Farrell provided the final annex for the pharmacy technician regulations that incorporates the changes discussed at the last meeting. He mentioned that he would also have the final preamble done for the August 27 meeting and present them both for further discussion.

Mr. Farrell addressed general revisions part 2. He mentioned that stakeholders were told at a previous meeting that they would be provided with

part 2 but was not sure whether that was sent out and asked whether Board members wanted to review the general revisions part 2 today without stakeholders having any input.

Mr. Farrell mentioned that he was not sure whether the document he provided was the latest version of the general revisions. He also noted the Board suggested putting the sections being covered on certain meeting dates.

Chair Roussel recommended not going in depth now since people have not seen a copy of what they are currently doing. She mentioned that it would be helpful to say which part of the regulation the breakpoint is to give people a start of where to look and then chop it into sections, knowing there are some sections that are a little bit more controversial than others.

Chair Roussel noted the importance of making sure the Board hears whoever feels the need to speak with regard to things that have an impact on practice.

Mr. Barrett stated it would be better to wait until the next meeting on August 27, 2024, so Board members can review it and have an informal discussion.

25 Chair Roussel commented that the staff would

focus on the final annex and pharmacy technician regulations to get that one reviewed and finalized on August 27, 2024.

Mr. Farrell stated part 2 picks up where part 1 left off and referred to technology and automation under § 27.203, centralized prescription processing.

Ms. Talbott explained that the Board set up automation technology because it was a big rewrite with a lot of new language lifted from other states. She referred to a question from Mr. Farrell regarding the significance of the highlighting in the draft. She explained that they were calling out the difference in the definition for each section to use automated medication systems in central processing and in automated medication systems.

Ms. Talbott further explained that, in one part, it does not include an automated counting device or unit-based dispensing but does in the other part because it deals with who has control of the machine.

Ms. Talbott believed it to be the most recent copy from 2019 but offered to send Mr. Farrell an email if she finds anything that looks different.

Mr. Farrell mentioned that it is difficult to draw a line between where they should start and where to end because there are two proposed new sections at

\$27.205 and \$27.206 that are new.

Ms. Talbott explained that a lot of it is identical and is just the nuance about in house versus not in house. She noted the first part is expanding central fill and referred to § 27.203(2), which is central drug or prescription processing; § 27.204 goes to automated medication systems within a pharmacy on the same premises; and § 27.205 is remote automated medication systems.

Ms. Talbott suggested making sections  $\S$  27.203(1) and  $\S$  27.203(2) and then  $\S$  27.204 and  $\S$  27.205.

Chair Roussel suggested the technology and automation section be lumped in together and to make that the goal for the August meeting. She noted they could announce at the August meeting what would be done at the next meeting or two. She mentioned the Board will be at Wilkes University in October but would still like to have a regulatory session.

Mr. Farrell offered to send that out to stakeholders this afternoon for their review, and Mr. Barrett offered make sure the stakeholder list is updated.

Ms. Talbott provided a list of sections to be discussed at the August Board meeting.

Chair Roussel addressed a presentation from the

Secretary of Health discussing the barriers faced by rural hospitals and healthcare entities working in rural areas. She offered to send the Secretary of Health a reminder that stakeholder difficulties with rural health was discussed and they are doing regulations.

Chair Roussel noted the importance of people speaking on behalf of that issue to make sure they can provide proper care for citizens across the Commonwealth.

Mr. Barrett encouraged anyone who is uncertain whether their organization or they personally are on the stakeholder's list to email the Board or him directly.

Chair Roussel thanked Mr. Farrell in advance for all of the work he will be doing for the Board.]

18 Public Comment

[Victoria Elliott, RPh, MBA, CAE, Chief Executive Officer, Pennsylvania Pharmacists Association, asked whether there is any indication as to when Governor Shapiro may be confirming any Board appointments.

Acting Commissioner Claggett noted that there is nothing to offer at this time.]

\*

```
35
   Adjournment
 1
 2
   MR. ESTERBROOK:
 3
                 Motion to close.
 4
   MS. GETZEY HART:
 5
                 Second.
 6
   CHAIR ROUSSEL:
                 Any discussion? We shall close the
 8
                 agenda unless there are any other
 9
                 objections and end the meeting.
                                * * *
10
11
    [There being no further business, the State Board of
12
   Pharmacy Meeting adjourned at 11:43 a.m.]
                                * * *
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

## 

#### CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Pharmacy meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Pharmacy meeting.

Derek Richmond,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

	37
	STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY REFERENCE INDEX
	July 30, 2024
TIME	AGENDA
9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session
10:31	Official Call to Order
10:31	Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
10:39	Approval of Minutes
10:39	Report of Board Prosecution
10:57	Appointment - Pennsylvania Department of Health Presentation
11:20	Report of Board Counsel
11:21	Report of Board Chairperson
11:22	Report of Acting Commissioner
11:23	Discussion
11:27	Old Business
11:28	Report of Board Counsel (cont.)
11:42	Public Comment
11:43	Adjournment