# State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and Professional Counselors July 16, 2024

BOARD M

BOARD MEMBERS:

Michelle Santiago, Psy.D., NCC, CCMHC, LPC, Chair Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Richard Joseph Behun, Ph.D., LPC, NCC, ACS Farida E. Boyer, MS, LMFT, AS Eric DeCriscio, MA, LPC, ACS Kimberly Early, LSW Erika Evans-Weaver, Ph.D., LMFT, Vice Chair Linda A. Martin, LCSW, RN - Absent

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

## BUREAU PERSONNEL:

21 22 Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel 23 Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel 24 Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor 25 and Prosecution Liaison 26 Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor 27 Patrick M. Greene, Esquire, Board Prosecutor 28 Berk V. Demiral, Esquire, Board Prosecutor 29 Adam J. Williams, Esquire, Board Prosecutor 30 Adrianne Rachelle McClendon, Esquire, Board 31 Prosecutor 32 Courtney J. Restemayer, Esquire, Board Prosecutor 33 Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director, 34 Department of State 35 Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator 36 Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution 37 Division 38 Holly Hoffman, Law Clerk, Department of State

39 40

41

#### ALSO PRESENT:

42 43 Johanna Byrd, ACSW, IOM, CAE, Executive Director, 44 National Association of Social Workers, 45 Pennsylvania Chapter Elizabeth Micciulla, MA, NCC, ATR-P, CAADC, 46 47 Governmental Affairs Chair, Pennsylvania Art 48 Therapy Association 49 James Waiters, MPA, LSW 50 Lis Cristina Santamaria Garcia

# State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and Professional Counselors July 16, 2024

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Eric W. Owns, PhD, West Chester University Amy Hetrick, MSW, LSW, CTP Laura Clark Sara Hetrick Nicole Setzer

Emily Cowfer, Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

4 1 2 State Board of Social Workers, 3 Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors 4 5 July 16, 2024 \* \* \* 6 7 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m., the Board entered into Executive Session with Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board 10 Counsel, on behalf of Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board 11 Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial 12 deliberations on a number of matters currently 13 pending before the Board and to receive the advice of 14 counsel. The Board entered into public session at 15 11:00 a.m.] 16 17 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 18 Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family 19 Therapists, and Professional Counselors was held on 20 Tuesday, July 16, 2024. Michelle Santiago, Psy.D., 21 NCC, CCMHC, LPC, Chair, called the meeting to order 22 at 11:00 a.m. 23 24 [Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel, on

behalf of Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel,

1 informed everyone that the meeting was being 2 recorded, and those who continued to participate were

3 giving their consent to be recorded.

Ms. Walter also noted the Board entered into quasi-judicial deliberations to receive the advice of counsel and to consider matters that are currently on the agenda.]

8 \*\*\*

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

9 Roll Call of Board Members/Introduction of Attendees
10 [Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator, provided a
11 roll call of Board members and introduction of
12 attendees. A quorum of Board members was present.]

13

Approval of minutes of the June 11, 2024 meeting
[Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel, on
behalf of Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel,
noted the minutes from the June 11, 2024 meeting will
be tabled until the September 2024 meeting.]

19 \*\*\*

20 Report of Prosecutorial Division

21 [Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board

22 Prosecutor and Board Prosecution Liaison, informed

23 Board members that Patrick Greene will be moving into

24 the prosecution liaison position at the September

25 | 2024 meeting.

```
1
        Ms. McCarthy presented the Consent Agreements for
   Case No. 23-69-001638 and Case No. 24-69-008442.1
2
3
   MS. WALTER:
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
 4
 5
                  motion to approve the Consent
                  Agreements at Case No. 23-69-001638 at
 6
7
                  number 2 on the agenda and Case No. 24-
                  69-008442 at number 3 on the agenda.
8
9
   CHAIR SANTIAGO:
10
                  Could I have a motion, please?
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
11
                  So moved.
12
13
   DR. BEHUN:
14
                  Second.
15
   CHAIR SANTIAGO:
16
                  Any additional discussion? There is
                         Sarah, could we please have the
17
18
                  roll call vote?
19
20
                  Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
21
                  aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early,
22
                  aye; Evans, aye.
23
   [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
24
   name at number 2 is Allyson Monarch, LMFT.]
                              * * *
25
```

1 Report of Board Counsel - Proposed Adjudication and 2 Order 3 MS. WALTER: 4 Number 4 on the agenda, Case No. 24-69-5 000516, Robert Groman. I believe the Board would entertain 6 7 a motion to adopt the Proposed Adjudication and Order. 8 9 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 10 May I have a motion, please? ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 11 So moved. 12 13 DR. BEHUN: 14 Second. 15 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 16 Any further discussion? Sarah, could we have the roll call vote, please? 17 18 19 Santiago, nay; Claggett, aye; Behun, 20 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 21 aye; Evans, aye. 22 [The motion carried. Michelle Santiago opposed the 23 motion.1 24 \* \* \* 25 Review of Applications

8 MS. WALTER: 1 I believe the Board would entertain a 2 3 motion at number 9 on the agenda to direct the Board administrator to issue 4 5 a discrepancy to the Application of 6 Kellyanne Rodriguez for a Social Worker 7 by Examination. 8 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 9 Could I have a motion, please? 10 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 11 So moved. DR. BEHUN: 12 13 Second. 14 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 15 Any additional discussion? Hearing 16 The roll call vote, please. 17 18 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, 19 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 20 aye; Evans, aye. 21 [The motion carried unanimously.] 22 23 MS. WALTER: 24 I believe the Board would entertain a 25 motion to provisionally deny the

9 1 following applications at numbers 10 2 through 12 and 14 on the agenda: 3 Number 10, Clinical Social Worker by 4 Examination of Lindsay Mosaky; number 5 11, Associate Professional Counselor by 6 Examination of Christie Edwards; number 7 12, Associate Professional Counselor by Examination of Nicole Bialecki; and 9 number 14, Professional Counselor by 10 Examination of Jeffrey Jackson. CHAIR SANTIAGO: 11 12 Could I have a motion, please? 13 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 14 So moved. 15 DR. BEHUN: 16 Second. 17 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 18 Any further discussion, Board members, 19 on those agenda items? Sarah, could we 20 have the roll call vote, please? 21 22 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, 23 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 24 aye; Evans, aye. 25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

10 \* \* \* 1 2 MS. WALTER: 3 Agenda number 13. I believe the Board 4 would entertain a motion to approve the 5 Application for a Professional 6 Counselor by Examination of Alayna 7 Wacker. 8 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 9 May I have a motion, please? 10 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 11 So moved. DR. BEHUN: 12 13 Second. 14 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 15 Any further discussion, Board members? 16 There is none. Sarah, could we have 17 the roll call vote, please? 18 19 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, 20 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 21 aye; Evans, aye. 22 [The motion carried unanimously.] 23 24 MS. WALTER: 25 Number 15 on the agenda, the

11 Professional Counselor by Examination 1 2 of Vanay Frederick-Ellis. 3 I believe the Board would entertain 4 a motion to table this agenda item to 5 obtain more information about the education of the applicant. 6 7 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 8 Could I have a motion? 9 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 10 So moved. DR. BEHUN: 11 Second. 12 13 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 14 Any further discussion? Sarah, the 15 roll call vote, please? 16 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, 17 18 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 19 aye; Evans, aye. 20 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 21 22 Review of Applications - Act 41 Review 23 MS. WALTER: 24 I believe the Board would entertain a 25 motion to approve the Applications at

12 1 numbers 16 and 18 on the agenda. 2 Number 16, Professional Counselor by 3 Endorsement of Carmen Getty; number 18, Professional Counselor by Endorsement 4 5 of Jamie Lough. CHAIR SANTIAGO: 6 7 Could I have a motion, please? ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 8 9 So moved. 10 DR. BEHUN: 11 Second. CHAIR SANTIAGO: 12 13 Any further discussion, Board members? 14 There is none. Sarah, could we have 15 the roll call vote, please? 16 17 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, 18 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 19 aye; Evans, aye. 20 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 21 22 MS. WALTER: 23 I believe the Board would entertain a 24 motion to provisionally deny the 25 Application for a Professional

13 Counselor by Endorsement of Grazia 1 2 Dimauro, number 17 on the agenda and 3 also the Application of Sandra Metz for 4 practice as a Marriage & Family 5 Therapist by Endorsement at number 20 6 on the agenda. 7 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 8 May I have a motion, please? 9 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 10 So moved. 11 DR. BEHUN: Second. 12 13 CHAIR SANTIAGO: Any further discussion, Board members? 14 15 There is none. Could we have the roll 16 call vote, please? 17 18 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, 19 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 20 aye; Evans, aye. 21 [The motion carried unanimously.] 22 23 MS. WALTER: 24 I believe the Board would entertain a 25 motion to grant a Provisional License

14 to Michael Titterness, the Professional 1 2 Counselor by Endorsement Applicant. 3 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 4 So moved. 5 DR. BEHUN: Second. 6 7 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 8 Is there any further discussion, Board 9 members? Hearing none. Sarah, could 10 we have the roll call vote, please? 11 12 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, 13 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 14 aye; Evans, aye. 15 [The motion carried unanimously.] 16 Review of Applications - Act 41 Reconsideration 17 18 MS. WALTER: I believe the Board would entertain a 19 20 motion to extend the provisional 21 denials and grant the Applications for 22 the following individuals: Number 21, 23 Professional Counselor by Endorsement 24 of Ginger Brown; number 22, Marriage &

Family Therapist by Endorsement of

15 1 Bryana Kappadakunnel; number 23, 2 Marriage & Family Therapist by 3 Endorsement of Mishka Kimball; number 24, Marriage & Family Therapist by 4 5 Endorsement of Mojdeh Mansoori; number 25, Marriage & Family Therapist by 6 7 Endorsement of Sarah Clark; and number 26, Marriage & Family Therapist by 9 Endorsement of Stephanie Katsur. 10 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 11 May I have a motion, please? ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 12 13 So moved. 14 DR. BEHUN: 15 Second. 16 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 17 Any further discussion? There is none. 18 Sarah, could we have the roll call 19 vote, please? 20 21 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, 22 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 23 aye; Evans, aye. 24 [The motion carried unanimously.] 25

16 Miscellaneous 1 2 MS. WALTER: 3 I believe the Board would entertain a 4 motion to approve number 27 on the 5 agenda, which is a Request for 6 Exception under § 47.12c(6) of Nicholas 7 Joseph & Anne Miller-Uueda. 8 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 9 Could I have a motion, please? 10 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: So moved. 11 DR. BEHUN: 12 13 Second. 14 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 15 Additional discussion? There is none. 16 Sarah, could we have the roll call 17 vote, please? 18 19 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, 20 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 21 aye; Evans, aye. 22 [The motion carried unanimously.] 23 24 Miscellaneous - VA National Standards 25 [Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel,

17 noted the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) 1 2 National Standards of Practice for the Board's 3 review. She announced that the VA is proposing a 4 regulation for National Standards of Practice in the 5 VA system for marriage and family therapists (MFTs).] \* \* \* 6 7 Miscellaneous - Travel Approval - Pennsylvania 8 Counseling Association's 56th Annual Conference 9 [Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel, 10 noted the Pennsylvania Counseling Association's 56th Annual Conference October 25-27 in Harrisburg, PA.] 11 MS. WALTER: 12 13 I believe the Board would entertain a 14 motion to approve travel and expenses 15 for the Board administrator and two 16 additional Board members to travel to 17 participate in the Pennsylvania 18 Counseling Association's 56th Annual Conference. 19 20 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 21 Could I have a motion, please? 22 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 23 So moved. 24 DR. BEHUN:

Second.

18 CHAIR SANTIAGO: 1 2 Additional discussion? There is none. 3 Could we have the roll call vote, 4 please? 5 6 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, 7 aye; Boyer, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, 8 aye; Evans, aye. 9 [The motion carried unanimously.] 10 New Business 11 12 [Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel, on 13 behalf of Sean C. Barrett, Esquire, Board Counsel, 14 referred to the associate LPC and associate LMFT 15 renewal and reactivation requirements for the Board's 16 review. She stated the Board administrator is 17 working on constructing applications for renewal and 18 reactivation for the associate LPC and associate LMFT 19 in the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS). 20 Ms. Walter reported that the applications will be 21 ready when renewals open at the beginning of 22 January.] 23 24 Regulatory Report 25 [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,

informed Board members that they did not receive any comments on the exposure draft for 16A-6923 regarding general revisions. She hoped to start working on the volunteer license regulation in the next six months.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to the licensure by endorsement regulation, noting she is prioritizing the general revision package and now has the Act 4 package but is hoping to work on that in between the others.

Ms. Wolfgang reported that the Conversion Therapy Statement of Policy was published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* on June 8. She referred to the general revisions and asked Board members to review the list of stakeholders and associations to ensure it is complete.

Ms. Wolfgang informed Board members that the preamble is almost completed for the Act 4 regulation and hoped to have that finalized for the next Board meeting. She noted a glitch to drafting the Act 4 regulation and reported a lot of overlapping provisions. She mentioned that it makes it difficult from a regulatory standpoint, because once one gets published, the other one will sort of change and have to be read in conjunction with the other one.

Ms. Wolfgang noted the amendments in the general

1 revisions will take effect and would need read both

- 2 together and asked whether the Board wanted to merge
- 3 the two regulations. She suggested merging them into
- 4 one, noting the downside is that it will hold off the
- 5 general revision package because of work that needs
- 6 done on the Act 4 regulations.
- 7 Ms. Wolfgang discussed the downside of not
- 8 overlapping it, noting Board members are going to
- 9 have to read both regulations in conjunction as they
- 10 are drafting Act 4.
- 11 Chair Santiago recommended merging the
- 12 regulations.
- 13 Ms. Wolfgang hoped to get the general revision
- 14 package out as proposed by the fall but may not hit
- 15 that target if they are merged.
- 16 Ms. Early agreed with merging the regulations but
- 17 requested information concerning the consequences of
- 18 that delay.
- 19 Ms. Wolfgang drafted the annex for Act 4 and
- 20 | would like to get it moving as soon as possible but
- 21 believed there will be a lot of back and forth. She
- 22 | mentioned that merging could delay the general
- 23 revisions package six months.
- 24 Dr. Evans asked whether it would be easier for
- 25 the public and everybody else to digest if they keep

it separate.

Ms. Wolfgang believed that it would be easier to digest each one individually, but there are some provisions being amended in each package, so the public would be looking at a package that is not really a complete picture of what is going to be amended in six months.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that looking at both of them at the same time and one big package would allow them to see the changes together. She again mentioned that the downside would be that it is a long and cumbersome package to get through and would cause a delay.

Chair Santiago asked what the downside of choosing A versus B would be for applicants or people interested in coming to Pennsylvania pertaining to the Counseling Compact.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that applicants would not have a good picture if they do not look at the general revisions package and just looking at the Act 4 regulation and supervision requirements. She further explained that it may impact applicants, where they are adding the 6-hour requirement for supervisors, which would be reflected in the general revisions package but not in the Act 4 package, where

they may not be aware of that amendment if they were not also following that regulatory package.

Chair Santiago referred to the compact and commented that people are not going to look deeply into the rules and regulations and requested information regarding the easiest way for someone trying to apply for licensure when all of those factors are put together.

Ms. Walter deferred that question to Mr. Barrett for the next meeting, but commented that she did not want to delay any of the regulations based on legislation that had not happened and believed it would be best to move forward with the regulations.

Ms. Wolfgang offered to put the general revisions package on the agenda again for the next meeting and suggested Board members have a discussion regarding Act 4, so they can look at both packages to make a better-informed decision on whether to merge or not. She provided a draft of Act 4, noting the Board has to address licensure requirements, supervisor qualifications, the supervision plan, fees, renewal, and continuing education.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to the annex and informed Board members that they have to update the whole entire regulation to include the associate licenses

1 | in all of the other regulations throughout Chapter 48

- 2 and Chapter 49. She requested Board members review
- 3 everything between now and the next meeting to make
- 4 | sure everything is appropriate for each licensure
- 5 class.
- 6 Ms. Wolfgang referred to § 47.4 licensure fees,
- 7 | noting Act 4 establishes licensure fees for
- 8 professional counselors and marriage and family
- 9 therapists. She noted the initial application fee is
- 10  $\mid$  \$75 and renewal fee is \$95 and asked whether the
- 11 Board wanted to consider something different. She
- 12 also added the associate licensure classes to the
- 13 \$75.
- Ms. Wolfgang explained that the statute
- 15 specifically establishes \$75 because it is the
- 16 existing fee for other licensees on the Board. She
- 17 | further explained that application fees are
- 18 determined based on how much manpower is put into
- 19 processing the applications.
- 20 Ms. Wolfgang mentioned that the Board may want to
- 21 address increasing all licensure classes when the
- 22 Bureau of Finance and Operations (BFO) presents their
- 23 annual report as to whether revenues are meeting or
- 24 exceeding expenditures.
- 25 Ms. Wolfgang explained that the regulation is for

associate marriage and family therapists and professional counselors, and BFO would have to do an analysis if they wanted to increase fees for all licensure classes. She recommended starting out with the statutory fee and reevaluating it when a biennial renewal increase is necessary.

Chair Santiago requested Ms. Wolfgang provide information at the next meeting as to when the Board had its last fee increase.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to Chapter 49 under definitions, noting the addition of associate marriage and family therapist (AMFT). She mentioned the possibility of including the definition of supervision plan in this section and asked Board member how they wanted to define supervision plan.

Ms. Wolfgang suggested keeping the definition general in the regulations and including specifics in the application. She provided a definition of plan of supervision, where a plan of supervision outlines the work setting, the supervisees job description, including the nature of the supervisee duties and qualifications and the supervisors' responsibilities and qualifications.

Chair Santiago commented that the plan of supervision needs to be more detailed, noting a

number of other states have a very detailed list that makes it easier for applicants and supervisors to know if they qualify or are getting a good supervisor who knows what they are doing.

Ms. Wolfgang noted that they could get into the meat of it within the text of the regulation but cannot have requirements in a definition. She mentioned Act 4 does reference some of what she included in the potential definition and recommended starting with her suggestion and tweaking it afterwards.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to licensure requirements and requested input from the Board on how to address supervisors. She noted they have supervisor requirements in § 48.14 and asked whether those supervision requirements would be the appropriate supervision requirements for this licensure class as well.

Ms. Wolfgang suggested combining or crossreferencing the standards for supervisor. She
mentioned there is also qualifications for
supervisors in § 48.3, noting the Board would have to
take into consideration that § 48.14 is a section
being revised on the general revisions. She
recommended cross-referencing those sections and

1 asked Board members whether they are okay with the 2 language with respect to the new licensure class.

Ms. Walter stated not all of the individuals are going to receive a license, so there still may be people receiving supervision without the AMFT or ALPC. She commented that it is better for the standards to be consistent.

Ms. Wolfgang asked whether they should change the standards for all of them to be the same or have different supervisor standards for the licensed class versus the unlicensed class.

Mr. DeCriscio commented that they should be the same, and Board members agreed.

Ms. Wolfgang mentioned that some supervisors utilize a supervision plan and asked whether the Board wanted to require that across the Board for those who are licensed and unlicensed.

Mr. DeCriscio referred to a comment he made at a prior meeting, where it is best practice to have a written and signed supervision plan, and the Board should create a template that supervisors could use and implement, so they do not have to come up with one themselves.

Ms. Wolfgang mentioned that it would be a lot easier for the regulated community to understand the

standards if they were all the same, regardless of
whether they were licensed or not. She explained
that having one standard for unlicensed and one
standard for licensed would complicate the
regulations.

- Ms. Wolfgang believed the Board has the statutory authority to make the change for the unlicensed even though the supervision plan is not specifically required by law for the unlicensed.
- Ms. Walter commented that there would essentially be no difference between getting a license and not getting a license. She noted the educational requirements are the same, and if there is going to be a new requirement for a supervision plan for an unlicensed individual, § 48.13 will remain the same as far as qualifications and requirements for the supervisor.
  - Ms. Wolfgang asked whether it would be correct that they were already doing this to a certain extent and would not be an additional burden.
  - Ms. Boyer explained that AMFTs, as supervisors, create a supervisor contract with each of their supervisees and is essentially a plan on how they plan to work together for the time they spend together. She believed it is necessary to have a

1 guide with their supervisor, whether someone is going 2 to get a license or not.

Chair Santiago commented that it should be the same across the board, and they should have a supervision plan, whether it is professional counselors, groups of social workers and marriage and family therapists, or associate or unlicensed.

Ms. Boyer mentioned that it should be in the regulations to make is clear for the supervisor and the supervisee.

Ms. Walter stated the licensed individual under Act 4 would have to work under the supervision of the LMFT who directs their activities, so there might be slight differences on Board authority over an individual who is licensed as an AMFT and someone who is not.

Ms. Wolfgang noted the statute for unlicensed is general, and the Board's requirements are all regulatory. She mentioned that the Board could follow the rules that are set forth in the statute for Act 4 for the licensees and unlicensed individuals to keep it one standard. She asked whether Board members agree to keep one standard for both licensed and unlicensed, and Board members agreed.

Ms. Wolfgang asked the Board to think everything through when they contemplate merging because they are not just drafting a standard for licensed associate professionals but will be for the unlicensed as well. She mentioned that the package is getting a little bit bigger and broader and is creeping into the general revisions and sort of leans towards merging because of the revisions.

Ms. Wolfgang noted the rest of the revisions are basically getting the standards up to speed for the new licensure classes.

Chair Santiago referred to § 48.40 regarding a question concerning exemption and waiver.

She noted the section references their schedule of civil penalties. She noted that having that licensure class would need to be updated to include associate license but asked whether the Board wanted to include that in this package or do a different regulatory package.

Chair Santiago suggested having a longer document that references other places and to put it all in at one time. She noted they already have many people with associate licenses without supervision instructions.

Ms. Wolfgang mentioned that this part of the

regulation is not something that would hold anything
up. She noted that prosecution cannot refer to that
schedule of civil penalties but can still move
forward with discipline.

Ms. McCarthy agreed with Ms. Wolfgang and believed it could be prosecuted without it being in the schedule.

Ms. Wolfgang offered to see what is involved in drafting it and come back to the Board with a recommendation. She also reported adding AMFTs to the 30 clock hours under continuing education.

Lis Cristina Santamaria Garcia asked whether someone would need to first undergo the associate license procedure and then be able to apply for the license.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that they would go through the application process.

Ms. Santamaria Garcia also asked whether it will affect someone's ability to provide services if they are currently able to work under supervision with their master's degree and whether they would be required to have an associate license.

Ms. Walter stated the Board cannot give an advisory opinion and recommended Ms. Santamaria

Garcia read Act 4 relating to the requirements for an

1 associate licensed professional counselor or MFT.

2 | She mentioned that Act 4 does not specifically

3 address individuals who are currently undertaking

4 their supervised clinical experience hours.

5 Ms. Wolfgang informed Board members that she did

6 the same thing for professional counselors. She

7 | noted wanting to incorporate their discussions and

8 come back with more work on the professional

9 counselor requirements and supervision requirements

10 to make them all the same, and revisit it at the next

11 board meeting.

12 Chair Santiago asked whether Ms. Wolfgang needed

13 anymore supervision plans from other states.

14 Ms. Wolfgang offered to incorporate some of the

15 supervision plans Chair Santiago had into the

16 regulations. She was also looking at states that

17 already have regulations and requirements in place,

18 including New Jersey, to see if it fits Pennsylvania.

She offered to have the information available at the

20 | September meeting.]

19

21 \*\*\*

22 | Legislative Report - No Report

23

24 Report of Board Chairperson

25 [Michelle Santiago, Psy.D., NCC, CCMHC, LPC, Chair,

1 provided Board members with notes from the

2 | Counseling Regulatory Boards Summit. She mentioned

3 | that it was noted at the Summit that Pennsylvania has

4 decided to be under consideration for the Counseling

5 Compact.

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

Chair Santiago informed everyone of some kind of kerfuffle with couples therapy not being covered for marriage and family therapists by Highmark PPO Blue.]

\* \* \*

10 Report of Acting Commissioner

11 | [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of

12 Professional and Occupational Affairs, informed

13 everyone that licensees can now print their own

14 licenses after being issued. He noted the department

15 | will continue to print licenses while they are in the

16 Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) but not after

17 they move to the new system. He also noted licensees

18 would receive a license through the mail that would

19 | now be on white printer paper.

Acting Commissioner Claggett mentioned that the license contains a quick response (QR) code, noting the public will be directed to the PALS website to

23 verify the status of a license.

Acting Commissioner Claggett announced that all

25 board websites across the Department of State have

1 been updated.]

2

3 Report of Board Administrator

4 [Michelle Santiago, Psy.D., NCC, CCMHC, LPC, Chair,

5 | requested information regarding how many applications

6 have been completed for associate licenses and how

7 many are out there.

Ms. McNeill reported issuing 194 associate LPC licenses and 7 associate MFT licenses as of yesterday but did not have a number for those not yet complete or issued.]

12

13 Public Comment

9

10

11

14 [Farida E. Boyer, MS, LMFT, AS, referred to the prior

15 question from Ms. Santamaria Garcia when she asked

16 whether someone had to be a licensed associate before

17 applying for the license but did not believe the

18 answer yes to be true.

19 Ms. Walter explained that the Board cannot give

20 an advisory opinion to members of the public, but

21 when reading through Act 4 in its entirety, there is

22 | not a provision in there similar to what Ms. Boyer

23 mentioned.

24 Ms. Santamaria Garcia asked whether people will

25 be able to use the hours they have already completed,

and if there will come a time when the hours that people have completed toward licensure will not be counted anymore to now having to complete the associate license.

Ms. Walter stated the Board cannot give a yes or no on a hypothetical application, where someone who has completed their supervised clinical experience with or without the associate license are encourage to apply for a regular license and then the Board will decide on whether the individual qualifies.

Ms. Santamaria Garcia commented that starting September 2024, if someone has not applied for their associate license or their license, the hours they completed before would not count moving forward.

Ms. Walter was not aware of that being written anywhere.

Ms. Santamaria Garcia referred to the prior Board meeting, where decisions were made concerning her LMFT and LPC applications. She reported receiving the Final Adjudication and Order on the LMFT application but requested paperwork regarding the translators or Board witness transcript of her hearing several times from Board Counsel but has not received an answer.

25 Ms. Walter informed Ms. Santamaria Garcia that

copies of the transcript of the hearing or the
deposition of the translator could be obtained by
contacting the Department of State Prothonotary's

Office. She believed there would be a fee for those
titems to be reproduced.

Ms. Santamaria Garcia stated she needed to submit her appeal soon and requested contact information for the Prothonotary's Office.

Ms. Walter offered to put the email address of the Prothonotary's Office in chat.

Ms. Santamaria Garcia also mentioned that the Board decided to provisionally deny one of her applications at the last meeting but has not sent the provisional denial letter. She noted that it was not clear why she was receiving a provisional denial and requested information to be able to move forward since the Board would not be meeting again until September.

Ms. Walter explained that she communicates with Mr. Barrett regularly concerning matters of the Board and would check with him on this matter but cannot give any information until Mr. Barrett returns this week. She told Ms. Santamaria Garcia that Mr. Barret will not be able to answer any inquires concerning the provisional denial if she would decide to send

```
36
   him an email.]
1
2
                               * * *
3
   Adjournment
4
   CHAIR SANTIAGO:
5
                   Could I have a motion to adjourn the
6
                  meeting, please?
7
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
8
                  So moved.
9
   CHAIR SANTIAGO:
10
                   Second?
11
   DR. BEHUN:
12
                   Second.
13
   CHAIR SANTIAGO:
14
                  We are adjourned. I will see everybody
15
                   at our next meeting.
                               * * *
16
17
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
18
   Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and
   Professional Counselors Meeting adjourned at
19
20
   12:26 p.m.]
21
                               * * *
22
23
24
25
26
```

# 

### CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Social Workers,

Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional

Counselors meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors meeting.

# Emily cowler

Emily Cowfer,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting

Service, Inc.

1		STATE BOARD OF SOCIAL WORKERS,
2		MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPISTS,
3		AND PROFESSIONAL COUNSELORS
4		REFERENCE INDEX
5		
6		July 16, 2024
7		
8	TIME	AGENDA
9		

9:00 Executive Session 11:00 Return to Open Session 11:00 Official Call to Order 11:00 Roll Call of Board Members 11:01 Introduction of Attendees 11:03 Approval of Minutes 11:03 Report of Prosecutorial Division 11:10 Report of Board Counsel 11:11 Review of Applications 11:19 Miscellaneous 11:21 New Business 11:22 Regulatory Report Report of Board Chair 12:14 12:16 Report of Acting Commissioner 12:17 Report of Board Administrator 12:18 Public Comment

Adjournment

43 44 45

42

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38 39

40 41

12:26