## MULTIWAY STOP CONTROL AT INTERSECTIONS ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC STUDY





A - LOCATION INFORMATION							
COUNTY		MUNICIPALITY					
MAJOR STREET INFORMATION SR#	TR#	STDE	ET NAME				
SIV#	1107	JIKE	LINAME				
STATION		LOCA	TION				
MINOR STREET INFORMATION							
SR#	TR#	STRE	ET NAME				
STATION		1004	TION				
STATION		LOCA	LOCATION				
B - REFERENCE INFORMATION		I					
REFERENCE INFORMATION	SECTION(S)						
Chapter 212	212.100	6 (c)					
REFERENCE	SECTION(S)						
MUTCD	2B.07,	3B.16					
REFERENCE SECTION(S)							
Vehicle Code Title 75 Pa. C.S. §3323, 6109(a)(6) an		6109(a)(6) and 6	124				
C - STUDY ELEMENTS							
FROM PUB 212 APPENDIX:							
☐ Crash Analysis (1)	☐ Pedestrian Volume	s (12)	☐ Traffic Volumes (20)				
☐ Acceleration Lane (2)	☐ Sight Distance (16)		□ Other				
☐ Geometric Review (8)	☐ Geometric Review (8) ☐ Speed Data (17)						
D - ATTACHMENTS LISTING	forms in the ander listed he	la					
Check those that apply and attach to this ☐ 1. 10 Day Response Letter		iow:	☐ 13. Traffic/Pedestrian Volumes				
☐ 2. Letter or Memo Requesting Study	□ 8. Crash Rate		☐ 14. STAMPP Identification Data				
☐ 3. Location Map	□ 9. Crash Plot		☐ 15. Speed Permit				
☐ 4. Straight Line Diagram	☐ 10. Speed Study		☐ 16. Other				
□ 5. Photographs	☐ 11. Warrant						
☐ 6. Field View Drawing	☐ 12. Multi-Way Stop or Truck Restriction Worksheet						

## **Confidential - Traffic Engineering and Safety Study**

This document is the property of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Transportation. The data and information contained herein are part of a traffic engineering and safety study. This safety study is only provided to those official agencies or persons who have responsibility in the highway transportation system and may only be used by such agencies or persons for traffic safety related planning or research. The document and information are confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S.3754 and 23 U.S.C. 409 and may not be published, reproduced, released or discussed without the written permission of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation.

E - SITE OBSERVATIO	N CHECKLIST					
Operational Checklist:						
1. Do obstructions block	the drivers' view of approaching vehicles?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
2. Do drivers respond co	orrectly to signals, signs, or other traffic control devices?.	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
3. Is there evidence of o	crashes (skid marks, property damage, tree/bush damage, brokei	n glass/vehicle parts, etc.)? □ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
4. Are there violations o	f parking regulations or other traffic movements?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
5. Do drivers appear cor	nfused about routes, street names, or other guidance info	rmation? □ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
6. Have you observed th	ne location during peak hours for volume and crashes?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
7. Are there traffic flow of	deficiencies or traffic conflict patterns associated with turn	ning movements? YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
8. Is there significant de	elays and/or congestion?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
9. Do pedestrian movem	nents through the location cause conflicts?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
10. Are there other traffic	c flow deficiencies or traffic conflict patterns?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
Physical Checklist:						
Can sight obstruction	s be removed or lessened?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
2. Do the street alignme	ents or widths adequately accommodate the type of traffic	using the roadway? □ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
Are curb radii adequa	ate for turning vehicles?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
Are pedestrian crossy	walks properly located?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
5. Are signs adequate a	is to usefulness, message, size, conformity, and placemer	nt? YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
Are traffic signals ade	equate as to placement, visibility, glare, conformity, number	er of signal heads, or timing? .□ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
7. Are pavement markin	gs adequate as to their conformance to standards and loc	cation? YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
	ands or paint markings) adequate for reducing conflict are					
separating traffic flow	s, and defining movements?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
Does the existing legal	al parking layout affect sight distance for through or turnir	ng vehicles?	□ NO	□ N/A		
10. Is the pavement cond	dition free of potholes, washboard, slick surface, etc.?	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
F - SITE DATA						
DATE DATA COLLECTED	PERSON CONDUCTING STUDY	TITLE				
Is the multiway stop beir	ng installed as an interim measure until the signal approva	al and installation is completed?	. □ YES	□ NO		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
2. List the number of crash	ed for the previous 12 month period by type and/or causa	ition factor. **This may include non-repo	rtable cras	hes.**		
3. 85th percentile speed of	major approach is MPH.					
4a.Does the vehicular volu	ime entering the intersection from the major street appro	aches average at least 300 vehicles/ho	ur for any	8 hours?		
.□ YES □ NO						
4b. Does the combined vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle volume from the minor street approaches average at least 200/hour, for the same 8						
hours, with an average delay to minor-street vehicular traffic of at least 30 seconds per vehicle during the highest hour? u YES u NO						
4c. If #3 > 40 MPH, then the minimum vehicular volume warrants are 70% of 4a and 4b.						
	12	·				

F - SITE DATA (CONTINUED)						
5. Where #2, #4a and #4b are satisfied to 80% of their minimum values. **Note: #4c is excluded from this condition.**						
6a. Determine and list the minimum intersection sight distance for all approaches.						
6b. List the posted, approach speeds on all intersection legs.						
6c. Is there any practical method for improving the sight distance at these intersections?						
7. List any other factors justifying a multiway stop.						
8. Has the municipality agreed to purchase, erect and maintain the sign	ns necessary to legalize the above stop intersection at no cos					
9. Has the Through Highway permit been modified		. YES INO				
G - REMARKS						
H - ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT						
I - APPROVALS						
Comments						
Reviewed and Approved by Signature	Name/Title	Date				
Reviewed and Approved by Signature	Name/Title	Date				

This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. 3754 and 23 U.S.C. 409 and may not be disclosed or used in litigation without written permission from PennDOT.