TE-113 (7-09)

## MID-BLOCK CROSSWALK ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC STUDY





A - LOCATION INFORMATION		
COUNTY		MUNICIPALITY
STREET NAME		TOWNSHIP ROAD #
SR#		SEGMENT
P. DEFEDENCE INCORMATION		
B - REFERENCE INFORMATION		
Chapter 212	SECTION(S) 212.5(b)(1)	(v)(T)
REFERENCE MUTCD	SECTION(S) 3B.17	
REFERENCE PUB 46	SECTION(S) Chapter 11	.9
Vehicle Code Title 75 P.a. C.S.	\$ 3542	
REFERENCE TC-8600	Sheet 4 of	8
C - STUDY ELEMENTS		
FROM PUB 212 APPENDIX:		
☐ Crash Analysis (1)	Sight Distance (16)	☐ Other:
	Speed Data (17)	
	Traffic Volumes (20)	
D - ATTACHMENTS LISTING		
Check those that apply and attach to this form in	n the order listed belo	w:
1. 10-Day Response Letter	7. Crash Extract	13. Traffic/Pedestrian Volumes
2. Letter or Memo Requesting Study	8. Crash Rate	14. STAMPP Identification Data
3. Location Map	9. Collision Diagram	
4. Straight Line Diagram	10. Speed Study	16. Traffic Signal Permit Plan
5. Photographs	11. Warrant Analysis	
6. Field View Drawing or Condition Diagram	12. Multi-Way Stop or	Truck Restriction Worksheet
Con	fidential - Traffic Eng	ineering and Safety Study
herein are part of a traffic engineering and safe responsibility in the highway transportation sys or research. The document and information a	ety study. This safety s stem and may only be re confidential pursua	a, Department of Transportation. The data and information contained study is only provided to those official agencies or persons who have used by such agencies or persons for traffic safety related planning int to 75 Pa. C.S.3754 and 23 U.S.C. 409 and may not be published, f the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation.

E - SITE OBSERVATION CHEC	KLIST		
Operational Checklist:			
Do obstructions block a driver's	view of pedestrians or approaching vehicles?	YES	□ NO □ N/A
	signals, signs, or other traffic control devices?		 □ NO □ N/A
	d marks, property damage, tree/bush damage, broken o		□ NO □ N/A
	r other traffic regulations?	_	□ NO □ N/A
, ,	out routes, street names, or other guidance info	_	□ NO □ N/A
	during peak hours for volume, crashes, and tra		□ NO □ N/A
•	s or traffic conflict patterns associated with tur		□ NO □ N/A
	or congestion?		□ NO □ N/A
,	onflicts?		□ NO □ N/A
•	ciencies or traffic conflict patterns?		□ NO □ N/A
10. Are there other traffic flow defic	siencies of traine connet patterns:		
Physical Checklist:		_	
Can sight obstructions be remo	ved or lessened?	YES	∐ NO
· ·	ths adequately accommodate the type of traffic	·	∐ NO
	ing vehicles?		□ NO □ N/A
4. Are pedestrian crosswalks prop	erly located?	YES	□ NO □ N/A
5. Are signs adequate as to useful	ness, message, size, conformity, and placemer	nt? YES	□ NO □ N/A
6. Are traffic signals adequate as to	placement, visibility, glare, conformity, number of	signal heads, and timing? 🗌 YES	□ NO □ N/A
7. Are pavement markings adequa	te as to their conformance to standards and lo	cation?	□ NO □ N/A
8. Is channelization (islands or pay	rement markings) adequate for reducing conflic	t areas,	
separating traffic flows, and def	ining movements?	YES	□ NO □ N/A
9. Does the existing legal parking	layout affect sight distance for through or turni	ng vehicles? YES	□ NO □ N/A
10. Is the pavement condition free	of potholes, washboard, slick surface, etc.?	YES	□ NO □ N/A
E OUTE DATA			
F - SITE DATA	DEDCON CONDUCTING STUDY	TITLE	
DATE DATA COLLECTED	PERSON CONDUCTING STUDY	TITLE	
	<u> </u>		
1. What is the posted speed limit?			MPH
	lway?		
3. What is the number of travel lanes	s at the proposed crosswalk?		
'			
	the proposed crosswalk?		
, ,	from the proposed crosswalk?		feet
0 1 01			
<b>3</b> .	o outonoion?		
	o extension?		
	ked crosswalk greater than 300 feet?		
9. What is the exact location of the p	proposed crosswaik (be as specific as possible)	f	<del></del>
10. Is the traffic volume on the roadw	ay 10,000 ADT or less?		
	e 15,000 ADT or less?		
, is the two lane traine volum	,		

This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. 3754 and 23 U.S.C. 409 and may not be disclosed or used in litigation without written permission from PennDOT.

If yes, how many?  4. Does the available sight distance between an approaching driver and a person anywhere within the proposed crosswalk satisfy the following minimum values where both driver's eye and the pedestrian are assumed to be 3.5 ft above the roadway?	Example: 7:00 - 8:00 AM	2. In the table	below, indicate the	Tour Highest one hour p	ochous that p	odocinano wiii	use the crossv		)	s will cr
1.) 2.) 3.) 4.)  3. Is there a high concentration of children, elderly, or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing?	1.) 2.) 3.) 4.)  Is there a high concentration of children, elderly, or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing?			TIME		NO. OF PE	DESTRIANS	CROSSING		
2.) 3.) 4.)  3. Is there a high concentration of children, elderly, or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing?	2.)   3.)   4.)   State a high concentration of children, elderly, or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing?		Example:	7:00 – 8:00 AM			40			
3.) 4.)  Solution is there a high concentration of children, elderly, or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing?	Is there a high concentration of children, elderly, or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing?		1.)		_			<del></del>		
Is there a high concentration of children, elderly, or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing?	Is there a high concentration of children, elderly, or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing? YES NOT If yes, how many?  Does the available sight distance between an approaching driver and a person anywhere within the proposed crosswalk satisfy the following minimum values where both driver's eye and the pedestrian are assumed to be 3.5 ft above the roadway? YES NOT INCOME.    Minimum Sight Distance for a Corresponding Grade (feet)		2.)		_			<del></del>		
Is there a high concentration of children, elderly, or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing?	Is there a high concentration of children, elderly, or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing?		3.)		_			<del></del>		
If yes, how many?  Does the available sight distance between an approaching driver and a person anywhere within the proposed crosswalk satisfy the following minimum values where both driver's eye and the pedestrian are assumed to be 3.5 ft above the roadway?	the proposed crossing?		4.)		_					
If yes, how many?  Does the available sight distance between an approaching driver and a person anywhere within the proposed crosswalk satisfy the following minimum values where both driver's eye and the pedestrian are assumed to be 3.5 ft above the roadway?    Minimum Sight Distance   for a Corresponding Grade (feet)    -6%   level   +6%    -25   215   200   184    -30   271   250   229	Does the available sight distance between an approaching driver and a person anywhere within the proposed crosswalk satisfy the following minimum values where both driver's eye and the pedestrian are assumed to be 3.5 ft above the roadway?    Minimum Sight Distance   for a Corresponding Grade (feet)    -6%   level   +6%    -25   215   200   184    -30   271   250   229    -35   333   305   278									- W
Does the available sight distance between an approaching driver and a person anywhere within the proposed crosswalk satisfy the following minimum values where both driver's eye and the pedestrian are assumed to be 3.5 ft above the roadway?    Minimum Sight Distance   For a Corresponding Grade (feet)    -6%   level   +6%    -25   215   200   184    -30   271   250   229	Does the available sight distance between an approaching driver and a person anywhere within the proposed crosswalk satisfy the following minimum values where both driver's eye and the pedestrian are assumed to be 3.5 ft above the roadway?    Speed Limit		-						☐ YES	⊔ N(
crosswalk satisfy the following minimum values where both driver's eye and the pedestrian are assumed to be 3.5 ft above the roadway?    Speed Limit (mph)   Minimum Sight Distance for a Corresponding Grade (feet)    -6%   level   +6%    -25   215   200   184    -30   271   250   229	crosswalk satisfy the following minimum values where both driver's eye and the pedestrian are assumed to be 3.5 ft above the roadway?    Speed Limit									
Minimum Sight Distance   Speed Limit (mph)   -6%   level   +6%	No.   YES   No.   No.   No.   YES   No.   No.									
Speed Limit (mph)         for a Corresponding Grade (feet)           -6%         level         +6%           25         215         200         184           30         271         250         229	Speed Limit (mph)         for a Corresponding Grade (feet)           25         level +6%           25         215         200         184           30         271         250         229           35         333         305         278								□ YES	□ NO
Speed Limit (mph)         for a Corresponding Grade (feet)           -6%         level         +6%           25         215         200         184           30         271         250         229	Speed Limit (mph)         for a Corresponding Grade (feet)           25         level +6%           25         215         200         184           30         271         250         229           35         333         305         278		·					1		
25     215     200     184       30     271     250     229	25     215     200     184       30     271     250     229       35     333     305     278			I *  -	for a Cor	responding Gr	rade (feet)			
30 271 250 229	30     271     250     229       35     333     305     278			(mph)	-6%	level				
	35 333 305 278									
				25	215	200	184	_		
35 333 305 278	REMARKS									
DEMARKS	REMARKS			30	271	250	229			
		REMARKS		30	271	250	229			
		REMARKS		30	271	250	229			
		REMARKS		30	271	250	229			
				30 35	271	250	229			
ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT	ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT		NG JUDGEMEI	30 35	271	250	229			
ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT	ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT		NG JUDGEMEI	30 35	271	250	229			
ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT	ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT	REMARKS	NG JUDGEMEI	30 35	271	250	229			
ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT	ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT		NG JUDGEMEI	30 35	271	250	229			
		ENGINEERI		30 35	271	250	229			
APPROVALS	APPROVALS	ENGINEERI		30 35	271	250	229			
APPROVALS	APPROVALS	ENGINEERI		30 35	271	250	229			
	APPROVALS  Iments:	ENGINEERI APPROVALS		30 35	271 333	250 305	229		Date	

This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. 3754 and 23 U.S.C. 409 and may not be disclosed or used in litigation without written permission from PennDOT.